

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Mar-27-2012 10:57 am

Case Number: CGC-11-509101

Filing Date: Mar-27-2012 10:55

Filed by: GINA GONZALES

Juke Box: 001 Image: 03551894

TEXT JUDGMENT

MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSITICE FOUNDATION VS. ORGILL, INC. et al

001C03551894

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

WILLIAM VERICK, SBN 140972 1 FREDRIC EVENSON, SBN 198059 KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER 2 424 First Street San Francisco County Superior Court Eureka, CA 95501 3 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 MAR 2 7 2012 Facsimile: 4 (707) 268-8901 E-mail: CLERK OF THE COURT wverick@igc.org 5 Thus meales DAVID WILLIAMS, SBN 144479 BRIAN ACREE, SBN 202505 6 370 Grand Avenue, Suite 5 Oakland, CA 94610 7 Telephone: (510) 271-0826 Facsimile 8 (510) 271-0829 E-mail: dhwill7@gmail.com 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL 14 JUSTICE FOUNDATION, Case No. CGC-11-509101 15 CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff. (As to FAIRVIEW FITTINGS 16 AND MANUFACTURING LIMITED) V. 17 ORGILL, INC., et al., 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 1. INTRODUCTION 22 On March 11, 2011, MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 1.1 23 FOUNDATION ("Mateel") acting on behalf of itself and, pursuant to Health & Safety 24 Code §25249.7, acting in the public interest, filed a Complaint for civil penalties and 25 injunctive relief in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. 509101. On November 4, 26 2011, Mateel filed a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant Fairview Fittings and 27 Manufacturing Limited ("Fairview" or "Settling Defendant"). The First Amended 28 Case No. CGC-10-509101 – [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT (FAIRVIEW)

Complaint alleges, among other things, that Fairview violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). In particular, Mateel alleges that Fairview knowingly and intentionally exposed persons to leaded brass products used with propane gas equipment such as gas grills, including connecting hose fittings ("brass products") that are made of or that include a component made of leaded brass, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- 1.2 On October 27, 2010, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter ("Notice Letter") to West Marine, Inc., a retail seller of brass products, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000 concerning the brass products described in paragraph 1.1 above.
- 1.3 On May 19, 2011, Mateel sent a Notice of Violation letter ("Notice Letter") to Fairview, the California Attorney General, all California District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys of every California city with populations exceeding 750,000 concerning the brass products described in paragraph 1.1 above.
- 1.4 Settling Defendant is a business that employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes or markets brass products or components which are sold at retail within the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as all products included in the October 27, 2010, 60 Day Notice Letter, and the May 19, 2011 60 Day Notice Letter, including brass products, such as brass hose couplings, and brass couplings, which are or were manufactured, distributed or otherwise marketed by Settling Defendant.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Fairview as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims that were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto. 1.7

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaints, each and every allegation of which Settling Defendant denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Settling Defendant.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

- 2.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall collectively pay an aggregate of \$34,000 (thirty-four thousand dollars) in total monetary relief. Of the foregoing, a total of \$2,000 (two thousand dollars) shall be paid in civil penalties. A total of \$8,000 (ten thousand dollars) shall be paid by Settling Defendant in lieu of, and as an offset for, a larger civil penalty in the form of two equal payments, one to the Ecological Rights Foundation, and one to Californians 2.2
- In addition, a total amount of \$24,000 (twenty-four thousand dollars) shall be paid by the Settling Defendant to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC") as reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs incurred by KELC on behalf of Plaintiff in investigating and prosecuting this matter and in negotiating this Consent Judgment on

behalf of itself and in the public interest. The payments described in Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 shall be made payable to the recipient, and lodged with counsel for Settling Defendants at least 5 court days prior to any hearing on a motion to approve this settlement, and sent by overnight mail on the day the settlement is approved, to William Verick, 424 First Street, Eureka, CA 95501. If this Consent Judgment has not been approved and entered by the Court within 120 days of the execution of the agreement by the parties, the payments described above shall be promptly returned to Settling Defendant and the terms of this agreement shall be null and void.

- 2.3 MEJF and KELC represent and warrant that recipients of the offset payments are tax exempt, section 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations and that funds distributed to these organizations pursuant to this Consent Judgment may only be spent to reduce harm from toxic chemicals, or to increase consumer, worker and community awareness of health hazards posed by lead and other toxic chemicals.
- **2.4** Except as specifically provided for in this Consent Judgment, each side shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.

3. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant and Mateel waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaints.

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 As to alleged exposure to lead or lead compounds from Covered Products, this Consent Judgment provides a full release of liability on behalf of the Public Interest, as referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) to Fairview, as well as its past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and assigns ("Released Entities"), as to all claims and matters raised in or covered by the Notice of Violation. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Judgment, no claim or matter is released on behalf of the Public Interest unless that claim or matter was

4

3

7

9 10

8

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

raised in or covered by the October 27, 2010, 60 Day Notice Letter, or the May 19, 2011 60 Day Notice Letter.

As to alleged lead and lead compound exposures associated with Covered 4.2 Products, Mateel, acting on behalf of itself, and its agents, attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly, or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims as between Mateel and Settling Defendant, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, agreements, promises, royalties, accountings, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorney's fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products or components found in the Covered Products, including, but not limited to, any claims regarding exposure to, and/or failure to warn with respect to, the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, Mateel on its own behalf hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have respecting the Covered Products, conferred upon it with respect to claims involving Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Mateel understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of

this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Mateel suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to lead or lead compounds from, the Covered Products, Mateel will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, predecessors, officers, directors, shareholders, representatives, attorneys, agents, employees, and all customers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business involving the Covered Products, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Mateel acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such claims and any other claims which may exist as of the date of this release but which Mateel does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

4.3 Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Mateel shall file a dismissal with prejudice as to West Marine, Inc.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. The parties hereto agree that prior to any such enforcement action, they will notify each other of any perceived violation of this Consent Judgment. The parties further agree to take no enforcement action for 30 days after such notice is given, in order to allow the parties to meet and confer in good faith in an effort to resolve the alleged violation.

6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 Except as provided for in Paragraph 7.3(c), this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 6.2 Mateel shall give notice to Fairview, per section 12, of all consent judgments entered into by Mateel described in Section 6.2 on or after the date of this Consent Judgment involving similar products to those at issue in this Consent Judgment, unless such consents are posted on the public Proposition 65 web site maintained by the California Attorney General.

7. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - REFORMULATION

7.1 Covered Products' brass components shall be deemed to comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for lead and lead compounds (H&S Code 25249.6), and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements for these listed chemicals after the Effective Date, if the brass that is part of the Covered Products is made of an alloy which contains no intentionally added lead and no lead content by weight of more than 0.03% ("300 parts per million," or "300 ppm"). Settling Defendant may comply with this requirement by relying in good faith on information obtained from its suppliers regarding the content of the brass alloy from which the brass fittings are made. Although good faith reliance regarding the brass alloy may also be established by other means, Mateel agrees that obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no more than 0.03%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith reliance.

- 7.2 Beginning no later than the Effective Date, for Covered Products that do not meet the warning exemption standard set forth in Section 7.1, the following requirements shall apply:
- (a) Where Fairview, itself, ships the Covered Products into California for retail sale or distribution, Fairview shall provide a warning as described in Section 7.3;
- (b) Where Fairview does not itself ship directly into California, Fairview shall inform its recipient distributors and retail sellers that any such Covered Product sold in or distributed for use in California must be accompanied by a warning as described in paragraph 7.3.
- (c) No distributor or retail seller of Covered Products shall be released by or benefit from the releases of Sections 4.1 and 4.2 for Covered Products sold in or distributed for use in California that do not meet the warning exemption standard of section 7.1 or the warning requirements of Section 7.3. The warning requirements set forth in paragraph 7.3 shall apply only to Covered Products that Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, markets, sells or ships after the Effective Date and which are sold for use inside the State of California.
 - 7.3 Proposition 65 warnings shall be as follows:
 - (a) Either of the following warning statements shall be provided: **WARNING**: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm *Wash your hands after handling this product*.

or

WARNING: This product contains [one or more] chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. *Wash hands after handling*.

Bracketed language may be omitted. Additional listed chemicals may be added to the warning unless the Attorney General advises that the inclusion of such additional chemicals would render the warning

1

misleading or constitute an over warning. The word "WARNING" shall be in bold, and may be preceded by the word "CALIFORNIA", "PROP 65", or "CALIFORNIA PROP 65" provided such words are also in bold. The words "Wash your hands after touching this product" or "Wash hands after handling" in either warning above may be replaced by "Wash hands after use", and in any case such words shall be underlined, in bold or italicized.

The warning shall be provided such that the warning is on or attached to Covered Product or with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning shall be included with, affixed to or printed on each Covered Product or its label, package or container in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product or near the product brand name, or displayed price and/or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual.

- If after the Effective Date, any Covered Products is received by a (b) retailer or distributor outside of California that neither provides the warnings specified in this paragraph nor meets the Reformulation Standard specified in paragraph 7.1 of this Consent Judgment ("Non-Conforming Covered Products"), and if the retailer or distributor then offers those Non-Conforming Covered Products for sale in California, then as to those Non-Conforming Covered Products, that retailer or distributor, and their customers, are not released pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 above.
- (c) Except as provided in paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 hereof for Covered Products manufactured or distributed prior to the Effective Date, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall create a limitation on a Proposition 65 enforcement action based on future conduct if such future conduct is not in compliance with the injunctive terms of this Consent Judgment.

- 7.4 Any warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Any warning shall be provided in a manner such that the ordinary competent consumer or user understands to which specific Covered Product the warning applies. Fairview may provide warnings as specified in Section 7.4 as follows:
 - (a) Affixed Warnings. Warnings may be provided on or attached to Covered Products or with the unit package of the Covered Products. Such warning shall be included with, affixed to or printed on each Covered Product or its label, package or container in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product or near the product brand name, or displayed price and/or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual.
 - (b) Point of Sale Warnings. A retail seller may perform its warning obligation by arranging for the posting of the shelf labeling, signs, menus, warning slips or a combination of thereof as set forth in Health & Safety Code Section 25603.1 at retail outlets in the State of California where Covered Products are sold. For a Point of Sale Warning to be considered reasonably calculated to be seen by an ordinary individual, the warning shall be posted at (1) each location in the store where the Covered Products are displayed and visible when the Covered Products are being viewed without the Covered Products being moved, or (2) for stores with less than 7,500 square feet retail space, adjacent to each check out counter, sales register, cash stand, cash wrap or similar check out location in the store. All warning signs must be displayed in such a manner that any potential purchaser would reasonably be expected to see the warning and adequately distinguish between brass products for which warnings are required and product which

do not cause a lead exposure. If the point of sale warning is not posted in such a manner, or any other manner otherwise agreed to by the Attorney General, the retail entity shall not benefit from the terms of this consent judgment, including the release of claims contained therein.

- obligation by placing notices in public news media per Health & Safety Code section 25249.11 so long as the Attorney General has agreed, upon review, that the size, location and frequency of any such warning(s) meets with the Proposition 65's "clear and reasonable" warning requirements.
- (d) Other Approved Warning Methods. Settling Defendant may perform its warning obligations via any method specifically approved in writing by California's Office of Attorney General.

8. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

Other than any agreement between Fairview and West Marine and/or its indemnitors regarding distribution of payments, this Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other

agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

11. **GOVERNING LAW**

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

12. **NOTICES**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight currier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Mateel:

William Verick, Esq. Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501

To Fairview:

Leslie Woodward

Fairview Fittings & Manufacturing, Inc.

3777 Commerce Court

Wheatfield, NY 14120

With a copy to:

George Gigounas

DLA Piper LLP (US)

555 Mission Street, Suite 2400

San Francisco, CA 94105

25

26

27

1 13. COURT APPROVAL 2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force 3 or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. 4 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 5 6 2/8/2012 DATED: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE 7 **FOUNDATION** 8 9 CEO Mateel Environmental Justice 10 Foundation, Klamath Environmental Law Center 11 12 DATED: (26, 8, 2012 FAIRVIEW FITTINGS AND 13 MANUFACTURING, TNC. 14 15 16 By: Leslie Woodward Its: President 17 18 19 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED: 20 3/21/12 DATED: 21 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT HAROLD KAHN 22 23 24 25 26 27