Reuben Yeroushahmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E **CLERK OF THE COURT** Beverly Hills, California 90212 BY: Prancise Clamede Telephone: (310) 623-1926 (310) 623-1930 Facsimile: Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO Case No. CGC-11-516771 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP. Plaintiff, CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPUSED). Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 at seq. VOLTEC INDUSTRIES, LLC, TASCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., and TASCO Dept.: INDUSTRIES, INC. Defendants. INTRODUCTION

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG) acting on hehalf of itself and in the interest of the public and defendant, Tasco Industries, Inc. ("referred to as "Tasco") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

FFB 0 7 2013

610

Deputy Clerk

1.2 Tasco employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and manufacture, distribute, and sell Booster Cables ("Cables"). Lead is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

28

1

2

3

5

6 7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CONSISTY SUPRISES PROPERTY

On June 15, 2011, CAG served Tasco, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("June 15, 2011 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to lead contained in Booster Cables, 10-00217 sold by Tasco. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 15, 2011 Notice.

1.4 Complaint.

On December 20, 2011, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco, Superior Court, Case No. CGC-11-516771, against Tasco and other entities. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Tasco violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to lead from Booster Cables, 10-00217.

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Tasco as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full sattlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.

1.6 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Tasco denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Tasco.

DEFINITIONS

) 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

- "Accessible Component" means any component of a Covered Product that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.
 - "Covered Products" means Booster Cables, 10-00217.
- "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is entered by the
 - "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
 - "Notice" means the June 15, 2011 Notice.
- REASONABLE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION/CLEAR AND
- Tasco shall not sell the Covered Product in California unless it is reformulated to contain less than 100 parts per million of lead.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- Within 14 business days of the Effective Date or receipt of Forms W-9 from CAG, whichever is later, Tasco shall pay a total of \$40,000 to CAG in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notice, as follows.
- Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Tasco shall pay \$2,000 in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use the payment for such projects and purposes related to environmental protection, worker health and safety, or reduction of human exposure to hazardous substances (including administrative and litigation costs arising from such projects), as CAG may choose.
- Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Tasco shall pay \$36,000 to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.
- Civil Pensity: Defendant shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of two thousand dollars (\$2,000) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard

Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$1,500, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$500, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$1500. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$500 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212

4.5 Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Tasco and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subdidiaries, partners, alfiliates, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products, and all persons and entities who are downstream in the stream of commerce from Tasco who sell or distribute the Covered Product, including but not limited to Voltee Industries, LLC ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Lead from Covered Product as set forth in the Notice. Tasco and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to Lead from the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agenta, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert

 fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Tasco, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Load in the Covered Product. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Product, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Product, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, lead or lead compounds from Covered Product, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Tasco the Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. Subject to Section 6.2, the parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days notice to the Party

allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

- Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Tasco. The NOV shall include for each Covered Product: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Product, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Product that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 30 days of receiving such NOV, Tasco serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Product was shipped by Tasco for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
 - (b) Since receiving the NOV Tasco has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Product identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product to Tasco, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Product identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
 - 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Tasco may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
 - (a) In its election, Tasco may request that the sample(a) Covered Product tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
 - (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Product does not contain lead in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1 CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish complishes with Section 3.1, Tasco may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

 (c) If Tasco does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Tasco waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Tasco outside the State of California.

3

SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 11.

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

ATTORNEY FEES 12.

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT 13.

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. **GOVERNING LAW**

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Conscat Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or

ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** 15. 15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. 16. NOTICES Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail. If to CAG: Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926 If to Tasco Industries, Inc.: Tasco industries, inc. 13885 Ramona Ave. Chino, CA 91710 With a copy to: Robert Uriante, Esq. Uniarte & Wood 1175 E. Garvey Street, Suite 210 Covina, CA 91724 **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

Decrease Parket psyllegropals Parket 1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	L	
1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Date: January /O 2013	Date: Jamustry 1, 2013
3	Michael Marene	Che Only
4	Name: Michael Marcus	Name THOMAS PEllen
5	Tille Director	Title: CEO
6	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	TASCO INDUSTRIBS, INC.
7		
8	THE CA CONTROL	Δ . Δο
9	Deta: February 7. 2013	marla Juller
10	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11		
12 13		MARLA J. MILLER
14		
15		
16		
17		·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	1	
25 26		
20 27	i	
28	1	
	l .	- 10 -
-	CONSEN	PRODUCERT (CONTROL OF CONTROL OF



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Feb-07-2013 4:40 pm

Case Number: CGC-11-516771

Filing Date: Feb-07-2013 4:40

Filed by: JACQUELINE ALAMEDA

Juke Box: 001 Image: 03937585

TEXT JUDGMENT

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. VS. VOLTEÇ INDUSTRIES, LLC, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

001C03937585

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.