1 2 3 4 5	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff	ENDORSED San Francisco County Superior Court SEP 0 4 2012 CLERK OF THE COURT BY: CYNTHIA S. HERBERT Deputy Clerk		
6 7 8 9 10	JOHN MOORE SUPERIOR COURT OF TH COUNTY OF S	ORE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	JOHN MOORE, Plaintiff, v. WINPLUS NORTH AMERICA, INC.; <i>et al.</i> , Defendants.	 Case No.: CGC-11-514224 PROFUSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT Date: September 4, 2012 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 302 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn 		
	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSIT	TION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT		

a.

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff John Moore and Defendant Winplus North			
2	America, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered			
3	pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and			
4	following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and			
5	Consent Judgment:			
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to			
7	California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure			
8	§ 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment			
9	attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction			
10	to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.			
11				
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
13	HAROLD KAHN			
14	Dated:			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Ī			
	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT			
1				

Exhibit 1

1 2	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Troy C. Bailey, State Bar No. 277424 THE CHANLER GROUP				
3 4	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE				
7 8 9 10	John M. Genga, State Bar No. 125522 GENGA & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 15260 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1810 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 444-4580 Facsimile: (818) 444-4585				
11	Attorneys for Defendant WINPLUS NORTH AMERICA, INC.				
12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
15 16					
16 17 18	JOHN MOORE, Plaintiff, v.	 Case No. CGC-11-514224 (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 			
19 20 21 22	WINPLUS NORTH AMERICA, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants.) Dept: Judge: Date: None set Complaint Filed: September 13, 2011			
23					
24 25					
26		2			
27					
28					

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 John Moore and Winplus North America, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between John Moore ("Moore" or "Plaintiff") and Winplus North America, Inc. ("Winplus" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2

John Moore

Moore is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks by this action to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Winplus North America, Inc.

Moore alleges that Winplus employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 <u>General Allegations</u>

Winplus has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold in California automotive seat covers that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without the requisite Proposition 65 health hazard warnings. DEHP is on the Proposition 65 list as known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 <u>Product Description</u>

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as automotive seat covers containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *Type S Seat Cover, Quilted Coupe Suede, SC30415-6 (#6 43334 30415 6)*, manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Winplus, hereinafter referred to as the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about June 29, 2011, Moore served Winplus and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on Winplus' alleged failure to warn consumers

28

that the Products exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public prosecutor has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against this violation.

1.7 Complaint

On September 13, 2011, Moore filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Francisco against Winplus North America, Inc. and Does 1 through 150, *Moore v. Winplus, et al.*, Case No. CGC-11-514224 ("Complaint" or "Action"), alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain automotive seat covers sold by Winplus.

1.8 <u>No Admission</u>

Winplus denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Moore's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all Products it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Winplus of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Winplus of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. Winplus represents it had no knowledge of the presence DEHP in its Products and has implemented an internal program to ensure compliance with Proposition 65. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Winplus' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

L

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Winplus as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean June 4, 2012.

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

2.1 <u>Reformulation Standard</u>

"Reformulated Products" are defined as containing DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) in each accessible component when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.2 <u>Reformulation Commitment</u>

As of the Effective Date, all Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in the state of California by Winplus shall qualify as Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1 above, or shall carry Proposition 65 warnings as set forth in Section 2.3 below.

2.3 <u>Product Warnings</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date, Winplus shall, for all Products other than Reformulated Products, provide clear and reasonable warnings as set forth in Section 2.3(a) and (b). Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Products the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

21

25

26

27

28

(a) Retail Store Sales.

(i) Product Labeling. Defendant shall affix a warning to the packaging,
labeling, or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets in California by Defendant or any person
selling the Products, that states:

WARNING: This product contains phthalate chemicals, including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1	(ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Alternatively, Winplus may provide		
2	warning signs in the form below to its customers in California with instructions to post the		
3	warnings in close proximity to the point of display of the Products. Such instruction sent to		
4	Winplus' customers shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.		
5	WARNING: This product contains phthalate chemicals,		
6	including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.		
7	Where more than one Product is sold in proximity to other like items or to those that do not		
8			
9	require a warning (e.g., Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1), the following statement		
10	shall be used: ¹		
11	WARNING: The following products contain phthalate chemicals, including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other		
12	reproductive harm:		
13	[list products for which warning is required]		
14	(b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. In the event that Winplus sells		
15	Products via mail order catalog and/or the internet, to customers located in California, after the		
15	Effective Date, that are not Reformulated Products, Winplus shall provide warnings for such		
10	Products sold via mail order catalog or the internet to California residents. Warnings given in the		
17	mail order catalog or on the internet shall identify the specific Product to which the warning applies		
19	as further specified in Sections 2.3(b)(i) and (ii).		
20	(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail		
	order catalog shall be in the same type size or larger than the Product's description text within the		
21	catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the		
22	display and/or description of the Product:		
23	WARNING: This product contains phthalate chemicals,		
24	including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other		
25	reproductive harm.		
26	¹ For purposes of the Consent Judgment, "sold in proximity" shall mean that the Product and another product are offered for sale close enough to each other that the consumer, under customary conditions of purchase, could not		
27	reasonably determine which of the two products is subject to the warning sign.		
28	4		

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Products, Winplus may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Products:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain phthalate chemicals, including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Products. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Winplus shall provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning shall be given in conjunction with the sale of the Products via the internet, which warning shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which the Products are displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Products; (c) on the same page as the price for any of the Products; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Products for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Products description text:

WARNING: This product contains phthalate chemicals, including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the displays, descriptions, or prices of the Products for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol ▼ contain phthalate chemicals, including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Payment of Civil Fines Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Winplus, shall pay \$24,000 in civil penalties as follows:

(a) Initial Civil Penalty. Winplus shall make an initial payment of \$8,000 to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Moore. Such payment shall be made, in the manner detailed in Section 3.3 below, on or before June 4, 2012.

(b) Final Civil Penalty. Winplus shall pay a final civil penalty in the amount of \$16,000 on October 31, 2012. As an incentive for Winplus to reformulate its Products, however, this final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety if an officer of Winplus certifies in writing that, as of October 31, 2012, it will not sell or ship for sale in California any Products unless they are Reformulated Products. Such certification must be received by The Chanler Group on or before October 15, 2012.

The final civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the state of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Moore.

3.2 <u>Reimbursement of Moore's Fees and Costs</u>

The Parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee

issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Winplus then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed, in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Winplus shall pay the amount of \$25,000 for fees and costs incurred prior to the execution of this Consent Judgment including investigating, noticing, and litigating this matter and for future fees and costs, including attorney's fees, to be incurred in seeking judicial approval of this Consent Judgment including, but not limited to, preparing and filing the approval papers, complying with Proposition 65 reporting 10 requirements, and preparing for and attending any and all hearings related to the approval of this 11 12 Consent Judgment.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

3.3 **Payment Procedures**

Funds Held In Trust: All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall 3.3.1 be delivered on or before June 4, 2012 to either The Chanler Group or the attorney of record for Winplus, and shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment. Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:

- One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for (a) OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;
- One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for John (b) Moore" in the amount of \$2,000; and
- (c) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the amount of \$25,000.

Payments delivered to Genga & Associates, P.C. shall be made payable, as follows:

One check made payable to "Genga & Associates, P.C. in Trust for (a) OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;

1		(b)	One check made payable to "Genga & Associates, P.C. in Trust for
2			John Moore" in the amount of \$2,000; and
3		(c)	One check made payable to "Genga & Associates, P.C. in Trust for
4			The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$25,000.
5	If Winplus ele	ects to	deliver payments to its attorney of record, such counsel shall confirm,
6	in writing within five	days o	f deposit, that the funds have been deposited in a trust account.
7	Within two da	ays of t	he date of the hearing on which the Court approves the Consent
8	Judgment, the payme	nts bei	ng held in trust by the attorney of record for Winplus shall be delivered
9	to The Chanler Group	o in thr	ee separate checks payable, as follows:
10		(a)	One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for
11			OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000;
12		(b)	One check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for John Moore" in the
13			amount of \$2,000; and
14		(c)	One check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$25,000.
15	If the penalty payments required by Section 3.1(b) above are not waived, payments shall be		
16	delivered to The Chanler Group made payable, as follows:		
17		(a)	One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for
18			OEHHA" in the amount of \$12,000;
19		(b)	One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for John
20			Moore" in the amount of \$4,000.
21	3.3.2	Issua	nce of 1099 Forms. After the Consent Judgment has been approved
22	and the settlement funds have been transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel, Winplus shall issue three		
23	separate 1099 forms, as follows:		
24		(a)	The first 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health
25			Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN:
26			68-0284486) in the amount of \$6,000;
27			
28		Ŷ.	
			8

1	(b)	The second 1099 shall be issued to John Moore in the amount of	
2		\$2,000, whose address and tax identification number shall be	
3		furnished upon request; and	
4	(c)	The third 1099 shall be issued to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-	
5		3171522) in the amount of \$25,000.	
6	(d)	If the final penalty in Section 3.1(b) above is paid, the fourth 1099	
7		shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard	
8		Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-	
9		0284486) in the amount of \$12,000;	
10	(e)	If the final penalty in Section 3.1(b) above is paid, the fifth 1099	
11		shall be issued to Moore in the amount of \$4,000, whose address and	
12		tax identification number shall be furnished upon request.	
13	3.3.3 Paym	ent Address: All payments to the Chanler Group shall be delivered to	
14	the following payment addre	SS:	
15	The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller		
16	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
17	Berkeley, CA		
18	4. <u>CLAIMS COVERE</u>	D AND RELEASED	
19	4.1 <u>Moore's Pub</u>	lic Release of Proposition 65 Claims	
20	Moore acting on his own behalf and in the public interest releases Winplus from all claims		
21	for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from the		
22	Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes		
23	compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Products as set forth in		
24	the Notice.		
25	4.2 Moore's Indi	vidual Release of Claims	
26	Moore also, in his individual capacity only and <i>not</i> in his representative capacity, provides		
27	a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all		
28	actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims,		

liabilities and demands of Moore of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to the DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Winplus.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.3 <u>Winplus' Release of Moore</u>

Winplus on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Moore, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

11

5.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

19 7.

GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Winplus shall notify Moore in writing and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

8.

NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by (i) registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, or (ii) overnight courier, to any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To Winplus: John M. Genga, Esq. Genga & Associates, P.C. 15260 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1810 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 To Moore: Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9.

COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or .pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

10.

11.

COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Moore and his attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Moore and Winplus agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall draft and file, and Winplus shall join. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Moore and Winplus shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

	1		
•	2	1	

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

Date: JUNE 24, 2012

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

- 11		
- 11		
- 1		

By: Plaintiff, John Moore

Date:_____

By:___

Authorized Signatory for Defendant, Winplus North America, Inc.

1		[PROPOSED] CO	NSENT JUDGMENT
28			12
27			
26			
25			
24			
23			
22			
21			
20			
19			
18			
17			
16			
15			
14			Winplus North America, Inc.
13	By	B Plaintiff, John Moore	Authorized Signatory for Defendant,
12			XXI
11	Dat	e: D	Date: 6-21-12
10			
9	AG	REED TO: A	GREED TO:
8	Conse	ent Judgment.	
7	respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
6	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
5	13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
4		Party and entry of a modified Consent Jud	Igment by the Court.
3	upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion		
2	This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and		
1	12.	MODIFICATION	