SEP 1 1 2014

ECEL

10-20-2014

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 19981) 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W

Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: Facsimile:

(310)623-1926(310) 623-1930

Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

OCT 20 2014

CLEBK OF THE COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

10

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP,

Plaintiff.

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

GRO-POWER, INC. a California corporation and DOES 1-20 15

Defendant.

Case No. CGC-12-520303

PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

## INTRODUCTION

**BY FAX** 

- This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Consumer 1.1 Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public and defendant Gro-Power, Inc. ("Defendant"), with each a Party and collectively referred to as "Parties."
- Defendant employs ten or more persons, and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") that manufactured distributed or sold Fertilizers containing Cadmium. Cadmium is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

TOCKEDMENT PREPARED.

CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPOSED

5. ~

1

6

Document Princes. Paresported Pares On or about July 19, 2011, CAG served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("July 19, 2011 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Cadmium contained in Fertilizers, an exemplar of which includes, but is not limited to, "Gro-Power Flower 'n' Bloom® 3-12-12, 8.8 lbs" allegedly sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 19, 2011 Notice.

## 1.4 Complaint.

On April 26, 2012, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-12-520303, against Defendants. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to Cadmium from Fertilizers.

### 1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction.

Solely for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.

### 1.6 No Admission.

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Defendants deny, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be

27

4.1.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendant shall pay

\$58,000 to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and

costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.

- 4.1.3 <u>Civil Penalty</u>: Defendant shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of \$5,000 as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25192: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$3,750, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$1,250, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$3,750. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$1,250 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.2 **Delivery of Payments:** Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

# 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAC on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Govered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 63 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Cadmium from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice. Defendant's and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to Cadmium from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute of participate in, directly or

1.

Digitalian Proparadi Of Record of Paper indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Cadmium in Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1342 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Cadmium from Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendants or the Released Entities. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

#### 6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, San Francisco County, giving the notice required by Jaw, enforce the terms and

conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 0 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of

- Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 0 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each Covered Product: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Product, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Product that were tested.
- 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 30 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serve a Notice of Election ("NOB") demonstrating that:
- (a) The Covered Product was shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
- (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendants have taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Product identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Product to Defendants, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Product identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendant may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) Covered Product tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Product does not contain Cadmium in excess of the level allowed in Section 0, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 0,

Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

- (c) If Defendant do not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

## 7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing of trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

### 8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- &2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

. 2

## 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

## 10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

#### 11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, and the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment on the California Attorney General in accordance with 11 C.C.R. § 3003.

#### 12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judginent contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral of otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

### 14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or

Describing Prepared.

AGREED TO:  Date: July, 2014  Aug. 28		AGREED TO: Date: July 2014		
Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  IT IS SO ORDERED.		By: Defendant CRO-POWER, INC.		
Date:		,	in an in the	
4.00	भोते सुर्वा देश त्यास्य क्ष्या स्थापना	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	如此,他们们就是一个人,但是一个人的人,他们们们们的人,他们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们们	
TO THE PARTY OF TH				
	•			
	•			
			•	
	•			
	į			
The state of the s				
Transference parents		•		
		. 10 -		

1 .				
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
3	Date: July, 2014	Date: July, 2014		
4				
5	By:	By: Great C. Hallen Prostert		
6	Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Defendant GRO-POWER, INC.		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
8				
9	Date: 0 chm 20, 2014	mula Julle		
10		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
11				
12				
13	,			
14				
15				
16		•		
17				
18 19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26	•			
27				
28				
		≈ 1 <b>0</b> u		
- 1E	CONTRACTOR 12.0	WARNING THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		

Standard Control of the Communication of the