# EXHIBIT "A" TO NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDERS RE JUDGMENT

1 2	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618 Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978  Attorneys for Plaintiff  ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED						
3	Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center  ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED Superior Court of California, County of Orange						
6 7	04/29/2014 at 06:08:38 PM  Clark of the Superior Court  By Mariene Diaz, Deputy Clerk						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA						
9	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER						
10	#						
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation,  Case No.: 30-2012-00606441-CU-MC-CJC						
12	Plaintiffs, ORDER						
13	vs.						
14	ASPEN GROUP, INC. and DOES 1-50,						
15	Inclusive,						
16 17	Defendants,						
18	Plaintiff Environmental Research Center's Motion to Approve Proposition 65 Settlement						
19	and for Entry of Consent Judgment came on for hearing in Department C-23 of this Court on						
20	April 14, 2014.						
21	Having read the motion, the memorandum and the declarations filed, and no opposition						
22	being filed,						
23	IT IS ORDERED THAT the motion of plaintiff Environmental Research Center to approve the						
24	Proposition 65 settlement and the Stipulated Consent Judgment, for entry of the Stipulated						
25	Consent Judgment, a signed copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1", is granted.						
26	- Lalin Hanine						
27 28	Dated: 5/2/14 Judge of the Seperior Court, warre						
20	FREDERICK P. AGUIRRE						

ORDER

PAGE 01

WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 1 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618 Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978 3 4 Attomeys for Plaintiff 5 Environmental Research Center 6 GREGORY R. OLESON, SBN 166284 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 78-075 Main Street, Suite 203 La Quinta, CA 92253 Tel: (760) 771-6363 Fax: (760) 771-6373 8 10 Attorneys for Defendant ASPEN GROUP, INC. 11 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 13 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 14 15 16 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH Case No.: 30-2012-00606441-CU-MC-CJC CENTER, a California non-profit 17 corporation, [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT 18 Plaintiffs. JUDGMENT; PROPOSEDI ORDER 19 [Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.] VS. 20 ASPEN GROUP, INC. and DOES 1-50. Inclusive, 21 Defendants, 22 23 24 INTRODUCTION 25 1.1 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking 26 Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 27 et seq. (also known as and herein after referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following 28 products (hereinafter collectively the "Covered Products" or "Covered Product" to refer to a

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

PAGE 02

2013	15:53 35	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	
1	single produ	).
2	1)	
3	2)	
4	3)	
5	4)	
6	5)	Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Parasit-X
7	ด	Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Slim Plus
8	7)	Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Nerv
9	8)	Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-G-Slim HD Plus
10	9)	Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Lung Maintenance
11	10	) Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Glucosync
12	1.2	Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") is a California non-profit
13	corporation a	sting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65 that is dedicated to, among other
14	causes, helph	g safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of
15	hazardous an	toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees,
16	and encourag	ing corporate responsibility. ERC brings this Action in the public interest pursuant
17	to California	Health and Safety Code; Section 25249.7.
18	1.3	Defendant ASPEN GROUP, INC. is a Wisconsin Corporation. For a portion of
19	the time perio	d regarding the claims asserted in the Action, ASPEN GROUP, INC. employed ten
20	or more perso	ns and during that period(s) was a "person in the course of doing business" within
21	the meaning	f Proposition 65. At the time of the execution of this Consent Judgment, ASPEN
22	GROUP, INC	. contends that it presently employs less than ten employees. ASPEN GROUP,
23	INC. manufac	tures, distributes and sells the Covered Products.
24	1.4	ERC and ASPEN GROUP, INC. are hereinafter sometimes referred to
25	individually a	s a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
26	1.5	On October 21, 2011, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
27	l 1	ERC served a Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on
28	the California	Attorney General, other public enforcers, and ASPEN GROUP, INC. A true and
		2
	•	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT HEDGMENT
	•	: •

2:59 9207871552

PAGE 93

1 2

б

.15 

correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 1.6 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations, and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against ASPEN GROUP, INC. with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notice of Violations.
- 1.7 The Complaint and the Notice of Violations each allege that ASPEN GROUP, INC. manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. They further allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. ASPEN GROUP, INC. denies all material allegations of the Notice of Violations and the Complaint, asserts numerous affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person. By entering into this Consent Judgment, ASPEN GROUP, INC. does not admit a violation of state or federal law.
- 1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation as to all claims currently known by ERC regarding Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right; remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

PAGE 84

1.9 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

1.10 The Parties enter this Consent Judgment after extensive negotiations and after disclosure of financial information revealing the financial condition of ASPEN GROUP, INC., which disclosure supports the amount of the settlement and results in a settlement of this matter for costs and fees only. The terms of this Consent Judgment were negotiated concurrently with ATRIUM, INC., which is a defendant in the matter of ERC v. ATRIUM, INC., et al., Orange County Superior Court Case Number 30-2012-00606444. ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC. are related in ownership and control. Therefore, the payments required pursuant to this Consent Judgment, in Section 4 are jointly and severally owed by ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead, unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirements in Section 3.3 or qualifies a "Reformulated Covered Product" pursuant to Section 3.4. "Distributing into California" and "Distribute into California" mean to directly ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Products to a distributor that ASPEN GROUP, INC. knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

#### 3.2 Calculation of Lead Levels

As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are calculated pursuant to the testing

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PAGE 05

protocol described in Section 3.5. For purposes of measuring the lead, the highest lead detection result of the 5 randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

#### 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall provide the following warning:

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The text in brackets in the warning above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the Covered Product's label so as to be clearly conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the label as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the Covered Product. If the warning is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the Covered Product and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

For any Covered Product sold via a website, the warning shall appear on the checkout page on the website for California consumers relating to any of the Covered Products being sold.

ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall not provide any additional information; statements, or comments regarding Proposition 65 in addition to the Warning, but ASPEN GROUP, INC. may refer customers to its company website address and provide any information separately on its website.

#### 3.4 Reformulated Covered Products.

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day.

#### 3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

(a) Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall test five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products (in the form intended for

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27 28 sale to the end-user) for lead content. The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which ASPEN GROUP, INC. has provided the warning specified in Section 3.3.

- (b) Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("TCP-MS") or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- (c) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- (d) ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of the test. ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall provide copies of the test results to ERC within 10 days of ASPEN GROUP, INC.'s receipt of the test results.
- (e) ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall test each of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of four (4) consecutive years by testing five randomly selected samples of each Covered Product which ASPEN GROUP, INC. intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the four (4) year period. ASPEN GROUP, INC. changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.
- (f) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product fusing the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product label),

PAGE 07

1 2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall make a total payment of \$37,500.00 within 10
business days of the Effective Date, which shall be in full and final satisfaction of all potential
civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and attorney's fees and costs. The payment will
be sent to counsel for ERC, William F. Wraith, Wraith Law, 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite
250, Irvine, California, 92618. The payment shall be issued as separate checks apportioned as
follows:

- 4.2 \$14,038.66 payable to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this Action.
- 4.3 \$16,280.17 payable to William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs.
- 4.4 \$7,181.17 payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs.
- 4.5 Pursuant to Section 1.10, ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC. are jointly and severally responsible for the full amount of the payments required in this Section. Therefore, each owes the full amount, though only a total of \$37,500.00 is owed between ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC.

#### 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and (ii) upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, ERC is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding any modification requested or initiated by ASPEN GROUP, INC.

#### 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
  - 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

9207871552

this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

#### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

### 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 ERC acting on its own behalf and in the public interest releases ASPEN, INC. and its directors, officers, shareholders and affiliares, including Nutri-Pak, (excluding private label customers) from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and Complaint.

#### 8.2 Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not now known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETILEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC, on one hand, and ASPEN GROUP, INC., on the other hand, each release 8.3

PAGE 09

1

2

4

6 7

8

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

25 26

27 28 and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys in connection with the Notice of Violations or this Action.

#### 9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following

#### For ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

William F. Wraith, Esq. Wraith Law 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618

For ASPEN GROUP, INC.

Gregory R. Oleson, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 78-075 Main Street, Suite 203 La Quinta, CA 92253

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

PAGE 10

Aspen Group, Inc. Atm: Ashley Butler P.O. Box 469 Green Lake, WI 54941

#### 11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court despite the Parties' best efforts, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

#### 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter berein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

#### 27 | 14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL

14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties.

10/23/2013 12:59 9207871552

PAGE 11

1	The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed							
2	regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:							
3	(a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good							
4	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been							
5	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and							
6	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section							
7	25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, and this Consent Judgment.							
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:							
9	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER							
10	a Karal Antill							
11	Dated: 19/23/2013							
12	Chris Hapfingfall, Executive Director							
13	ASPEN GROUP, INC.							
14								
15	Touette or Drimmer Dated: 10/23/13							
16	By: Roberta L. Junius							
17								
18	APPROVED AS TO FORM:							
19								
20	WRAITH LAW							
21	Mait Wheith Dated: 10/23/2013							
22	William F. Wraith							
23	Counsel for Environmental Research Center							
24	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP							
25.	· _							
26	hugur Th. Olyan Dated: 10-14-13							
27	Gregory R. Olesen Attorneys for Defendant, Aspen Group, Inc.							
28	a amenang a ara m randomang a mputa maring atte							
	-11-							
	[FROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT							

PAGE 12

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

valsu.	
	Judge, Superior Court of the State of California
	Migge, Superior Lours of the State of Lautomic
	and a manuscript of the party o

-12-

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

### LAW OFFICE OF KAREN A. EVANS

4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116 Tel: (619) 640-8100 E-Mail: karen.erc@cox.net

October 21, 2011

### NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Aspen Group, Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Aqua-Lim - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen-PMS - Lead

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Siberian Ginseng - Lead

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 2

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Enviro-Gard - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Parasit-X - Lead

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Slim Plus - Lead

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Nerv - Lead

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Slim HD Plus - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Lung Maintenance - Lead

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Glucosync - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least October 21, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 3

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Karen A. Evans

Karen a. Evans

#### Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Aspen Group, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011
Page 4

#### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Aspen Group, Inc.

#### I, Karen A. Evans, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
  - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 21, 2011

Karen A. Evans

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 5

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On October 21, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Aspen Group, Inc. W8098 Beechnut Ave. Wautoma, WI 54982

Frank Flettenberger, Esq.
Registered Agent of Aspen Group, Inc.
125 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street
P.O.Box 406
Delavan, WI 53191

Aspen Group, Inc. 460 South Townline Road Wautoma, WI 54982

Aspen Group, Inc. P.O.Box 469 Green Lake, WI 54941

On October 21, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 21, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on October 21, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

MANI

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011

Page 6

#### **Service List**

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

# Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 7

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo Caunty 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Maieo County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco. CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

# ERC v. ASPEN GROUP, INC., Case No. 30-2012-00606441-CU-MC-CJC

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE 3 I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident or employed in the 4 county where the mailing took place. My business address is 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, CA 92618. 5 On April 29, 2014, I served the foregoing documents described as: **ORDER** on the following interested parties in this action in the manner identified below: 6 Gregory R. Oleson, Esq. 7 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 8 78075 Main Street, Suite 203 La Quinta, CA 92253 Tel: (760) 771-6363 Fax: (760) 771-6373 10 Attorney for Defendant ASPEN GROUP, INC. 11 [X]BY MAIL - COLLECTION: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing following this business's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this 12 business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the 13 ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 14 BY MAIL - USPS DEPOSIT: I deposited the sealed envelope with the United States 15 Postal service with the postage fully prepaid. 16 ſΊ BY FACSIMILE: I caused such document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile transmission to the addresse(s) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013(e). 17 BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused a true copy of such document(s) to be hand-18 delivered to the addresse(s) via a California registered process server pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1011. If required, the process server's original proof of personal 19 service will be filed with the court immediately upon its receipt. 20 BY EXPRESS MAIL/CARRIER: I deposited the sealed envelope with delivery fees paid or provided for, or postage fully prepaid, for delivery in a box or other facility 21 regularly maintained by [\_\_\_\_\_], an express service carrier providing overnight delivery pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013(c). regularly maintained by [ 22 BY EMAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused the documents to be sent 23 to the persons at the e-mail addresses. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was 24 unsuccessful. 25 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on April 29, 2014 at Irvine, California. 26 William Falaith 27

William F. Wraith

-1-

28

# EXHIBIT "B" TO NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDERS RE JUDGMENT

PAGE 21

	•	FLED
WRAI	IAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 TH LAW	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIF COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTE
Living,	Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 California 92618	MAY 1 5 2014
Tel: (9) Fax: (9)	49) 251- <del>99</del> 77 49) 251-9978	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the
		PV.
Attome	sys for Plaintiff amental-Research Center	: :
		<b>"</b>
LEWIS	ory R. Oleson, sen 166284 Berisbots bisgaard & smt	THILP
La Qui	Main Street, Suite 203 nta, CA 92253	•
Tel: (7) Fax: (7)	nta, CA 92253 50) 771-6363 60) 771-6373	
	•	•
Attorne ASPEN	eys for Defendent I GROUP, INC.	
	erre with broken a life and	
•	<i>:</i>	COURT OF CALIFORNIA
	COUNTY OF ORAN	GE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
PARTE	ONMENTAL RESEARCH	) Case No.: 30-2012-01606441-CU-MC-CJC
CENTE	IX, a California non-profit	
	Plaintiffs,	PROMEST STRULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; PROPOSED ORDER
,	<b>VB.</b> .	[Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.]
ASPEN	GROUP, INC. and DOES 1-50, re,	
Inclusiv		
Inclusiv	Defendants,	.}
inclusiv	Defendants,	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<b>1.</b> '}	INTRODUCTION	
<b>1.</b> ']	INTRODUCTION LI This Action exises out of ti	no alloged violations of California's Safe Drinking
<b>1.</b> ']	INTRODUCTION LI This Action exises out of ti	no alloged violations of California's Safe Drinking  6, California Realth and Safety Code Section 25249.5
1. ; Water o	INTRODUCTION  L.1 This Action exises out of the control of the con	
; Water o et seq. ()	INTRODUCTION  L.1 This Action exises out of the control of the con	6, California Healtiz and Safety Code Section 25249.5

1 single product): 2 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Aqua-Lim 3 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen-PMS 4 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Siberian Ginsens 3 5 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Enviro-Gard 6 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Parasit-X 7 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Shim Phus 8 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Nerv 9 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-G-Slim HD Plus 10 Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Lung Maintenance 11 10) Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Gincosync 12 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") is a California non-profit 13 conpuration spring as a private enforcer of Proposition 65 that is dedicated to, among other causes, helphig safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chamicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees. 15 and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC brings this Action in the public interest parsuant 16 17 to California Health and Safety Code; Section 25249.7. 18 1.3 Defendant ASPEN GROUP, INC. is a Wisconsin Corporation. For a portion of 19 the time period regarding the claims asserted in the Action, ASPRN GROUP, INC. employed ten or more persons and during that period(s) was a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. At the time of the execution of this Consent Judgment, ASPEN 21 GROUP, INC. contends that it presently employs less than ten employees. ASPEN GROUP, 22 23 INC. manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Products. 24 1.4 ERC and ASPEN GROUP, INC. are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties." 25 On October 21, 2011, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section. 1.5 27 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served a Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on 28 the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and ASPHN GROUP, INC. A true and -2--. ej stipulated consent itægment

3 4

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

PAGE 93

correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hearto as Exhibit A.

- 1.6 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations. and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against ASPEN GROUP, INC. with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, BRC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil panalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notice of Violations.
- The Complaint and the Notice of Violations each alless that ASPEN GROUP. INC. manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 wanning. They further allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing olear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. ASPEN GROUP, INC, denies all material allegations of the Notice of Violations and the Complaint, asserts humorous affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person. By entering into this Consent Judgment, ASPHN GROUP, INC. does not admit a violation of state or federal law.
- 1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation as to all claims contently known by HKC regarding Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or he construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, stiarcholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without ibnitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right; remedy, argument, or definise the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

PAGE RA

1 2

3

4

6

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

9227071552

1	. e	The	"Effective Date"	of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent
Judemen	t is e	ntered	l by the Court.	

The Parties enter this Consent Judgment after extensive negotiations and after disclosure of financial information revealing the financial condition of ASPEN GROUP, INC., which disclosure supports the amount of the settlement and results in a settlement of this matter for costs and fees only. The terms of this Consent Judgment were negotiated concurrently with ATRIUM, INC., which is a defendant in the matter of ERC v. ATRIUM, INC., et al., Orange County Superior Court Case Number 30-2012-00606444. ASPHN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC. are related in ownership and control. Therefore, the payments required pursuant to this Consent Judgment, in Section 4 are jointly and severally owed by ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipplate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Penties; that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

#### Injunctive relief, reformulation, testing, and warnings

Baginning on the Effective Date, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall be permanently 3.1 enjoined from manufacturing for sale in California, directly salling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California" anylof the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead, unless such Covered Product compiles with the warning requirements in Section 3.3 or qualifies a "Reformulated Covered Product" pursuant to Section 3.4. "Distributing into California" and "Distribute into California" mean to directly ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Products to a distributor that ASPEN GROUP, INC, knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

#### 3.2 Calculation of Lead Levels

As used in this Consent Judginent, lead levels are calculated pursuant to the testing

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

.12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28 PAGE

protocol described in Section 3.5.	For purposes	of measuring the	lead,	fire highest lead detect	tion
result of the 5 randomly selected s	amples of the	Covered Produc	ts will	l be controlling.	

#### 3.3. Clear and Reasonable Warnings.

For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1. ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall provide the following warning:

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive barm.

The text in brackets in the waining above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the Covered Product's label so as to be clearly conspicuous, as compared with other statements or designs on the label as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the Covered Product. If the warning is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the Covered Product and the word WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

For any Covered Product sold via a website, the warning shall appear on the checkout page on the website for California consumers relating to any of the Covered Products being sold.

ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall not provide any additional information; statements, or comments regarding Proposition 65 in addition to the Warning, but ASPEN GROUP, INC. may refer customers to its company website address and provide any information separately on its website.

#### Reformulated Covered Products.

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily serving on the label contains no mora-than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day.

#### 3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

(a) Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall test five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products (in the form intended for

10

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

24

25 26

27

sale to the end-been) for lead content. The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which ASPEN CROUP, INC. has provided the warning specified in Section 3.3.

- (b) Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") or may other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- (a) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an Independent third party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- (d) ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of the test. ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall provide copies of the test results to ERC within 10 days of ASPEN GROUP, INC.'s receipt of the test results.
- (a) ASPHN GROUP, INC. shall test each of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of four (4) consecutive years by testing five randomly selected samples of each Covered Product which ASPEN GROUP, INC. intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly salling to a consumer in California, or 'Distributing into California. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the four (4) year period, ASPHN GROUP, INC. changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Profests and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.
- (f) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposine levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product fusing the largest serving size appearing on the product lebel), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product label),

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 9207871552

PAGE 07

which eq	gorsier zlanj	rams of lead	exposure	per	ďα
----------	---------------	--------------	----------	-----	----

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- ASPEN GROUP, INC. shall make a total payment of \$37,500.00 within 10 business days of the Effective Dats, which shall be in full and final satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and attorney's fees and costs. The payment will be sent to counsel fur ERC, William F. Wreith, Wraith Law, 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, California, 92618. The payment shall be issued as separate checks apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$14,038.65 payable to ERC as reimburgement to ERC for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this Action.
- 4.3 \$16,280.17 payable to William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs.
- \$7,181.17 payable to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fires and attorney's costs.
- 4.5 Pursuant to Section 1.10, ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC. are jointly and severally responsible for the full amount of the payments required in this Section. Therefore, each owes the full amount, though only a total of \$37,500.00 is owed between ASPEN GROUP, INC. and ATRIUM, INC.

#### 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and (ii) upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, ERC is entitled to reimburement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding any modification requested or initiated by ASPEN GROUP, INC.

#### 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
  - 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with

PAGE 08

2

3

5 6 7

8

10 11

13

14 15

1<del>6</del> 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

25

27 28 this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchiseas, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

# 8. Binding effect, claims covered and released

8.1 ERC acting on its own behalf and in the public interest releases ASPEN, INC. and its directors, officers, shareholders and affiliates, including Nutri-Pak, (excluding private label customers) from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Bifective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations.

Compliance with the terms of this Crassau Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and Complaint.

#### 8.2 Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not now known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

8.3 ERC, on one hand, and ASPEN GROUP, INC., on the other hand, each release

9/12

PAGE 09

1

2

3

4 5

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9207871552

and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors. employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertakén by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, and attenneys in connection with the Notice of Violations or this Action.

#### 9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unemforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and 9.3 construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Indement by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following

#### For ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

William F. Wraith, Esq. Wraith Law 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618

For ASPEN GROUP, INC.

Gregory R. Oleson, Esq. Lewis Brispois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 78-075 Main Street, Suite 203 La Quinta, CA 92253

PAGE 1.9

1 2 3

4

5

б 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

28

Aspen Group, Inc. Atin: Ashley Butler P.O. Box 469 Groon Lake, WI 54941

#### 11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion. !
- 11.3' If this Stipulated Conseat Judgment is not approved by the Court despite the Parties' best efforts, it shall be mill and void and have no force or effect.

#### 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facilimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- This Consent Judement contains the sole and entire sercement and understanding 13.1 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter berein, and any and all prior discussions, negoriations, commitments and maderstandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No criter agreements, and or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be desmed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment pertifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

#### 14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR AFFROVAL 27

14.1 This Consent Independs has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties.

PAGE 11

1	The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
2	regarding the matters which are the subject of this aution, to:
3	(a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good
4	faith settlement of all metters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
5	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
6	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section
7	25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, and this Consent Judgment.
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
9	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
10	1 Shared States
11	Chris Hawfild fill Executive Director
12	
13	ASEEN GROUP, INC.
14	Colecta & Svimmer pared: 10/23/13
15	By: Roberta of Orman Dated: 102113
16	Der Platelant
17	ADDDANGES AS SECONA.
18	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
19	WRAITH LAW
20	
21	Multi Wheel Dated: 10/3/2013
22	William F. Wreith Counsel for Environmental Research Conter
23	•
24	lewis brisbois bisgaard & Smith Llp
25	h. 4 17 (10
26	Grogory R. Olestin Dated: [5-14. []
27	Attorneys for Defendant, Aspen Group, Inc.
28	
	-JI-
	( STEPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
ll.	·

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED. б Dated: he Court of the State of California FREDERICK PLACE RIVE . 19 

-12-

e] strulated consent ludgment

# LAW OFFICE OF KAREN A. EVANS

4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116 Tel: (619) 640-8100 E-Mail: karen.erc@cox.net

October 21, 2011

# NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

Alleged Violator. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Aspen Group, Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Aqua-Lim - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen-PMS - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Siberian Ginseng - Lead Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011
Page 2

Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Enviro-Gard - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Parasit-X - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Slim Plus - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Nerv - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Chit-O-Slim HD Plus - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Lung Maintenance - Lead Aspen Group Inc. Aspen Glucosync - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least October 21, 2008, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 3

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

Karen a. Evans

Karen A. Evans

### Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Aspen Group, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of
Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011
Page 4

### **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Aspen Group, Inc.

## I. Karen A. Evans, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
  - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 21, 2011

Karen A. Evans

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011
Page 5

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On October 21, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Aspen Group, Inc. W8098 Beechnut Ave. Wautoma, WI 54982

Frank Flettenberger, Esq. Registered Agent of Aspen Group, Inc. 125 N. 2<sup>nd</sup> Street P.O.Box 406 Delavan, WI 53191 Aspen Group, Inc. 460 South Townline Road Wautoma, WI 54982

Aspen Group, Inc. P.O.Box 469 Green Lake, WI 54941

On October 21, 2011, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 21, 2011, 1 served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a scaled envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on October 21, 2011, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011 Page 6

#### Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 909 Oakland, CA 94612

District Atterney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 961 20

District Attorney, Amader County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Onwille, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Centra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Atterney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Cressent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Derado County 515 Main Street Piacerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Talore Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glean County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Burcke, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Tructum Avenue Bekersfield, CA 93301 District Attenney, Kings County 1400 West Lacoy Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Furbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Medera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Maripusa County Post Office Box 730 Maripusa, CA 95338

District Attenney, Mendocine County Post Office Box 1000 Ulcinh. CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modee County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

# Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 21, 2011

Page 7

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Reserville, CA 93678

District Attorney, Planes County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attornsy, Seasumento County 901 "G" Street Secremento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ploor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney,San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Josephin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attenuty, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Marce County 400 County Ctr., 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attennsy, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreks, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modeste, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Telama County Post Office Box 519 Red Binff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuchumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yelo Courny 301 2<sup>rd</sup> Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attenney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Atterney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Atturnsy's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

# ERC v. ASPEN GROUP, INC., Case No. 30-2012-00606441-CU-MC-CJC

۱ ۱	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE	
3	I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident or employed in the	
4	county where the mailing took place. My business address is 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, S	uite
5	250, Irvine, CA 92618. On April 29, 2014, I served the foregoing documents described as: ORDER on the following	3
6	interested parties in this action in the manner identified below:	
7	Gregory R. Oleson, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP	
8	78075 Main Street, Suite 203	
9	Fax: (760) 771-6373	
10		
11	III BI WAIL - COLLECTION: I placed the envelope for collection an	iling
12	following this business's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Or	ı the
ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a	same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited i ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envewith postage fully prepaid.	lope
14		
15	[] BY MAIL - USPS DEPOSIT: I deposited the sealed envelope with the United S Postal service with the postage fully prepaid.	iaies
16 17	[] BY FACSIMILE: I caused such document(s) to be transmitted via facs transmission to the addresse(s) pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013(e).	imile
18	[] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused a true copy of such document(s) to be I delivered to the addresse(s) via a California registered process server pursuant to Co	and- de of
Civil Procedure section 1011. If required, the process server's original service will be filed with the court immediately upon its receipt.	Civil Procedure section 1011. If required, the process server's original proof of per	sonal
20   BY EXPRESS MAIL/CARRIER: I deposited the sealed envelope with	[] BY EXPRESS MAIL/CARRIER: I deposited the scaled envelope with delivery	fees
21	paid or provided for, or postage fully prepaid, for delivery in a box or other fa regularly maintained by	cinty iding
22	overnight delivery pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1013(c).	
23	[] BY EMAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION: I caused the documents to be to the persons at the e-mail addresses. I did not receive, within a reasonable time	after
24	the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission unsuccessful.	was
25		
26		
27	William Falaille	
28		

William F. Wraith

## ERC v. ASPEN GROUP, INC., Case No. 30-2012-00606441-CU-MC-CJC 1 **PROOF OF SERVICE** 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE 3 I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am a resident or employed in the 4 county where the mailing took place. My business address is 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, CA 92618. 5 On June 19, 2014, I served the foregoing documents described as: **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDERS RE JUDGMENT** on the following interested parties in this action in the manner identified below: 7 Gregory R. Oleson, Esq. Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP 8 78075 Main Street, Suite 203 La Quinta, CA 92253 Tel: (760) 771-6363 10 Fax: (760) 771-6373 Attorney for Defendant ASPEN GROUP, INC. 11 **Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting** 12 Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 13 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 14 Oakland, California 94612-0550 15 16 [X]BY MAIL - COLLECTION: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing following this business's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the 17 18 ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 19 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true 21 and correct. Executed on June 19, 2014 at Irvine, California. Villian Fulaith 22 23 William F. Wraith 24 25 26 27

28