CONFORMED COPY
ORIGINAL FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAY 15 2014

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) 1 Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 3 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212 4 Telephone: 310.623.1926 5 Facsimile: 310.623.1930 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 6 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Jeff W. Lipp, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff.

v.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 MARUKAI CORPORATION, a Hawai'i
Corporation, DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC, a
California Limited Liability Company,
DAISO HOLDING, USA INC., a
Washington Corporation, and DOES 1-20;

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC469147 (Consolidated with BC492509)

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Dept. 40

Judge: Hon. Michelle R. Rosenblatt Complaint filed: September 8, 2011

FAC filed: May 29, 2012

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendants, Marukai Corporation (referred to as "Marukai"), Daiso California LLC (referred to as "Daiso LLC"), and Daiso Holding, USA Inc. (referred to as "Daiso Holding") (Daiso LLC and Daiso Holding collectively referred to as "Daiso," and Marukai, Daiso LLC, and Daiso Holding collectively referred to as "Settling Defendants" and

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

individually as a "Settling Defendant"), with each referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendants and Products

- 1.2.1 Marukai is a Hawaii corporation which acknowledges for purposes of this Consent Judgment that it employs ten or more persons in the State of California. CAG alleges that Marukai manufactures, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes certain products. The products that are the subject of this Consent Judgment, as described by CAG, are:
- (1) Clear Monthly type Wall tapestry pocket "Storage Pocket" "size width about 16 inch xhight [sic] about 17.6 inch" "40 cm x 44 cm" "Produced for DAISO JAPAN" "D106" "NO. 131", UPC# 4940921813753 (hereinafter "Storage Pocket");
- (2) Black Monthly type Wall tapestry pocket "Storage Pocket" "size width about 16 inch xhight [sic] about 17.6 inch" "40 cm x 44 cm" "Produced for DAISO JAPAN" "D106" "NO. 132", UPC# 4940921813760 (hereinaster "Tapestry Pocket");
- (3) Wall Hanging Pocket "CD" "For Compact disc putting" "8 pocket" "clear type" "Produced for DAISO JAPAN" "D-106" "No. 126" "Storage Pocket", UPC# 4940921813777 (hereinafter "Hanging Pocket");
- (4) Suspended vinyl accessory bag "3" "Waterproof vinyl bag" "WCT 1" "Accessory case" "No. 5" "DAISO" "For toys storage" "For pocket-size tissue paper preservation" "For bath small items storage" "18 x 14 x 15 cm", UPC# 4984355070870 (hereinafter "Accessory Bag"),
- (5) Orange Pick-Up Tool "(L)" "Easy to reach with magnet iron articles from places beyond reach." "It is 16" in the total length if it extends it." "40 cm" "16" " "Produced For DAISO JAPAN" "HANDTOOL" "818", UPC# 4984343511255 (hereinafter "Tool");

 manufactured, sells, or distributes 1) Storage Pocket, 2) Tapestry Pocket, 3) Hanging Pocket, 4) Accessory Bag, 5) Tool, 6) Blue Tool, 7) Pocket, 8) Clip, and 9) Tissue Purse.

- 1.2.3 Daiso Holding is a Washington Corporation which employs less than ten persons.
- 1.2.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Marukai and Daiso LLC each acknowledges that it is a person in the course of doing business in California and is subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemicals of Concern

- 1.3.1 Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP") is identified on the current list issued by the State of California under Proposition 65 (the "Proposition 65 List") as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP") is identified on the Proposition 65 List as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.3 Lead is identified on the Proposition 65 List as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation

1.4.1 On or about November 30, 2010, CAG served Marukai and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "November 30, 2010 Notice"), pursuant to which CAG sought to provide the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Tissue Purse. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 30, 2010 Notice.

 1.4.2 On or about December 23, 2010, CAG served Daiso LLC, Daiso Holding and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "December 23, 2010 Notice"), pursuant to which CAG sought to provide the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Tissue Purse. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 23, 2010 Notice.

1.4.3 On or about November 23, 2011, CAG served Marukai, Daiso LLC, Daiso Holding and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "November 23, 2011 Notice"), pursuant to which CAG sought to provide the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in 1) Storage Pocket, 2) Tapestry Pocket, 3) Hanging Pocket, 4) Accessory Bag, 5) Tool, 6) Blue Tool, 7) Pocket, 8) Gray Sunglasses, 9) Black Sunglasses, and for exposures to lead contained in 1) Belt, 2) Brake Tool, 3) Binder, 4) Clip, and for exposures to DBP contained in 1) Gray Sunglasses. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 23, 2011 Notice.

1.4.4 On or about December 5, 2011, CAG served Marukai, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "December 5, 2011 Notice"), pursuant to which CAG sought to provide the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to lead contained in Coated Clips. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the December 5, 2011 Notice.

1.4.5 On or about April 10, 2012, CAG served Marukai, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "April 10, 2012 Notice"), pursuant to which CAG sought to provide the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of

exposures to DEHP contained in Reading Glasses. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the April 10, 2012 Notice.

1.5 CAG's Allegations and Claims

- 1.5.1 On September 8, 2011, CAG filed a Complaint against Marukai, Daiso LLC, and Daiso Holding (collectively "Defendants") for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC469147. CAG later filed a First Amended Complaint ("FAC") on or about May 29, 2012. The parties stipulate for purposes of this Consent Judgment that the FAC is deemed amended to include a cause of action for violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Reading Glasses.
- 1.5.2 CAG also filed a Complaint against Marukai on September 21, 2012 in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC492509, which was then consolidated with Case No. BC469147 on January 28, 2013.
- 1.5.3 The Complaint and FAC in this action and the Complaint in the consolidated action (collectively referred to below as the "Complaints") allege, among other things, consumer, occupational and environmental exposures in violation of Proposition 65 and that Settling Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP, DBP, and lead with respect to Covered Products (as defined below) and Marukai Only Covered Products (as defined below).

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaints, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaints and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

2

9 10

8

l 1 12

14

13

16 17

18

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by any of the Parties of: (1) any material allegation of the Complaints (each and every allegation of which, as directed to it, each of the Settling Defendants denies); or (2) any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, (a) any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or (b) the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated entities, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the following products if manufactured, distributed, or sold by either Daiso or Marukai: 1) Storage Pocket, 2) Tapestry Pocket, 3) Hanging Pocket, 4) Accessory Bag, 5) Tool, 6) Blue Tool, 7) Pocket, 8) Clip, and 9) Tissue Purse. Covered Products are limited to those products which were specifically referenced in the Notices (as defined below).
- 2.2 "Marukai Only Covered Products" means the following products if manufactured, distributed or sold by Marukai: 1) Binder, 2) Gray Sunglasses, 3) Black

Sunglasses, 4) Brake Tool, 5) Belt, 6) Coated Clips, and 7) Reading Glasses. Marukai Only Covered Products are limited to those products which were subject to the Notices (as defined below).

- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.4 "Notices" means the November 30, 2010, December 23, 2010, November 23, 2011, December 5, 2011, and April 10, 2012 60-Day Notices of Violation sent by CAG as specified in Section 1.4 above.

B. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

- 3.1 Daiso and Marukai each agrees not to sell or offer for sale in California the Covered Products unless they are reformulated as follows:
- (a) Storage Pocket, Tapestry Pocket, Hanging Pocket, Accessory Bag, Tool, Blue Tool, Pocket, and Tissue Purse to contain less than 1,000 parts per million of DEHP; and
 - (b) Clip to contain less than 100 parts per million of lead.
- 3.2 Further, Marukai shall not sell or offer for sale in California Marukai Only Covered Products in California unless they are reformulated as follows:
- (a) Gray Sunglasses, Black Sunglasses, Reading Glasses, to contain less than 1,000 parts per million of DEHP;
 - (b) Gray Sunglasses to contain less than 1,000 parts per million of DBP; and
- (c) Coated Clips, Binder, Brake Tool, and Belt to contain less than 100 parts per million of lead.
- 3.3 Reformulation is required for all Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products manufactured by, delivered to, or received by a Settling Defendant for sale in California after the Effective Date. For all Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products to be sold or distributed in California and existing in a Settling Defendant's inventory as of the Effective Date, Defendants will provide Proposition 65 compliant warnings on said

 products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Settling Defendant shall not engage in any California sale of the Covered Products or Marukai Only Covered Products without providing a warning containing the following or substantially similar to the following language that is placed on the labels or tags of Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products:

(a) For Covered Products Alleged in the Complaints to Contain Lead -

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

(b) For Covered Products Alleged in the Complaints to Contain DEHP -

WARNING: This product contains Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

(c) For Marukai Only Covered Products Alleged in the Complaints to Contain Lead -

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

(d) For Marukai Only Covered Products Alleged in the Complaints to Contain DBP -

WARNING: This product contains Di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

(e) For Marukai Only Covered Products Alleged in the Complaints to Contain DEHP -

WARNING: This product contains Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP"), a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after handling.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within ten (10) business days of entry of this Consent Judgment, and in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the

Notices and to the claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaints, Marukai shall pay the sum of One Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$190,000.00) and Daiso shall pay the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$100,000.00), as follows:

- 4.1.1 Checks totaling Twenty-eight Thousand Dollars (\$28,000.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 shall be issued, as follows:
 - (a) Marukai will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$15,000.00) and Daiso will issue a check made payable to OEHHA in the amount of Six Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$6,000.00), the total amount of these two checks (\$21,000.00) representing 75% of the total penalty;
 - (b) Marukai will issue a check to CAG in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$5,000.00) and Daiso will issue a check to CAG in the amount of Two Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$2,000.00), the total amount of these two checks (\$7,000.00) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
 - (c) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments. Marukai and Daiso will each issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486), in the amount of \$15,000.00 by Marukai and in the amount of \$6,000.00 by Daiso. Marukai and Daiso will also each issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212 in the amount of \$5,000.00 by Marukai and in the amount of \$2,000.00 on the part of Daiso.
- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: As payment in lieu of civil penalties, Marukai will issue a check to CAG in the amount of Thirteen Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$13,000.00) and Daiso will issue a check to CAG in the amount of Five Thousand

24

25

26

27 28

Dollars and No Cents (\$5,000.00). CAG represents that it will use these payments for the following: (a) investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (b) expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals; and (c) the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for the litigation. CAG represents that it will undertake the foregoing, in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm allegedly at issue in the instant Actions. Further, should the Court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The checks for the separate payments due from Daiso and Marukai under this Section 4.1.2 shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: Marukai shall pay One Hundred Fifty-seven Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$157,000.00) and Daiso shall pay Eighty-seven Thousand Dollars and No Cents (\$87,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement to CAG for its claims for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

23

28

- 4.2 Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.
- 4.3 The payment obligations of Daiso and Marukai under this Consent Judgment are separate and several obligations.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Marukai, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Marukai for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to DEHP and lead from Covered Products and DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products as set forth in the Notices for the Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products and the Complaints, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Actions up through and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding DEHP and lead and Marukai Only Covered Products regarding DEHP, DBP, and lead. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases, discharges and covenants not to sue Marukai and its parent companies, subsidiaries, officers, directors, shareholders, agents, employees, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, and agents ("Marukai Released Parties") and MKK Imports, its parent companies, subsidiaries, officers, directors, shareholders, agents, employees, and affiliates and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, and agents (the "Upstream Released Parties") from all claims up to and including through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP and

lead from the Covered Products and DEHP, DBP and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products. The only upstream releases being given are to the Upstream Released Parties and not to any other entities. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Marukai Released Parties and the Upstream Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products and DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than the Marukai Released Parties or the Upstream Released Parties.

- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Marukai Released Parties and the Upstream Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP or lead from the Covered Products and DEHP, DBP, or lead from Marukai Only Covered Products.
- 5.3 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Daiso, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Daiso for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices for the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding DEHP and lead. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby releases, discharges and covenants not to sue Daiso

and its parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers, employees, agents, (collectively, "Second Released Parties"), from all claims up to and including the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Second Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Daiso or Second Released Parties.

- 5.4 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against Daiso and the Second Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP or lead from the Covered Products.
- 5.5 In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products and as to alleged exposures to DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products and exposure to DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR

16

17

18

22

26

HER, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products and exposure to DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP and lead from the Covered Products, and exposure to or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP, DBP and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any of the Marukai Released Parties, the Upstream Released Parties, Daiso, or the Second Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP and lead from Covered Products and DEHP, DBP, and lead from Marukai Only Covered Products as may exist as of the Effective Date but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts in good faith to resolve such Party's failure to comply.

 other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to each Settling Defendant alleged to be in violation of the terms of this Consent Judgment (hereinafter "an NOV Recipient"). The NOV shall include for each of Covered Products or Marukai Only Covered Products (1) the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed, and (2) the location at which the Covered Product and/or Marukai Only Covered Product was offered for sale. The NOV shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products that were tested.

- 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, the NOV Recipient serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
- (a) The Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products alleged to be in violation identified in the NOV were shipped by or to the NOV Recipient for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
- (b) Since receiving the NOV, the NOV Recipient has taken corrective action by either (i) removing, or requesting that its customers or stores in California remove the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products to the NOV Recipient or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a "clear and reasonable warning" pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603 for the Covered Products and/or Only Marukai Only Covered Products identified in the NOV.

- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. A Settling Defendant on which an NOV is served may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within thirty (30) days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, the NOV Recipient may request that the sample(s) Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1 and/or 3.2, above, the NOV Recipient may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If an NOV Recipient does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the CAG and the NOV Recipient shall meet and confer for a period of no less than thirty (30) days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by any Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such Party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, and/or remedies as may be provided under applicable law or this Consent Judgment.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Settling Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint and FAC.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in its entirety by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall

terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed as of the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in the Action(s), or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of all of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products or Marukai
Only Covered Products sold by Settling Defendants outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by all Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the

absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action and the consolidated action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto with regard to the subject matter hereof. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties with regard to the subject matter hereof unless specifically referred to herein.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products and Marukai Only Covered Products, then any Settling Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products and/or Marukai Only Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this

Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Settling Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together, shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery or First Class Mail, return receipt requested (and deemed given as of the date of receipt).

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Marukai:

Sonja Inglin, Esq. BAKER HOSTETLER 11601 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90025

Ţ				
3	If to Daiso:			
3				
4	II LIKAUKA & PARTNERS LUP			
5	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020 San Francisco CA 94111			
6	San Haddison CA 94(1)			
7	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE			
8	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully			
Ģ	sutherized by the Party for which he or she has signed to enter into this Consent Judgment and			
10	to execute it on behalf of and bind that Party.			
11				
12	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:			
13	1, 17			
14	Date: 4-17 , 2014 Date: , 2014			
15	Nume:			
16	Name: Micifel 985601			
17	Title: Free Live director MARUKAI CORPORATION CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP.			
18	INC.			
19	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:			
20	Date:, 2014 Date:, 2014			
21				
22				
23	Name: Name:			
24	Title: Title: DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC DAISO HOLDING USA INC.			
25	Willia House II was the			
26				
27				
28				
	21 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]			
ł.	1			

1	,			
2				
3	If to Daiso:			
4	Elizabeth Shoemaker, Esq. TERAOKA & PARTNERS LLP			
5	0 7 1 1 0 1 0 1 100	20		
6	; 			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11	11			
12 13	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
14	Date: , 2014	Date: April 28 , 2014		
15		Name: Ruil Matsumoto		
16	Name:	Title: Vice President		
17	Title:CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP,	MARUKAI CORPORATION		
18	INC.			
19	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
20	Date:, 2014	Date:, 2014		
21				
22 23	Name:			
23	11			
25	Title: DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC	DAISO HOLDING USA INC.		
26				
27				
28				
	21 CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]			
		1		

	11				
1					
2	If to Daiso:				
3					
4	Elizabeth Shoemaker, Esq. TERAOKA & PARTNERS LLP				
5	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020				
6	San Francisco CA 94111				
7	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE				
8	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully				
9	authorized by the Party for which he or she has signed to enter into this Consent Judgment and				
10	to execute it on behalf of and bind that Party.				
11					
12	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:				
13					
14	Date:, 2014 Date:, 2014				
15	Name:				
16	Name:Title:				
17	Title: MARUKAI CORPORATION CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP,				
18	INC.				
19	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:				
20	Date: <u>APRIL 2/</u> , 2014 Date: <u>APRIL 2/</u> , 2014				
21					
22	Vachitida Mumta	7			
- 11	Name: Yoshihide Murata Name: Yoshihide Murata				
4	Title: Senior Vice President DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC DAISO HOLDING USA INC.				
5					
6	1T 15 50 ORDERED. 5-15-14 Michelle & Basenblat Nichelle R. Rosenblatt	L			
7	17 15 50 ORDERED. Michelle K. Rosenblatt	-			
8					

21
CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]