1 2 3 4 5 MAR 26 2013 6 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 11 12 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Lead Case No. RG 10-514803 13 Plaintiff, (Consolidated with Case Nos. RG 10-545680 and RG 10-545687) 14 vs. ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: 15 AEROPOSTALE, INC., et al., Judge Steven A. Brick, Department 17 16 Defendants. **(PROPOSED)** CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BLUEFLY, INC. AND 17 KENNETH JAY LANE, INC. This Document Relates To: 18 Center for Environmental Health v. Two's 19 Company, Inc., Case No. RG 10-545680 20 21 22 1. INTRODUCTION 23 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental Health, a 24 California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Bluefly, Inc. and Kenneth Jay Lane, Inc. 25 ("Defendants"), to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendants as set forth in the 26 operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Two's Company, 27. Inc., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 10-545680 (the "Action"). 28

- 1.2 On December 21, 2011, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65" to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendants regarding the presence of lead in jewelry manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants.
- 1.3 On November 8, 2010, CEH filed its complaint in *CEH v. Two's Company*. On March 15, 2012, CEH amended the *Two's Company* complaint to name Bluefly, Inc. and Kenneth Jay Lane, Inc. as Doe 13 and Doe 16, respectively.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendants (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants.
- 1.5 CEH and Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in jewelry. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly deny any wrong doing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is

accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues

- The term "Covered Product" means (a) the following ornaments worn by a person: an anklet, arm cuff, bracelet, charm, brooch, chain, crown, cuff link, decorated hair accessories, earring, necklace, pin, ring and body piercing jewelry; or (b) any bead, chain, link, pendant, or
 - The term "Effective Date" means the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

Reformulation of Covered Products.

- After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not manufacture, purchase or import any Covered Product that will be offered for sale in California or anywhere else that
- Any component not covered under Section 3.1.2, or that is made of any material not covered under Section 3.1.2, that is more than 0.02 percent (200 parts per million
- Any Paint or Surface Coating that is more than 0.009 percent lead by weight (90 ppm). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Paint or Surface Coating" shall carry the same meaning as "Paint or other similar surface coating" under 16 C.F.R. §1303.2(b)(1) ("Paint and other similar surface-coating materials means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.").
- Commencing on May 1, 2013, Defendants shall not ship, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California or anywhere else that exceeds the Lead Limits specified in

3.1.3 Notwithstanding Section 3.1.2, Defendants may continue to ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product in California or anywhere else that exceeds the Lead Limits specified in Section 3.1.1 if: (a) the Covered Product was purchased or imported by Defendants prior to the Effective Date; and (b) the Covered Product is not sold in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25214.1 *et seq*.

3.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. On or before the Effective Date, Defendants shall cease shipping the Kenneth Jay Lane Gold and Amber Necklace with Owl Pendant, SKU No. 891948834433, Style No. 313362201, Item No. US216672, as identified in CEH's pre-suit Notices of Violation to Defendants (the "Recall Covered Products"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendants shall withdraw the Recall Covered Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of their stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendants for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendants shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

5. PAYMENTS

5.1 **Payments From Defendants.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent

Judgment, Defendant Kenneth Jay Lane, Inc. (on behalf of itself and Defendant Bluefly, Inc.) shall pay the total sum of \$70,000 as a settlement payment. Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for this payment obligation, and CEH reserves the right to pursue either Defendant for any failure to provide timely payment.

- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric S. Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117 and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 5.2.1 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$9,250 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code \$25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.2.2 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$13,900 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.
- 5.2.3 Defendants shall pay the sum of \$46,850 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

6.1

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to

express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this

Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

modify the Consent Judgment.

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Defendants and Defendants' parents, shareholders, subsidiaries and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities other than those entities listed on Exhibit A to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on the failure to warn about exposure to lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date as set forth in the Notice of Violation.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendants and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendants, the Defendant Releasees and the Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants after the Effective Date.

1	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
2	8.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, t		
3	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:		
4	8.1.1 Notices to Defendants. The person for Defendants to receive Notices		
5	pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:		
6	Jay W. Connolly		
7	Seyfarth Shaw LLP 560 Mission Street, Suite 3100		
8	San Francisco, CA 94105-2930 jconnolly@seyfarth.com		
9			
10	8.1.2 Notices to Plaintiff. The person for CEH to receive Notices pursuant to		
11	this Consent Judgment shall be: Eric S. Somers		
12	Lexington Law Group		
13	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117		
14	esomers@lexlawgroup.com		
15	8.2 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent		
16	sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
17	9. COURT APPROVAL		
18	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided		
19	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and		
20	Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.		
21	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or e		
22	and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.		
23	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION		
24	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State		
25	California.		
26	11. ATTORNEYS' FEES		
27	11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Cons		
28	Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs		
	7		

unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, *et seq.*

- 11.2 Notwithstanding Section 11.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

1	Consent Judgment.		
2	14. A	UTHORITY TO STIPULATE	TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
3	14	.1 Each signatory to this Cons	sent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
4	by the Par	rty he or she represents to stipula	ate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
5	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
6	15. No	O EFFECT ON OTHER SETT	FLEMENTS
7	15	1.1 Nothing in this Consent Jud	dgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
8	against an entity that is not Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in this		
9	Consent Judgment.		
10	16. E	XECUTION IN COUNTERPA	ARTS
11	16	7.1 The stipulations to this Con	nsent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
12	means of	facsimile, which taken together	shall be deemed to constitute one document.
13	TT IS SO	ORDERED, ADJUDGED,	
14	AND DE	CREED, ADJUDGED,	
15			
16	Dated:	MAR 2 6 2013	Honorable Steven A. BRICK
17			Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
18	OS 21 TI	STIPULATED:	
19	11 10 50	om ouries.	
20	Dated: J	AN 22, 2013	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
21			Chi
22			
23			CAMPLIE PIZMAD
24			Printed Name
25			
26			ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR
27			Title
28			0
ON RECYCLED PAPER		CONSENT JUDGMENT BLUEFLY AN	- 9 - VD KENNETH JAY LANE - LEAD CASE NO. RG 10-514803

1		
1 Dated: Jar	nuary 23_, 2013	BLUEFLY, INC.
3 4 5 6 7		James Gallagher Printed Name
8 9		Title
10		
Dated:	, 2013	KENNETH JAY LANE, INC.
12		
13		
14		
15		Printed Name
16		1 Timed Name
17		TO A STATE OF THE
18		Title
19		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
IT PREPARED CLED PAPER		- 10 -

1 2	Dated:, 2013	BLUEFLY, INC.
3		
4		
5		
6	-	Printed Name
7		Printed Name
8		
9		Title
10 11	Dated: JAN. 17, 2013	KENNETH JAY LANE, INC.
12		1 1
13		gumufure
14		
15		REVNETHURYLAND
16	e e	Printed Name
17		PRESIDENT
18		Title
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
PREPARED		- 10 -

1		EXHIBIT A
2		(LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT
3		TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE)
4	1.	ABC Online Resources, LLC
5	2.	Adia Kibur Accessories, Inc.
6	3.	Albertson's LLC; Albertson's, Inc.; New Albertson's, Inc.
7	4.	Ana Accessories Corporation; Ana Trading Company
8	5.	Andrea Bijoux
9	6.	Ann 2 La Moda, Inc.
10	7.	Art Box
11	8.	Artini Accessories Inc.
12	9.	Athenian Fashions, Inc.
13	10.	AZ3, Inc.; BCBG Max Azria Group, Inc.
14	11.	B&J Accessories
15	12.	Banana Republic, LLC
16	13.	Barnes & Noble, Inc.
17	14.	Bastiat Santa Monica, Inc.
18	15.	Bien Bien, Inc.
19	16.	Big A Drug Stores, Inc.
20	17.	Bijou International Corporation
21	18.	Bon Jewel, Inc.
22	19.	Brandy Melville; Brandy and Melville NY Incorporated
23	20.	California Dream Co., Inc.
24	21.	Cocomo Connection, Inc.
25	22.	Costume Craze, L.C.
26	23.	Elegance Fashion Mart, Inc.
27	24.	Fashion Fantasia, Inc.
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 1 - EXHIBIT A
		(ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)

1	25.	First Fantasies-Costume Cuzzins, Inc.
2	26.	Forever NYC Fashion Accessory Import LLC
3	27.	Forplay, Inc.; For Play Catalog, Inc.
4	28.	Georgiou Studio, Inc.
5	29.	Ginerva SRL
6	30.	GTG Collection
7	31.	Halloween Express
8	32.	I Love Bracelets, Inc.
9	33.	INVU Accessories
10	34.	Ivorette-Texas, Inc. dba Upstart Crow Trading Company
11	35.	Jacadi USA, Inc.
12	36.	Jones Jewelry Group, Inc.
13	37.	Legoland California LLC
14	38.	Long Rap, Inc.
15	39.	M&P Central, Inc.
16	40.	Marin Beauty Company
17	41.	Max Rave, LLC
18	42.	Nima Accessories, Inc.
19	43.	Pink Ice, Inc.
20	44.	Precious Accessories, Inc.
21	45.	Rite Aid Corporation
22	46.	Royal Love, Inc.
23	47.	Safeway, Inc.
24	48.	Scorpio Accessories LLC
25	49.	Screamers Costumers
26	50.	Scünci International, Inc.
27	51.	Sea World, Inc.
28	52.	Seta Corporation of Boca, Inc 2 -
PREPARED ED PAPER		EXHIBIT A

1	53.	Shoe Pavilion Corporation; Shoe Pavilion, Inc.
2	54.	Shop Bloc Inc.
3	55.	Simply You
4	56.	Six Flags Theme Parks, Inc.
5	57.	Sonsi, Inc.
6	58.	Spirit Halloween Superstores, LLC
7	59.	Toscana Accessories Inc.
8	60.	Triple Accessories, Inc.
9	61.	Unbeatable Sale.Com Inc.
10	62.	Windsor Fashions, Inc.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 REPARED		- 3 -