1 2	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW 24422 Avenida de la Carlota, Suite 400			
3	Laguna Hills, CA 92653 Tel: (949) 452-1234 Fax: (949) 452-1102			
4				
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center			
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER			
10				
11				
12	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit Case No.: 30-2013-00667616-CU-MC-CJC			
13	corporation, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT			
14	Plaintiffs,			
15	VS.			
16	GENESIS TODAY, INC.			
17	Defendants.			
18	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:			
19	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Court has entered Judgment and approved the			
20	settlement by Stipulated Consent Judgment in the above-entitled matter. A true and correct copy			
21	of the Stipulated Consent Judgment and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.			
22	Dated: April 13, 2015 WRAITH LAW			
23				
24	By: WILLIAM F. WRAITH			
25				
26	Attorney for Plaintiff			
27				
28				
I				

EXHIBIT 1

1			
1 2	WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618	LECTRONICALLY RECEIVED	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER
3	Tel: (949) 251-9977 Fax: (949) 251-9978	Superior Court of California, County of Orange	MAR 25 2015
4 5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center	01/08/2015 at 04:19:09 PM Clerk of the Superior Court By Joseph Tran, Deputy Clerk	ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court D. Hondrich BY D. HONOMICHL
6	JAMES MATTESICH, SBN 54069		
7	ANTHONY J. CORTEZ, SBN 251743 Greenberg Traurig, LLP		
8	1201 K Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814-3938 Tel: (916) 442-1111		
10	Fax: (916) 448-1709		
11	Attorneys for Defendant Genesis Today, Inc.		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	<u>'</u>	NGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE	
14			
15			
16	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit) Case No.: 30-2013-0	0667616-CU-MC-CJC
17	corporation, Plaintiff,	-{PRSPSSED} CON	SENT JUDGMENT
18	- vs.	} .	
19	GENESIS TODAY, INC., and DOES 25, Inclusive.	 }	
20 21	Defendants.	{	
22	Dolondanis.	}	
23			
24	1. INTRODUCTION		
25	1.1 On August 7, 2013, Plai	ntiff Environmental Research	n Center ("ERC"), a non-
26	profit corporation, as a private enforcer		
27	Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civ	vil Penalties under to the pro	visions of California's Safe
28	Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcemen	t Act of 1986, California He	alth and Safety Code Section
		CONSENT JUDGMENT	
ì		I I CONSENT TODOMENT	
	DEN 98579220v1		

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

25249.5, et seq. (also known, and hereinafter referred to, as "Proposition 65"), against Defendant Genesis Today, Inc. ("Genesis Today"). On March 14, 2014, ERC filed the First Amended Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties. In this action, ERC contends that certain products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Genesis Today contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to levels of lead requiring Proposition 65 warnings, ERC and Genesis Today are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".

- 1.2 The Complaint with respect to Genesis Today is based on allegations contained in Notices of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. dated January 6, 2012 and October 18, 2013, and served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and Genesis Today. A true and correct copy of the January 6, 2012 and October 18, 2013 Notice of Violations are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B respectively (collectively "Notices"). The following products were identified in the Notices: (1) Genesis Today Inc. GenEssentials Greens; (2) Genesis Today Inc. GenEssentials Fiber; (3) Genesis Today Inc. Sea Buckthorn; (4) Genesis Today Inc. 4Heart; (5) Genesis Today Inc. 4Energy; (6) Genesis Today Inc. 4Blood Sugar: (7) Genesis Today Inc. 4Weight Control; (8) Genesis Today Inc. 4Total Cleanse Part 1 Whole Body Cleanser; (9) Genesis Today Inc. 4Total Cleanse Part 2 Intestinal Cleanser; (10) Genesis Today Inc. Pure & Potent Cassia Nomame; and (11) Genesis Today Inc. Pure & Potent Caralluma Fimbriata. These listed products are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Covered Products" and in the singular as a "Covered Product." More than 60 days have passed since the Notices were served and no public enforcement entity has filed a complaint against Genesis Today with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.3 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility. ERC has diligently prosecuted this matter and is settling this case in the public interest.
 - 1.4 Genesis Today is a business entity that employs ten or more persons, and is a

 "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Genesis Today sold the Covered Products at retail through its website www.genesistoday.com.

- 1.5 The Notices and the First Amended Complaint allege that the Covered Products exposed persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. Genesis Today denies all material allegations contained in the Notices and First Amended Complaint and specifically denies that the Covered Products required a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.
- 1.6 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchises, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65, nor shall this Consent Judgment be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum, except with respect to an action seeking to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. However, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party with respect to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which it is entered by the Court.
- 1.9 The only products covered by this Consent Judgment are the Covered Products, and the only chemical covered by this Consent Judgment is the chemical lead as related to the

Covered Products only.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and for any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action, personal jurisdiction over the Parties as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

On and after the Effective Date, Genesis Today shall only manufacture for sale in California, "Distribute into California," or directly sell to any consumer located in California any of the Covered Products when the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains 0.5 micrograms of lead or less, or when such Covered Product complies with the warning requirement set forth in Section 3.3 below. The term "Distribute into California," as used herein, means to ship any of the Covered Products into California for sale in California, or to sell or provide any of the Covered Products to any person or entity Genesis Today knows that will ship any of the Covered Products into, or sell any of the Covered Products in California.

3.2 Calculation of Lead Levels

As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are calculated pursuant to the testing protocol described in Section 3.5. For purposes of measuring the lead, the second highest result of the 5 randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, Genesis Today shall provide the following warning as specified below:

WARNING: This product contains [lead,] [a] chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The text in the brackets related to cancer in the warning above shall be included only if the daily serving contains over 15 micrograms of lead; the other text in the brackets in the warning above

is optional. The warning shall be permanently affixed to or prominently printed on the product label with such conspicuousness, as compared to other words, statements or designs on the label, so as to render it likely to be read and understood by the ordinary purchaser and/or user of the product under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. The warning shall be the same size as the largest of any of other health or safety warnings on the product label and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters.

3.4 Products in the Stream of Commerce

The injunctive relief set forth in Section 3 shall not apply to any of the Covered Products that Genesis Today puts into the stream of commerce before the Effective Date. On the Effective Date, Genesis Today shall provide ERC with the last lot number and expiration date for each of the Covered Products sold by Genesis Today as of the Effective Date.

3.5 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- (a) Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Genesis Today shall test five (5) randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products at least once a year for a period of three (3) consecutive years (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) for lead content. The requirements of this section do not apply to any of the Covered Products for which Genesis Today has provided the warning specified in Section 3.3.
- (b) Testing for lead in the Covered Products shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- (c) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- (d) Genesis Today shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of three (3) years from the date of the test.
 - (e) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be

measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of 1 2 lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product (using the largest 3 serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day 4 (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product 5 label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, excluding the amounts that for 6 purposes of this Consent Judgment only are deemed to have naturally occurring lead in the 7 ingredients listed in the table below in the amounts contained in the table. If at any time after 8 the Effective Date, ERC tests a Covered Product and the test results indicate that the daily 9 exposure level is greater than 0.5 micrograms per gram, Genesis Today Agrees to 10 Confidentially supply ERC with a list of ingredients of that particular Covered Product so that ERC may be able to calculate the daily exposure based on the allowances contained in the table 11 12 below. If at any time Genesis Today refuses to provide said list of ingredients to ERC 13 following a test result of greater than 0.5 micrograms per gram, then Genesis Today shall not

receive the allowances for that particular Covered Product.

INGREDIENT	NATURALLY OCCURING AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium	0.8 micrograms/1000 milligrams
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Oxide	8.0 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 micrograms/gram
Potassium Chloride	1.1 micrograms/gram
Cocoa-powder	1.0 micrograms/gram

25 | ///

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 |

27

28

б

and 10 below.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Genesis Today shall make a total payment of \$140,000.00, of which \$105,000.00 is to be paid to ERC within 10 days of the Effective Date. The remaining amount, \$35,000.00, shall be paid within 70 days of the Effective Date. Genesis Today shall make these payments by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Genesis Today the necessary account information. Said payment shall be allocated as follows:
- 4.2 \$49,204.00 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code
 Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$36,903.00 shall be distributed to the Office of
 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water
 and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section
 25249.12(c). \$12,301.00 shall be distributed to ERC as 25% of the civil penalty. ERC's counsel
 will forward to OEHHA the portion of the civil penalty payable to OEHHA.
- 4.3 \$22,907.00 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable analysis and preparation costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a result of work, bringing this action to Genesis Today's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.4 \$49,209.00 shall be distributed to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties for activities such as (1) funding the work, analyzing and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) funding post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; (3) giving a donation of \$2,460.00 to As You Sow to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.

 4.5 \$15,680.00 distributed to William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs. \$3,000.00 distributed to Karen Evans as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs.

5. COSTS AND FEES

Except as expressly set forth herein in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses in this action.

6. RELEASE

- 6.1 ERC, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Genesis Today and all its subsidiaries, sister companies, officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, representatives, parent companies, divisions, subdivisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, successors, assigns and attorneys (collectively "Released Parties") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and First Amended Complaint.
- 6.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 with respect to consumer exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and First Amended Complaint.
- 6.3 ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Genesis Today, on the other hand, release and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys in connection with the Notices and this action.
- 6.4 Nothing in this release is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any products other than the Covered Products.
- 6.5 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Genesis Today, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore, and further acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

> A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Genesis Today, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542.

MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL 7.

- Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall prepare, 7.1 notice, and file a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations § 3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon Genesis Today and upon the California Attorney General's Office. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Court for approval. The Parties agree to use their best efforts to support the motion, including providing information to the Court as requested by the Court to approve the motion.
- If, after service of the Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment, the 7.2 California Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment or files an opposition to the motion, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner prior to the hearing on the motion. If the concern of the California Attorney General is not resolved prior to the hearing on the motion, any Party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties in accordance with Paragraph 17 below and notice to the California Attorney General's Office, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.

7.3 This Consent Judgment shall be effective only after it has been entered by the Court. Otherwise, it shall be of no force or effect and cannot be used in any other proceeding or for any purpose.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

9. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon.
- 9.2 If Genesis Today seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 9.1, then Genesis Today must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Genesis Today within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Genesis Today in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Genesis Today a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in a writing signed by both Parties to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 9.3 In the event that Genesis Today initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 9.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Genesis Today shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the joint motion or application.

9.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

10. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT; GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to any Party's compliance with the terms and/or conditions of this Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court, the Party seeking compliance of another Party shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute by conferring with the other Party in person, by telephone or by written communication before seeking relief from the Court. If the dispute is not resolved after such an attempt, this Consent Judgment may be enforced in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.4 or any other valid provision of the law. The prevailing party in any such dispute brought to this Court for resolution shall be awarded all reasonable costs and attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief the other party was agreeable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such a proceeding.

11. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that, after entry of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, any of the provisions hereof are subsequently held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

12. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

13. RELATION TO OTHER ACTIONS

This Consent Judgment shall have no application or effect on Genesis Today for sales of the Covered Products to consumers outside the State of California.

14. DRAFTING

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective legal counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of this Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces any and all prior agreements or understandings, written or oral, with regard to the matters set forth herein.

No other agreements or understandings not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

17. NOTICES

All notices required by this Consent Judgment to be given to any Party shall be sent by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to all of the following:

26 ///

///

27 II

28 II

1	FOR ERC:
2	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
3	Environmental Research Center
4	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
5	San Diego, CA 92108
6	
7	William F. Wraith, Esq.
8	Wraith Law
9	16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250
10	Irvine, CA 92618
11	Telephone: (949) 251-9977
12	
13	FOR GENESIS TODAY, INC.:
14	
15	James Mattesich, Esq.
16	Anthony J. Cortez, Esq.
17	Gregory Sperla, Esq.
18	Greenberg Traurig, LLP
19	1201 K Street, Suite 1100
20	Sacramento, CA 95814-3938
21	18. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT
22	Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is
23	fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment
24	on behalf of that Party, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party,
25	and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent
26	Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands
27	this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment or
28	behalf of that Party.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
3	3 Dated: 8/64/20/9	ENVIRONMENTAGRESEARCH CENTER
4	4 B	
5	5	Chris Fightinstall Executive Director
6		
7	Dateu:	GENESIS TODAY, INC.
8	_	# Starly
9		
10		Steve Brecher Chief Executive Officer
11		
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
13	0/20/2011	NUM A 1977 Y E. A 33 /
14	li de la companya de	WRAITH LAW
15 16	n.	Mi Allain
17	'	William F. Wraith Attorney for Plaintiff
18	, li	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
19	Dated:	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
20		a. Coty
21	B	/: James Mattesich
22		Anthony Cortez Attorneys for Defendant
23	1	GENESIS TODAY, INC.
24	}	
25	1	
26		
27		
28		
		LIPPAPE RIPORATE P
ļ	CO	NSENT JUDGMENT 14
ľ		

DEW REDIASTOR!

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based on the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Dated: MARCHUS 2015

Judge of the Superior Cour

GEOFFREY T. GLASS

EXHIBIT

EXHIBIT "A"

WRAITH LAW

16485 LAGUNA CANYON ROAD SUITE 250 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618 Tel (949) 251-9977 Fax (949) 251-9978

January 6, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 5694 Mission Center Road #199, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 309-4194. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

Alleged Violator. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Genesis Today, Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Genesis Today Inc. GenEssentials Greens – Lead Genesis Today Inc. GenEssentials Fiber – Lead Genesis Today Inc. Sea Buckthorn – Lead Genesis Today Inc. 4Heart – Lead Genesis Today Inc. 4Energy – Lead

Genesis Today Inc. 4Blood Sugar – Lead Genesis Today Inc. 4Weight Control – Lead Genesis Today Inc. 4Total Cleanse Part 1 Whole Body Cleanser – Lead Genesis Today Inc. 4Total Cleanse Part 2 Intestinal Cleanser – Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least January 6, 2009, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

William F. Wraith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit
Certificate of Service
OEHHA Summary (to Genesis Today, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Genesis Today, Inc.

- I, William F. Wraith, declare:
- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: January 6, 2012

William F Wraith

Villian Falaith

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Lindsey Duncan, President Genesis Today, Inc. 14101 W. Highway 290 Bldg. 1900 Austin, TX 78737 Ron Tennell (Genesis Today, Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 14101 W. Highway 290 Bldg. 1900 Austin, TX 78737

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On January 6, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on January 6, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

Page 7

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT "B"

WRAITH LAW

16485 LAGUNA CANYON ROAD SUITE 250 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618 Tel (949) 251-9977 Fax (949) 251-9978

October 18, 2013

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

<u>General Information about Proposition 65</u>. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is attached with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violator") is:

Genesis Today, Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Genesis Today Inc. Pure & Potent Cassia Nomame - Lead Genesis Today Inc. Pure & Potent Caralluma Fimbriata - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least October 18, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely,

William Falaille

William F. Wraith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Genesis Today, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Genesis Today, Inc.

I, William F. Wraith, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the party identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: October 18, 2013

William F. Wraith

William Falaith

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seg. October 18, 2013 Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of periury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On October 18, 2013, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

President or CEO Genesis Today, Inc. 14101 W. Highway 290 Bldg. 1900

Austin, TX 78737

Ron Tennell

(Genesis Today, Inc.'s Registered Agent

for Service of Process) 14101 W. Highway 290

Bldg. 1900 Austin, TX 78737

On October 18, 2013, I electronically served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249,7(d)(1) on the following party by uploading a true and correct copy thereof on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On October 18, 2013, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEO.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it at a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by First Class Mail.

Executed on October 18, 2013, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

May (apphrent

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. October 18, 2013

Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville. CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113

1 2	I, William F. Wraith, am an active member of the State Bar of California and not a party to this action. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing took place. My business address is 24422 Avenida de la Carlota, Suite 400, Laguna Hills, CA 92653.		
3	On April 13, 2015, I served the foregoing documents described as:		
4	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT		
5	on the following interested parties in this action in the manner identified below:		
6	James M. Mattesich, Esq. Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting		
7	Anthony J. Cortez, Esq. Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator Gregory Sperla, Esq. 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000		
8	Greenberg Traurig, LLP Post Office Box 70550		
9	1201 K Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814-3938 Attorneys for Defendant Genesis Today, Inc. Oakland, California 94612-0550		
10	Attorneys for Defendant Genesis Today, Inc.		
11	[X] BY MAIL – COLLECTION: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing following this business's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this		
12	business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.		
13			
14 15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true		
16	and correct. Executed on April 13, 2015, at Laguna Hills, California.		
17			
18	William Fillaith		
19	William Wraith		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			