1 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Gregory M. Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124 THE CHANLER GROUP 2 81 Throckmorton Avenue, Suite #202 3 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 388-0911 Facsimile: (415) 388-9911 4 KIM TURNER, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: K. Yarborough, Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff 5 RUSSELL BRIMER 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 RUSSELL BRIMER, Case No. CIV 1203098 du 13 **ĮPROPOSED** JUDGMENT PURSUANT Plaintiff, TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 14 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT v. 15 WARNER MANUFACTURING COMPANY Action Filed: July 5, 2012 16 and DOES 1-150, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant WARNER MANUFACTURING COMPANY, having agreed through their respective counsel that a judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent To Judgment entered into by the parties in resolution of this Proposition 65 action, and following the issuance of an order approving the Parties' Consent to Judgment on this day, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent To Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. IT IS SO ORDERED. ROY CHERNUS NOV - 7 2012 Dated: Hon. Roy O. Chernus Judge Of The Superior Court

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Gregory M. Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
7	STIPERTOR COLURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8		
9	IN AND FOR THE (COUNTY OF MARIN
10	UNLIMITED CIV	TL JURISDICTION
11	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CIV 1203098
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT AS
13	v.	TO DEFENDANT WARNER MANUFACTURING COMPANY
14	WARNER MANUFACTURING COMPANY	
15	and DOES 1-150,	Action Filed: July 5, 2012 Trial Date: Not Assigned
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
۷٥		

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT RE: WARNER MANUFACTURING COMPANY

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Parties

This Consent To Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Russell Brimer, ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant Warner Manufacturing Company ("Warner" or "Defendant") with Brimer and Warner collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Warner employs 10 or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Warner manufactured, distributed and/or sold, in the State of California, certain types of hand tools with grips containing DEHP and Lead, including, but not limited to, Warner paint and wall scraper, Item #019634, that exposed users to DEHP and Lead without first providing "clear and reasonable warning" under Proposition 65. DEHP and Lead are listed as a reproductive and developmental toxicant pursuant to Proposition 65 and are collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 Notice of Violation

On January 31, 2012, Brimer served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided public enforcers and Defendant with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers of the presence of DEHP and Lead, toxic chemicals found in and on hand tool products sold in California. Defendant received the 60-Day Notice of Violation.

Defendant represents that, as of the date it executes this Consent Judgment, that it is not aware

8 9

10

11

7

12 13 14

17

15

16

18 19

20

21 22

> 23 24

25 26

27

28

of any public enforcer that is diligently prosecuting a Proposition 65 enforcement action related to DEHP and/or Lead in its products, as identified in the 60-Day Notice.

1.6 Complaint

On July 5, 2012, Brimer, acting, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Marin, alleging violations by Defendant of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based, inter alia, on the alleged exposures to DEHP and Lead contained in the referenced hand tool products (the "Action").

1.7 No Admission

This Consent To Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed by Warner. The Parties enter into this Consent To Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Action, maintains that it did not knowingly or intentionally expose California consumers to DEHP and Lead through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Covered Products and otherwise contends that all Covered Products it has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California have been and are in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent To Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Warner's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent To Judgment.

1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent To Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Warner as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent To Judgment. As an express part of this Consent To Judgment, pursuant to C.C.P.

§664.6 the Court in which this action was filed shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the settlement until performance in full of the terms of the settlement.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 The term "Complaint" shall mean the Complaint.
- 2.2 The term "Covered Products" means any hand tools with grips or other Accessible Components currently containing any amount of DEHP or Lead, previously containing any amount of DEHP or Lead or that Warner reasonably believes currently contain or previously contained any amount of DEHP or Lead, including, but not limited to, Warner paint and wall scraper, Item #019634.
- 2.3 The term "Noticed Product" shall mean the Warner paint and wall scraper, Item #019634.
- 2.4 "Accessible Component" means a metal, poly vinyl chloride, soft plastic, vinyl, foam, synthetic leather or other grip-related component or material of a Covered Product that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.
- 2.5 The term "DEHP Free" Covered Products shall mean Covered Products containing Accessible Components, materials or other components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, and which components contain less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP as determined by a minimum of duplicate quality controlled test results using Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C. The term "DEHP Free Standard" shall mean the above-referenced standard that will cause a Covered Product to qualify as DEHP Free
- 2.6 The term "LEAD Free" Covered Products shall mean Covered Products and Noticed Products containing Accessible Components, materials or other components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, and which components each yield less than 1.0 microgram of lead when using a wipe test pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100 and each yield less than 100 parts per million ("ppm") lead when each such component material is analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining lead content in a solid substance. The

term "LEAD Free Standard" shall mean the above-referenced standard that will cause a Covered Product to qualify as LEAD Free.

- 2.7 "Effective Date" shall mean July 20, 2012.
- 2.8 "Manufacture", "manufactured" and "manufactures" have the meaning defined in Section 3(a)(10) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA") [15 U.S.C. § 2052(a)(10)], as amended from time to time.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Formulation Commitment

No later than the Effective Date, Warner shall provide to Plaintiff, care of his attorneys, a letter certification, signed by a director or officer of Warner, confirming (i) that prior to March 1, 2012, Warner sourced raw materials that were both Lead Free and DEHP Free for use in Noticed Products, (ii) that prior to April 7, 2012, Lead Free and DEHP Free raw materials were used in the production of Noticed Products and (iii) that as of May 1, 2012, Warner had ceased shipment into California of all Noticed Products that were not Lead Free and DEHP Free. Warner's failure to perform this obligation shall result in Warner's payment of an additional \$3,000 in civil penalties under Section 4.

No later than the Effective Date, Warner shall also provide to Plaintiff, care of his attorneys, a letter certification, signed by a director or officer of Warner, confirming as of the date of the letter certification, that Warner has either destroyed any Noticed Products that are not DEHP and Lead Free in the custody, control or possession of Defendant or otherwise remaining in the possession of any retail store or internet distribution warehouse controlled, owned or operated by Defendant in the United States or that Defendant has no such remaining inventory of Noticed Products that are not DEHP and Lead Free. Warner's failure to perform this obligation shall result in Warner's payment of an additional \$3,000 in civil penalties under Section 4.

3.1.1 No later than July 20, 2012, Defendant shall provide the DEHP Free and LEAD Free Standards, to its then-current Vendors of Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide

Covered Products that comply with such DEHP Free and LEAD Free Standards expeditiously. In addressing the obligation set forth in the preceding sentence, Defendant shall not employ statements that will encourage a Vendor to delay compliance with the DEHP Free and LEAD Free Standard. Upon request, Defendant shall provide Plaintiff with copies of such Vendor notification and Plaintiff shall regard such copies as confidential business information.

- **3.1.2** After December 31, 2012, Defendant shall not distribute, cause to be distributed, sell or cause to be sold, in California or for distribution to or sale to any retailer that Defendant reasonably understand maintains retail outlets in California, any Covered Product that is not DEHP Free and LEAD Free.
 - 3.2 Previously Obtained or Distributed Covered Products.

3.2.1 Product Warnings

Commencing on December 31, 2012, and until such date six (6) months thereafter, Warner shall not sell or ship any Covered Products that will be offered for sale in California unless such Covered Products are DEHP and LEAD Free under Sections 2.4 and 2.5 or are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth hereafter.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions *before* purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* Covered Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

(a) Retail Store Sales.

(i) **Product Labeling.** Warner may affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on any Covered Products sold at a retail outlet of Defendant in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP and/or Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

(ii) **Point-of-Sale Warnings.** Alternatively, Warner may provide warning signs in the form below to retail outlets in California, which stores it is reasonably aware of having sold the Covered Products or having inventory or orders of the Covered Products, with instructions to post the signs *in immediate proximity* to the point of display of any and all such Covered Products for the benefit of its customers.

WARNING: This product contains DEHP and/or Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

- (b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. In the event that Warner sells any Covered Products via mail order catalog or the Internet to customers located in California any such catalog or Internet site offering any Covered Product for sale shall include a warning in the catalog or within the website, identifying the specific Covered Product to which the warning applies, as specified in Sections 3.2.2(b)(i) and (ii).
- (i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger than the Covered Product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Covered Product:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP and/or Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Covered Product, Defendant may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front or back cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Covered Product(s):

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain DEHP and/or Lead, a

chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Covered Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Warner must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If Defendant elects to provide warnings in any mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Covered Products printed after the Effective Date.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning must be given in conjunction with the sale of any Covered Products by Warner via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for a Covered Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Covered Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Covered Product for which it is given in the same type size or larger than the Covered Product description text:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP and/or Lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Covered Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

WARNING: Products identified on this page with the following symbol ▼ contain DEHP and/or Lead, a chemical known to the

State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

3.2.2 Commencing on July 1, 2013, Warner shall discontinue all sales of any Covered Products that are not DEHP Free and Lead Free in California, regardless of compliance with Section 3.2.1.

4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

4.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Warner shall make a payment of \$10,000.00 to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Brimer. The civil penalty Warner is required to pay under this Section is substantially reduced credited in light of Warner's commitment to reformulate its Covered Products to essentially remove the presence of the listed chemicals from those products. The initial assessment contemplated civil penalties in the amount of \$56,000. An amount of \$40,000 was automatically credited in light of settling Defendant's commitment to reformulate its product lines to essentially remove the presence of the listed chemicals. An additional civil penalty amount of \$6,000 was automatically credited in light of Defendant's agreement to the certification obligation under Section 3.1.

4.3 Augmentation of Penalty Payments

For purposes of the penalty assessment under this Consent To Judgment, plaintiff is relying entirely upon defendant and its counsel for accurate, good faith reporting to plaintiff of the nature and amounts of relevant sales activity. If within nine (9) months of the Effective Date, plaintiff discovers and presents to Defendant evidence that the Noticed Product has been distributed by Warner in sales volumes materially different than those identified by Defendant prior to execution of this Agreement, then Defendant shall be liable for an additional penalty amount of \$10,000. Defendant shall also be liable for any reasonable, additional attorney fees expended by plaintiff in discovering such additional retailers or sales. Plaintiff agrees to provide Defendant with a written demand for all such additional penalties and attorney fees under this

Section. After service of such demand, defendant shall have thirty (30) days to meet and confer regarding the demand and submit such payment to plaintiff in accordance with the method of payment of penalties and fees identified in Sections 4.5. Should this thirty (30) day period pass without any such resolution between the parties and payment of such additional penalties and fees, plaintiff shall be entitled to file a formal legal claim for damages for breach of this contract and the prevailing party in a breach of contract action shall be entitled to all reasonable attorney fees and costs relating to that action.

4.4 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Warner then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Warner shall pay the amount of \$35,500.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent To Judgment in the public interest.

4.5 Payment Procedures

4.5.1 Funds Held In Trust: All payments required by Sections 4.1 and 4.4 shall delivered on or before July 13, 2012, to either The Chanler Group or the attorney of record for Warner, and shall be Brimer in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent To Judgment.

Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:

- (a) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500.00;
- (b) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Brimer" in

the amount of \$2,500.00; and

(c) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust" in the amount of \$35,500.00.

Payments delivered to Stoel Rives LLP shall be made payable, as follows:

- (a) One check made payable to "Stoel Rives LLP in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500.00;
- (b) One check made payable to "Stoel Rives LLP in Trust for Brimer" in the amount of \$2,500.00; and
- (c) One check made payable to "Stoel Rives LLP in Trust for The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$35,500.00.

If Warner elects to deliver payments to its attorney of record, such attorney of record shall: (a) confirm in writing within five days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account; and (b) within five business days of the date of the hearing on which the Court approves the Consent to Judgment, deliver the payment to The Chanler Group in three separate checks, as follows:

- (a) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500.00;
- (b) One check to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Brimer" in the amount of \$2,500.00; and
- (c) One check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$35,500.00.

 Any failure by defendant to deliver the above-referenced payments to The Chanler

 Group within five business days of the date of the hearing on which the Court approves
 the Consent To Judgment shall result in imposition of a 10% simple interest assessment
 on the undelivered payment(s) until delivery.
- **4.5.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms.** After the Consent To Judgment has been approved and the settlement funds have been transmitted to plaintiff's counsel, Warner shall issue three separate 1099 forms, as follows:
 - (a) The first 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health

Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$7,500.00;

- (b) The second 1099 shall be issued to Brimer in the amount of \$2,500.00, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request; and
- (c) The third 1099 shall be issued to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-3171522) in the amount of \$35,500.00
- **4.5.3 Payment Address:** All payments to the Chanler Group shall be delivered to the following payment address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

5.1 Brimer's Releases of Warner

This Consent To Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, and Warner and its attorneys, successors, licensors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom Warner directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, sourced, distributed, or sold by Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date. Warner's compliance with this Consent To Judgment shall constitute

compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the Listed Chemical in the Covered Products after the Effective Date.

- 5.1.1 Brimer on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives with respect to Covered Products all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees that arise under Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that were or could have been asserted in the public interest, as such claims relate to Defendant Releasees' and Downstream Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Covered Products.
- 5.1.2 Brimer also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Complaint as to Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant Releasees. Brimer acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may

be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

This Section 5.1 release is expressly limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the Listed Chemical contained in the Covered Products and as such claims are identified in the Proposition 65 60-Day Notice to Defendant.

Nothing in this Section affects Brimer's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees.

The Parties further understand and agree that this Section 5.1 release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than Defendant, that manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to Defendant.

- 5.1.3 Upon court approval of the Consent To Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
 - 5.2 Warner's Release of Brimer
- 5.2.1 Warner waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- 5.2.2 Warner also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Warner of any

nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. Warner acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Warner expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits that it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent To Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent To Judgment are Brimer by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected, unless the Court finds that any unenforceable provision is not severable from the remainder of the Consent To Judgment.

7. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent To Judgment is effective upon execution but must also be approved by the Court. If this Consent To Judgment is not approved by the Court in its entirety, the Parties shall meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent To Judgment and to resubmit it for approval. In meeting and conferring, the Parties agree to undertake any actions reasonably necessary to amend and/or modify this Consent To Judgment in order to further the mutual intention of the Parties in entering into this Consent To Judgment.

The Consent to Judgment shall become null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the

Consent to Judgment becomes null and void after any payment of monies under this agreement to The Chanler Group in trust, such monies shall be returned to Warner by payment of such monies to its counsel, in trust for Warner.

If this Consent To Judgment is not entered by the Court, and the Parties have exhausted their meet and confer efforts pursuant to this Section, upon fifteen (15) days written notice, the law firm holding Defendant's funds in trust shall refund to Defendant any and all payments made into its trust account by Defendant.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent To Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

9. NOTICES

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent To Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail to the following:

For Warner, to:

Craig Warner, President Warner Manufacturing Company 13435 Industrial Park Boulevard Plymouth MN 55441

With copy to their counsel at

Melissa A. Jones Stoel Rives, LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814

21

20

22

23

24

25

26

For Brimer to:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced, in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to file a motion for approval of this Consent To Judgment.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent To Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent To Judgment by the Court.

12. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent To Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Warner and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent To Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent To Judgment - sufficient to render a formal judgment approving this agreement - by the Court in a timely manner. Any effort by Brimer or Warner to impede judicial approval of this Consent To Judgment shall subject the impeding party to liability for attorney fees and costs incurred by the other party regarding such conduct.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent To Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent To Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent To Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver

- 14.1 Should Brimer prevail on any motion, application for order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent To Judgment, Brimer shall be entitled to his reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion, order or application, consistent with C.C.P. § 1021.5. Should Defendant prevail on any motion, application for order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent To Judgment, Defendant shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion, order or application upon a finding that Brimer's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent To Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 14.2 Except as specifically provided in the above paragraph and in Section 5.1, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees in connection with this action.
- 14.3 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

15. NEUTRAL CONSTRUCTION

Both Parties and their counsel have participated in the preparation of this Consent To Judgment and this Consent To Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent To Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent To Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent To Judgment. Each Party to this Consent To Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent To Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.

16. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned parties and their counsel are authorized to execute this Consent To Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment.

IT IS SO AGREED

Dated: June, 2012	Dated: June /a, 2012
	S H lvi
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Craig Warner, President Warner Manufacturing Company

16. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned parties and their counsel are authorized to execute this Consent To Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment.

IT IS SO AGREED

Dated: June 2012	Dated: June, 2012
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Craig Warner, President Warner Manufacturing Company