WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927

WRAITH LAW

1

-1-

FILED WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 **ORANGE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT** 1 WRAITH LAW 16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 JUL 8 2014 2 Irvine, California 92618 Tel: (949) 251-9977 ALAN CARLAGN, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK 3 Fax: (949) 251-9978 Adma 4 BILVA ___ PEPUTY **ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED** Attorney for Plaintiff Superior Court of California, 5 Environmental Research Center County of Orange 04/12/2014 at D8:55:27 PM 6 Clerk of the Superior Court By Mariene Diaz, Deputy Clerk 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 10 11 **ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH** Case No.: 30-2012-00606444-CU-MC-CJC 12 CENTER, a California non-profit corporation. 13 [PREPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT Plaintiff, 14 JUDGMENT: [PROPOSED] ORDER PURE FORMULAS. COM VS. 15 [Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.] ATRIUM, INC., FULL GREEN CIRCLE 16 CORPORATION, FULL GREEN C-17 CIRCLE LLC, PUREFORMULAS.COM, 17 and DOES 1-50, Inclusive, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 INTRODUCTION 1. 24 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking I. Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 25 26 et seq. (also known as and herein after referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following products: 27 28 a. Atrium Inc. atri-res -1-

IPROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENTI

EXHIBIT_

(hereinafter collectively the "Covered Products" or "Covered Product" to refer to a single product):

- I. Atrium Inc. atri-cleanse
- 2. Atrium Inc. Parasit-X
- 3. Atrium Inc. Chitosan HD Plus
- 4. Atrium Inc. fibertime
- 5. Atrium Inc. Val-Tran
- 6. Atrium Inc. Atri-Nerve
- 1.5 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations, and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against PURE FORMULAS with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties against PURE FORMULAS and other Defendants. The allegations in the Complaint against PURE FORMULAS are based on the allegations in the Notice of Violations.
- 1.6 The Complaint and the Notice of Violations allege that PURE FORMULAS manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California Covered Products, which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. They further allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. PURE FORMULAS denies all material allegations of the Notices of Violation and the Complaint, asserts numerous affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or otherwise cause harm to any person.
- 1.7 This Consent Judgment is only between ERC and PURE FORMULAS and is not intended to apply to, and has no affect on, any other Defendant in this or any other case, including, including but not limited to Atrium, Inc. or Aspen Group, Inc.
- 1.8 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent

 Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. However, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

1.9 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

3.1 Effective immediately PURE FORMULAS will not offer for sale in California, directly sell to a consumer in California, or "Distribute into California" any of the Covered Products.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 PURE FORMULAS shall make a total payment of \$15,000.00 within 10 business days of the Effective Date, which shall be in full and final satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, and attorney's fees and costs. The payment will be made by separate checks to the following parties, and the payments shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$500.00 as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, 75% [\$375.00)] shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and 25% \$[125.00] shall be payable to ERC. ERC's

H

counsel will forward the civil penalty to OEHHA.

- 4.3 \$7,115.00 as reimbursement for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this Action. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d)).
- 4.4 \$7,385.00 payable to William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and costs.

Except as provided herein, the Parties shall otherwise be responsible for their own costs. expenses, and attorneys' fees.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment. ERC is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs regarding any modification requested or initiated by PURE FORMULAS.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns, though it is not intended to apply to, and has no affect on, any other Defendant in this or any other case, including but not limited to Atrium, Inc. or Aspen Group, Inc.

7. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 ERC, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases only PURE FORMULAS and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, and predecessors, successors and assigns, from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices of Violations and the Complaint. Notwithstanding the above, this Release is not intended to apply to, and has no affect on, any other Defendant in this case, including but not limited to Atrium, Inc. or Aspen Group, Inc.
- 8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by PURE FORMULAS shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and Complaint.

8.3 Unknown Claims

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment acknowledges the claims released herein may include unknown claims against PURE FORMULAS, and nevertheless waives California Civil Code Section 1542 only as to PURE FORMULAS as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

8.4 ERC, on one hand, and PURE FORMULAS, on the other hand, each release and waive all claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent

9.1

2

3

I

9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10

affected.

- H
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

any Party. In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court 9.2 to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely

respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to

construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against

fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and 9.3 construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered. (b) certified mail. (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following

For Environmental Research Center

- Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director
- Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
- San Diego, CA 92108
 - With a copy to -
- William F. Wraith, Esq.
- Wraith Law
- 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618
- For FULL GREEN CIRCLE CORPORATION, successor in interest to Full Green Circle, LLC, and doing business as PureFormulas.com (collectively, "PURE FORMULAS")
- Jose L. Prendes
- CEO Full Green Circle Corporation
- c/o PureFormulas.com 11800 NW 102 Road
- Medley, Florida 33718

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

With a copy to -

Michael T. Hornak, Esq. Rutan & Tucker 611 Anton Blvd., Ste. 1400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall file a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If the Court, despite the Parties' best efforts, does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

8 ||///

| , | |
|-----|--|
| 1 | 14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL |
| 2 | 14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. |
| 3 | The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed |
| 4 | regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to: |
| 5 | (a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good |
| 6 | faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been |
| 7 | diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and |
| 8 | (b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section |
| 9 | 25249.7(f)(4) and approve the settlement and this Consent Judgment. |
| 10 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: |
| 11 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER |
| 12 | a Khall of Still for |
| 13 | Dated: 19/2/2013 |
| 14 | Chris Hepaustall, Executive Director |
| 15 | FULL GREEN CIRCLE CORPORATION, for itself, as successor in interest to Full Green |
| 16 | Circle, LLC, and doing business as PureFormulas.com |
| 17 | D I |
| 18 | Jose L. Prendes, Chief Executive Officer |
| 19 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 20 | WRAITH LAW |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Dated: |
| 23 | William F. Wraith Counsel for Environmental Research Center |
| 24 | |
| 25 | RUTAN & TUCKER LLP |
| 26 | |
| 27 | By Michael T. Hornak Dated: |
| 28 | Counsel for Full Green Circle Corporation |
| | |
| | -9- |
| - 1 | |

14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL 1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. 2 The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed 3 regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to: 4 (a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good 5 faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been 6 diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and 7 (b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 8 9 25249.7(f)(4) and approve the settlement and this Consent Judgment. IT IS SO STIPULATED: 10 11 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 12 Dated: 13 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director 14 15 FULL GREEN CIRCLE CORPORATION, for itself, as successor in interest to Full Green Circle, LLC, and doing business as PureFormulas.com 16 17 Dated:____ 18 Jose L. Prendes, Chief Executive Officer 19 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 20 WRAITH LAW 21 Dated: October 3, 2013 22 23 Counsel for Environmental Research Center 24 RUTAN & TUCKER LLP 25 26 Dated: 27 By Michael T. Hornak Counsel for Full Green Circle Corporation 28 .9.

| . ! | 14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | 14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the recuest of the Parties |
| 3 | The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgmen and, home fully informed |
| 4 | regarding the matters which are the subject of this action to |
| 5 | (a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good |
| 6 | faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the netter has been |
| 7 | diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such softlement and |
| 8 | (b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and South Code Section. |
| 9 | 25249.7(f)(4) and approve the settlement and this Consent Judgment |
| 0 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: |
| 1 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER |
| 2 | |
| 3 | Dated: |
| 4 | Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director |
| 5 | FULL GREEN CIRCLE CORPORATION, for itself, as successor in interest to find Green |
| 6 | Circle, LLL, and doing business as PureFormulas.com |
| 7 | 62-12 |
| 8 | Jose L. Prendes, Chief Executive Officer |
| 9 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 0 | WRAITH LAW |
| 1 | |
| 2 | Dated |
| 3 | William F. Wraith Counsel for Environmental Research Center |
| 4 | |
| 5 | RUTAN & TUCKER LLP |
| 6 | Michael Monch Dated: 10-4-13 |
| 7 | By Michael T. Hornak |
| 8 | Counsel for Full Green Circle Corporation |
| | |
| | |
| - 1 | |

PROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT!

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

CRAIG L. GRIFFIN

-10-

LAW OFFICE OF KAREN A. EVANS

4218 Biona Place San Diego, CA 92116 Tel: (619) 640-8100 E-Mail: karen.erc@cox.net

March 8, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Full Green Circle Corporation Full Green Circle LLC Pureformulas.com

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Atrium Inc. atri-cleanse - Lead Atrium Inc. Parasit-X - Lead

Atrium Inc. Chitosan HD Plus - Lead

Atrium Inc. fibertime - Lead Atrium Inc. Val-Tran - Lead Atrium Inc. Atri-Nerve - Lead Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. March 8, 2012
Page 2

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least March 8, 2010, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely, Karen a. Evans

Karen A Fuanc

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Full Green Circle Corporation, Full Green Circle LLC, and Pureformulas.com and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)

Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. March 8, 2012 Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Full Green Circle Corporation, Full Green Circle LLC, and Pureformulas.com

1, Karen A. Evans, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: March 8, 2012

Karen A. Evans

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. March 8, 2012
Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Full Green Circle, Corporation PureFormulas.com 11800 NW 102 Road Suite 2 Medley, FL 33178

Full Green Circle, LLC 9737 NW 41 Street, #609 Doral, FL 33178 Jose L. Prendes (Registered Agent of Full Green Circle Corporation) 11800 NW 102 Road Suite 2 Medley, FL 33178

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On March 8, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on March 8, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Amber Schaub

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. March 8, 2012

Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County \$25 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 322 San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box \$19 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney. Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113