, , ,		Hm 11975068*
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930 Attorneys for Plaintiff Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY NOV 0 3 2014 CLERI By HIE SUPERIOR COURT By HUMATE Stewart Deputy
8 9	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10 11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12 13	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO. RG13667350
14 _ 15	Plaintiff, v.	CONSENT JUDGMENT [P ROPOSED]-
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ATA RETAIL SERVICES, INC., a California Corporation, TMD INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Nevada Corporation, SAFEWAY, INC. a Delaware Corporation; THE VONS COMPANIES, INC. DBA VONS, a Michigan Corporation; SMART & FINAL INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-20; and DOES 1-20;;	
23 24	Defendants.	
25.	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> 1.1 This Consent Judgment is ent	ered into by and between plaintiff Consumer $\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{N}$
26 27		alf of itself and in the interest of the public and
28	CONSENT JUDG	I MENT (PROPOSED)

defendant ATA Retail Services, Inc. ("ATA"), with each a Party and collectively referred to as "Parties."

ATA employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for 1.2 purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and imports, distributes, and sells Culinary Elements[™] Product Bin Liner, Item #9200 ("Liner"). Liner is limited to products sold or distributed by ATA only.

Notice of Violation. . 1.3

1.3.1 On or about March 27, 2012, CAG served ATA, Smart & Final, Inc. "Smart & Final"), TMD International, LLC ("TMD"), and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue" (the "March;27, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Di (2ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") contained in Liners.

1.3.2 On or about August 30, 2012, CAG served ATA, TMD, Safeway Inc. and The Vons Companies (collectively "Safeway"), and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue" (the "August 30, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Liners.

1.3.3 On or about June 17, 2014, CAG served ATA, TMD International, LLC, and Food 4 Less of Southern California, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue" (the "June 17, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of prior exposures to DEHP contained in Liners.

JN Smm

CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPOSED)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.3.4 No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 27, 2012, August 30, 2012 or June 17, 2014 Notices. 1.4 Complaint,

On February 13, 2013, CAG filed a Complaint for Penalty, Injunction, and Restitution ("Complaint") in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. RG13667350.

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has 8 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal 9 jurisdiction over ATA as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and 10 County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which 12 were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or 13 indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to. 14

15

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

1.6 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The parties enter 16 into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between 17 the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment shall not 18 constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every 19 allegation of which ATA denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used 20 as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of ATA. 21

2. DEFINITIONS

23

Notices.

22

24

25

26

27

28

"Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the 2.1 Court.

"Notices" means the March 27, 2012, August 30, 2012 and June 17, 2014 2.2

уN Ө

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

3.1 Commencing on the Effective Date, ATA shall only import, distribute, or sell Liners that contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DEHP by weight.

4 || .

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 ATA shall pay a total of \$95,000 in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:

4.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: ATA shall pay \$90,000 to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4.3 **Civil Penalties.** ATA shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of \$4,000 as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$3,000, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$1,000, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$3,000. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$1,000 to CAG.

Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: ATA shall pay \$1,000 in lieu of civil 4.4 20 penalties payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for 21investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, 22 laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating 23 exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, 24 occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of 25 hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary 26 for those files in litigation, in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed 27 chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such 28

J.m.

exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used.

4.5 All Payments in Paragraphs 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 above shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212, within 10 days of the Effective Date.

9

5.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

10 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on 5.1 11 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and ATA and its officers, directors, insurers, 12 employees, parents, sharcholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister 13 companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and each of its suppliers, 14 customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and agents, including TMD, Safeway, Smart & 15 Final, Inc., and Food 4 Less of Southern California, Inc., or any other person in the course of 16 doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute 17 or sell Liners ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 18 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from Liners only distributed or 19 sold by ATA as set forth in the Notices. ATA and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this 20 Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to 21 DEHP from Liners. 22

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys,
successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or
indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all
actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations,
damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation
fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown,

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

JN DM

fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against ATA, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream 1 Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or 2 common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Liners imported, 3 distributed, or sold by ATA and Defendant Releasees. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to 4 5 alleged exposures to DEHP from the Liners, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits 6 which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims arising 7 from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure 8 to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Liners by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of 9 the California Civil Code, which provides as follows: 10 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT 11 THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE 12 DEBTOR. 13 CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of 14 California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or 15 resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Claims arising from 16 any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to 17 warn about exposure to DEHP from the Liners, including but not limited to any exposure to, or 18 failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Liners, CAG will not be able to make 19 any claim for those damages against ATA or the Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant 20 Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such 21 Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law 22 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Liners as may exist as of the date 23 of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect 24 their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge 25 is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause. 26 27 28

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

Уn - 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, City and County of Alameda, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to ATA. The NOV shall include for each Liner: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Liner was offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Liner.

6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 30 days of receiving such NOV, ATA serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:

(a) The Liner was shipped by ATA for sale in California'before the Effective Date, or

(b) Since receiving the NOV ATA has taken corrective action by providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Liner identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.

6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** ATA may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.

(a) In its election, ATA may request that the same sample(s) of Liner(s) tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.

JN

(b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Liner does not contain DEHP in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1 CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, ATA may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.

(c) If ATA does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its attorney's fees and costs.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and ATA waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint, and CAG shall file a request for dismissal without prejudice of all other defendants in this action, including TMD, Safeway, and Smart & Final.

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment 7.2 18 and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become 19 null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of 20 this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the 21 negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall 22 have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this 23 Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine уN. Д 24 whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval. 25

26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

7.

1 ||

2

3

4

7

8

9

8.

MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to
6 meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

10

10.

DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Liners sold outside the State of California.

13

12

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

14 11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the
15 California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment
16 prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the
17 Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the
18 absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment,
19 the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.2, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

13. GOVERNING LAW

13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be
governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law
provisions of California law.

JA

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

28

21

22

23

24

13.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

10

11

.12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

en^{2 +}

14.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

15. NOTICES

16

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery or First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to ATA:

Jeroen Noordermeer, CFO ATA Retail Services, Inc 7133 Koll Center Parkway Pleasanton, CA 94566



Case Number: RG13667350 Case Name: Consumer Advocacy Group Inc. vs. ATA Retail Services

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (CCP 1013a)

I certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Alameda County Superior Court. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, California 94544. I served this **Consent Judgment** by placing copies in envelope(s) addressed as shown below and then by sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail at **Hayward**, California, following standard court practices.

Yeroushalmi & Associates Attn: Yeroushalmi, Ben 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 240 W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Fulbright & Jaworski, LLP Attn: Margulies, Jeffrey B. 555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor. Los Angeles, CA 90071

Freeman, David G. 1801 Century Park East, 16th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Salisbury Law Group Attn: Salisbury, Lisa G. 1600 Dove Street, Ste. 107 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Date: 11/03/2014

lerk of the Superior Court Executive' entert By

Kriste Stewart, Deputy Clerk