1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 19381) Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)			
2	Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)			
3	YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240 W			
-	Beverly Hills, CA 90212			
4	Telephone: 310 623 1926 Fax: 310 623 1930			
5	EMAIL: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.			
7	Paul S. Rosenlund (SBN 87660) Michael L. Reitzell (SBN 215272)			
8	DUANE MORRIS LLP			
	Spear Tower			
9	One Market Plaza, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127			
10	Telephone: 415 957 3000			
11	Fax: 415 957 3001 E-mail: psrosenlund@duanemorris.com			
11	mlreitzell@duanemorris.com			
12	Attorneys for Defendant			
13	BETTER SLEEP, INC.			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT			
16	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the	Case No. BC497063		
17	public interest,			
18	Plaintiff,			
19	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
1	DETERD CLEED INC. a New James Company ion	(Handith & Cafate Cada & 25240 ( at any)		
20	BETTER SLEEP, INC., a New Jersey Corporation, BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY WAREHOUSE	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)		
21	CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-20,	Complaint Filed: December 7, 2012		
22	Defendants.			
23	Detendants.			
24				
25	1. INTRODUCTION			
26	1.1 Parties			
27	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and	between plaintiff Consumer Advocacy Group		
28	Inc. ("CAG"), Better Sleep, Inc., aka Better Bath ("Better Sleep"), and Burlington Coat Factory			
1	CONSENT LUNCMENT: CASE NO BC497063			

DM1\4251491.5

///

Warehouse Corporation ("Burlington"), with Better Sleep and Burlington collectively referred to as the "Defendants," and CAG and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties."

## 1.2 **CAG**

CAG is a California corporation that serves as a private enforcer of Proposition 65, as described in Proposition 65 and the regulations of the Attorney General of California at 11 Cal. Code Regs. § 3000 et seq.

# 1.3 Better Sleep, Inc. and Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation

Defendants each employ ten or more persons and are each a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

# 1.4 General Allegations

CAG alleges that Defendants have manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold vinyl or PVC bath mats that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Defendants deny CAG's allegations.

### 1.5 Notice of Violation

On July 12, 2012, CAG served Defendants, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on Defendants' alleged failure to warn consumers and employees in the workplace that certain Covered Products exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

## 1.6 Complaint

On December 7, 2012, CAG filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against Defendants and Does 1 through 20, entitled *CAG v. Better Sleep, Inc., et al.*, Case No. BC497063, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain vinyl or PVC bath mats sold by Defendants in California (the "Complaint").

1.7

Defendants deny the material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintain that all Covered Products sold in California have been and are in compliance with all applicable California laws and regulations. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

## 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction

No Admission

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

#### 2.1 Covered Products

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall mean vinyl or PVC bath mats manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Better Sleep that are sold or offered for sale in the State of California, including, but not limited to "Better Bath Non-Slip Bathmat. #48CLR" and "Better Bath Non-Slip Bathmat, BCF#48".

#### 2.2 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

#### 2.3 Reformulated Products

Reformulated Products are defined as Covered Products containing DEHP in concentrations not to exceed 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3550 and 8270.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

#### 3.1 Reformulation Commitment

- 3.1.1 Better Sleep has implemented processes to comply with the reformulation standards recited in this Consent Judgment. As of sixty (60) days following the Effective Date (the "Reformulation Date"), all Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or acquired for sale in the State of California by Better Sleep shall be Reformulated Products.
- 3.1.2 Burlington agrees that it shall remove from stock all existing Better Sleep Style BCF48 bathmats presently in its California stores until it receives Reformulated Products from Better Sleep.

# 4. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(b)

## 4.1 Civil Penalty

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Better Sleep shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,000 within five days of the Effective Date, to be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to CAG as follows: Better Sleep shall issue two separate checks to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$750.00; and (b) "Yeroushalmi & Associates in Trust for Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." in the amount of \$250.00. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below.

## 4.2 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty

Better Sleep also shall separately pay \$1,000 to CAG as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(b). CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs incurred during the

litigation, in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action.

# 4.3 Payment Procedures

- 4.3.1 **Issuance of Payments.** Payments shall be delivered as follows:
- (a) All payments owed to CAG pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Reuben Yeroushalmi Yeroushalmi & Associates 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240 W Beverly Hills, CA 90212

(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to Yeroushalmi & Associates, at the address set forth above in 4.3.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.

4.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After each penalty payment, Better Sleep shall issue separate 1099 forms for each payment to (a) CAG, to be mailed to CAG at 9000

Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90211, and (b) OEHHA, which shall be identified as "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486) in the 1099 form, to be mailed to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814.

#### 5. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

Better Sleep shall pay \$113,000 to Yeroushalmi & Associates for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. Defendant shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs, shall make the check payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates", and shall deliver payment within lifteen days of the Effective Date, to the address listed in Section 4.3.1(a) above.

### 6. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

## 6.1 CAG's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

CAG acting on its own behalf and in the public interest releases Defendants, all companies and persons named in the Notice, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, licensors, licensees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendants directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees, and all of their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys ("Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, manufactured, imported, acquired for distribution, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in Covered Products, as set forth in the Notice, manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Defendants.

#### 6.2 CAG's Individual Release of Claims

CAG, in its corporate capacity only and *not* in its representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions,

causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants before the Effective Date.

## 6.3 Defendants' Release of CAG

Defendants on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against CAG, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by CAG and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to Covered Products.

# 6.4 CAG's Dismissal of Burlington

Promptly after this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, CAG shall cause a Request for Dismissal With Prejudice to be entered by the Court as to defendant Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation. By way of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the Complaint relates only to bathmats manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Better Sleep.

#### 6.5 No Other Known Claims or Violations

CAG and CAG's counsel affirm that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Better Sleep or for which Better Sleep bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment, and as to Burlington that they are not presently aware of any actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 relative to Covered Products by Burlington or for which Burlington bears legal responsibility other than those that are fully resolved by this Consent Judgment.

#### 7. COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 By this Consent Judgment and upon its approval by the Court, the Parties waive their right to trial on the merits, and waive rights to seek appellate review of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 7.2 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which CAG shall

file. Defendants shall support the entry of this Consent Judgment. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 8. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Any Party may, by motion, application for an order to show cause before the Los Angeles Superior Court, or any other appropriate action, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. A Party may file such a motion, action or application only after that Party first provides 30 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner for a period of no less than 30 days.

#### 9. GOVERNING LAW

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control law.

]]]

- 9.2 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter set forth in this Consent Judgment, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are deemed merged. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 9.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

#### 10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

27 | ///

- 77

1	To Better Sleep:			
	1	To CAG:		
2	William H. Emery President	Michael Marcus		
3	Better Sleep, Inc.	Director		
	100 Readington Road	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 9000 Wilshire Blvd.		
4	Branchburg, NJ 08876	Los Angeles, CA 90211		
5	With a copy on behalf of Better Sleep to:	•		
6	Prof. Decodord Fra	With a copy on behalf of CAG to		
	Paul S. Rosenlund, Esq. Duane Morris LLP	Reuben Yeroushalmi		
7	One Market Plaza	Yeroushalmi & Associates		
8	Spear Tower, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127	9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212		
		bevery fills, CA 70212		
9	To Burlington:			
10	Stacy Haigney			
11	Vice President, Assistant General Counsel			
	Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse			
12	Corporation 1400 Broadway, 11th Floor			
13	New York, NY 10018			
14	With a copy on behalf of Burlington to:			
15	Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq.			
	Norton Rose Fulbright			
16	555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071			
17				
18	Any party, from time to time, may specify it	n writing to the other party a change of address to		
19	which all notices and other communications shall b	e sent.		
20	11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF S	IGNATURES		
21	This Consent Judgment may be executed in	counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature,		
22	each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute			
23	one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf sig	one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.		
24	12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)			
25	CAG and its attorneys shall comply with the	e reporting form requirements referenced in		
26	California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).			
27	///			
28	///			
	10			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT: CASE NO. BC497063  DMI4251491.5			
4	NA PROPERTY AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS OF THE PROPERT			

# 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

### 14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

8	Consent Judgment.	
9	AGREED TO: Date: 01/27/14	AGREED TO:
1	m Mil	Date:
2	By: Marcus, Director	By:
3	Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	William H. Emery, President Defendant, Better Sleep, Inc.
4		AGREED TO:
5  6		Date:
17		
18		By:Stacy Haigney
19		Vice President, Assistant General Counsel
20		Defendant, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation
21		v, as <b>c</b>
22		, <u>-</u>
23 24		

### 13. MODIFICATION

I

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

### 14. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Date:	Date: ) AMUARY 28, 2014
11		
12	By:	Ву:
13	Michael Marcus, Director Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group,	William H. Emery/President- Defendant, Better Steep, Inc.
14	Inc.	
15		AGREED TO:
16		Date:
17		
18		By:Stacy Haigney
19		Vice President, Assistant General Counsel
20		Defendant, Burlington Coat Factory
21		Warehouse Corporation
22		
23		
24		
25		
- 1	1	

1 I CONSENT JUDGMENT: CASE NO. BC497063

DMI\4251491.5

26

27

MODIFICATION 13. 1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and 2 upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion 3 of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. 14. **AUTHORIZATION** 5 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 6 respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 7 Consent Judgment. 8 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 10 Date: 11 12 Michael Marcus, Director William H. Emery, President Defendant, Better Sleep, Inc. 13 Plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, 14 AGREED TO: 15 16 17 18 Vice President, Assistant General 19 20 Defendant, Burlington Coat Factory Warehouse Corporation 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CONSENT JUDGMENT: CASE NO. BC497063 DMIM251491.5