3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

SMRH:409745692 2

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)
Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)
Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)
YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E
Beverly Hills, California 90212
Telephone: (310) 623-1926
Facsimile: (310) 623-1930

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.

ALAMEDA COUNTY

SEP 2 0 2013

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

ll .

SPRINGS GLOBAL US, INC., a Delaware Corporation, ROSS DRESS FOR LESS, INC., a Virginia Corporation, ROSS STORES, INC., an Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-50, Defendants Case No RG13664087

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER

Health & Safety Code § 25249 5 et seq

ACTION FILED: January 18, 2013 TRIAL DATE: None set

FILE BY

1. INTRODUCTION

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG) acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public and defendant, Springs Global US, Inc. (referred to as "Defendant" or "Springs Global") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

Defendant employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and manufacture, distribute, and sell Vinyl Shower Curtains ("Covered Products"). DEHP is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

28

COMMIT PREPARED Face CLUD Paren - 1

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER

13 Notice of Violation

·10

On July 12, 2012, CAG served Defendant, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("July 12, 2012 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Covered Products sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 12, 2012 Notice

1.4 Complaint.

On January 18, 2013, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Alameda Superior Court, Case No. RG13664087, against Defendant and other entities. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from Covered Products

15 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related to.

1.6 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any person under Proposition 65 or otherwise.

Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common

-2-

•

÷

law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Stipulated Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by Defendant, or its respective officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 "Covered Products" sold by Springs Global means Vinyl Shower Curtains This consent judgment is limited to the products only sold by Springs Global.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means 10 days from the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "DEHP" means Di (2-ethylhexyl) Phthalate.
 - 2 4 "Notice" means the July 12, 2012 Notice.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION/CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 As of the Effective Date, Defendant agrees to reformulate Products its Covered Products sold in California to contain less than one-tenth of one percent (.001) DEHP
- 3.2 As of the Effective Date, Defendant agrees to affix any Covered Products already in the market with appropriate Proposition 65 warning labels.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Within 14 days of the Effective Date or receipt of Forms W-9 from CAG, whichever is later, Defendant shall pay a total of \$55,000 in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows.
- 4.2 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Defendant shall pay \$7,000 in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use the payment for such projects and purposes related to environmental protection, worker health and safety, or reduction of human

exposure to hazardous substances (including administrative and litigation costs arising from such projects), as CAG may choose.

- 4.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendant shall pay \$38,000 to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment
- thousand dollars (\$10,000) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249 12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$7,500, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$2,500, representing 25% of the total penalty Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$7,500. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$2,500 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.5 Payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Associates, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendant and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, including or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products, and all persons and entities who are downstream in the stream of commerce from Creative who sell or distribute the Covered Products, and all their affiliates ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective

SMRH:409745692 2

Date based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notices. Defendant and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Covered Products, CAG hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant, the Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect

their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. Subject to Section 6.2, the parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Alameda County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 30 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce Section 3 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 30 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
 - (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendant has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendant, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603

SMRH:409745692 2

- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendant may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1 CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendant does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the

 Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an
 order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6 3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its attorney's fees and costs.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249 7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint
- 7 2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval

..

SMRH:4097456922

8 1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party

hereto No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

-9%

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Defendant:

• 1	Atti. Legal Department	
2	Springs Global US, Inc. 205 N White St	
3	Fort Mill, SC 29716	
4	With a copy to:	
5 -	Meredith Jones McKeown	
6	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor	
7	San Francisco, CA 94111	
8	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE	
9	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized	
10	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of	
11	the party represented and legally to bind that party	
12	ACREED TO	ACREED TO
13	AGREED TO:	AGREED IO:
14	Date: July, 2013	Date: July 31, 2013
15	For CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP,	For SPRINGS GLOBAL US, INC., by:
16	INC., by:	1016 /au
17		- All a fall
18	Name:	Name: <u>Delbridge E. NARRON</u> Title: <u>SVP + Governel Counsel</u>
19	Title:	Title: SVP + Govern Coursel
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	II IS SO ORDERED.	
23	Date:	·
24		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-10-

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER

SMRH:409745692 2

Attn: Legal Department Springs Global US, Inc. :2 205 N White St Fort Mill, SC 29716 3 With a copy to: Meredith Jones McKeown Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center; 17th Floor 6 San Francisco, CA 94111 7 8 17. **AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE** 9 17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized 10 by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of 11 the party represented and legally to bind that party. 12 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 13 Date: July ___ 2013 Date: July___, 2013 15 For SPRINGS GLOBAL US, INC., by: For CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP. INC., by: 16 17 18 Name: 19 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

SMRH;409745692.2

-10-

Case Title: Consumer Advocacy Group vs. Springs Global US, Inc., et al. Case No. RG13664087

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

1 certify that the following is true and correct:

I am a Deputy Clerk employed by the Alameda County Superior Court. I am over the age of 18 years. My business address is 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, California. I served the STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT AND ORDER by placing copies in envelopes addressed as shown below and sealing and placing them for collection, stamping or metering with prepaid postage, and mailing on the date stated below, in the United States mail at Alameda County, California, following standard court practices.

Reuben Yeroushalmi, Esq. Yeroushalmi & Associates 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212

Elizabeth M. McDaniel, Esq. Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 1700 San Francisco, CA 94111

Dated: September 23, 2013

Executive Officer/Clerk of the Superior Court

Bv:

Sheila McMullen, Deputy Clerk