EXHIBIT 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

JUL 2 1 2014

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk clytne Journ 1 Dalu

BY N PARKE

WILLIAM F. WRAITH, SBN 185927 WRAITH LAW

16485 Laguna Canyon Rd., Suite 250 Irvine, California 92618

Tel: (949) 251-9977

3 Fax: (949) 251-9978 4

1

2

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center

HOWARD A. SLAVITT, SBN 172840 COBLENTZ PATCH DUFFY & BASS LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 200

San Francisco, CA 94111-4213 Tel: (949) 677-5204 Fax: (415) 391-4800

Attorney for Defendants NAVITAS NATURALS, INC. and NAVITAS LLC

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, a California non-profit corporation,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NAVITAS NATURALS, INC., NAVITAS LLC, and DOES 1-25, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 30-2013-00650409-CU-MC-CJC

Judge: Hon. Gregory Munoz

PB@PQSPM/STIPULATED/CONSENT JUDGMENT: PROPOSEDYORDER

[Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.]

Action Filed: May 20, 2013 Trial Date: None

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following products (hereinafter collectively the "Covered Products" or "Covered Product" to refer to a

14993.002 2474827v6

MARGARIO STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENTI

28

27

single	product)
--------	----------

- 1. Navitas Naturals Organic Raw Maca Powder
- 2. Navitas Naturals Raw Nori Sheets Certified Organic Sea Superfood (hereinafter referred to as "Nori Sheets").
- Navitas Naturals Hemp-Cacao-Maca Superfood Blend Certified Organic Protein Smoothie Mix (hereinafter referred to as "Superfood Blend").
- Navitas Naturals Lucuma Powder Lucuma Powder Certified Organic Incan Superfood (hereinafter referred to as "Lucuma Powder").
- 1.2 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center ("ERC") is a California non-profit corporation and is acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65. ERC brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249. ERC asserts that it is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
 - 1.3 Defendant NAVITAS NATURALS, INC. is a California Corporation.
 - 1.4 Defendant NAVITAS LLC is a California Limited Liability Company.
- 1.5 Defendants NAVITAS NATURALS, INC. and NAVITAS LLC are collectively referred to hereinafter as "NAVITAS".
 - 1.6 NAVITAS manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Products.
- 1.7 ERC and NAVITAS are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.8 On September 17, 2012, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served a Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and NAVITAS. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 1.9 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations, and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against NAVITAS with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the

"Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notice of Violations.

- 1.10 The Complaint and the Notice of Violations each allege that NAVITAS manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Products, which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. Further, the Complaint and Notice of Violations allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. NAVITAS denies all material and factual allegations of the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, shall file an answer asserting various affirmative defenses, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require a Proposition 65 warning or cause harm to any person. NAVITAS and ERC each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.
- 1.11 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding. Provided, however, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.12 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has

 jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

- manufacturing for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead, unless such Covered Product complies with the warning requirements in Section 3.4 or qualifies as a "Reformulated Covered Product" pursuant to Section 3.5. "Distributing into California" means to ship any of the Covered Products to California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Products to a distributor that NAVITAS knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California. Provided, however, that NAVITAS may manufacture or package Covered Products for which the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 0.5 micrograms of lead without complying with Sections 3.4 or 3.5 as long as such products are only for sale to consumers located outside of California and Navitas does not Distribute them into California.
- 3.2 All Covered Products that have been or will have been distributed, shipped, or sold, or otherwise placed in the stream of commerce through and including the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment are exempt from the provisions of Sections 3.1, and 3.3 through 3.6 and are included within the release in Section 8.1. To be in compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, NAVITAS is not required to undertake any efforts or conduct to remove such Covered Products from the stream of commerce. On the Effective Date, NAVITAS shall provide ERC with the last lot number and expiration date for each of the Covered Products in the stream of commerce through the Effective Date.

3.3 Calculation of Lead Levels

As used in this Consent Judgment, lead levels are calculated pursuant to the testing protocol described in Section 3.6. For purposes of measuring the lead, the second highest lead detection result of the five Randomly Selected Samples (as that phrase is defined in Section 3.6,

below) of the Covered Product will be controlling. The highest result will be discarded and not counted for purposes of this Consent Judgment.

3.4 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

For those Covered Products that are subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, NAVITAS shall provide the following warning ("Warning") as specified below:

[California Proposition 65] WARNING: This product contains [lead,] a chemical known [to the State of California] to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The text in brackets in the warnings above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

The Warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product. The Warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements designs or devices on the outside packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. If the Warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product container or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the Warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Product. No other statements regarding Proposition 65 or lead will accompany the warning.

The Warning that is in each of Exhibits B-C hereto is deemed to be clear and reasonable and to be likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use.

3.5 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the maximum recommended daily

serving on the label contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day.

3.6 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

- (a) Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, NAVITAS shall test five (5) Randomly Selected Samples of each Covered Product (in the form intended for sale to the enduser) for lead content. The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which NAVITAS has provided the Warning specified in Section 3.4. (A "Randomly Selected Sample" means one that is selected without definite aim or direction.)
- (b) Testing for lead shall be performed using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- (c) All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration for the analysis of heavy metals. NAVITAS may use, but is not required to use, Exova, located in Santa Fe Springs, CA, for its testing.
- (d) NAVITAS shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of four (4) years from the date of the test. NAVITAS shall provide copies of the test results to ERC within 10 days of NAVITAS's receipt of the test results.
- (e) NAVITAS shall test five (5) Randomly Selected Samples each year for each of the Covered Products for a minimum of four (4) consecutive years for each Covered Product that NAVITAS is manufacturing for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California" for which it is not providing a warning pursuant to Section 3.4, above. NAVITAS may test the five samples per year either at the same time or at different times during the year. The second highest lead detection result of the five Randomly Selected Samples of each Covered Product will be controlling. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of four (4) consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the four (4) year period, NAVITAS changes

ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, NAVITAS shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.

- (f) If a controlling test for Covered Products in 3.5(e), above, shows that the recommended serving size would result in a maximum daily dose of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead then, within 30 days of receiving such controlling test result, NAVITAS shall cease distributing, shipping, selling or otherwise placing the disputed Covered Product in the stream of commerce, unless, within 30 days NAVITAS complies with the warning requirements in Section 3.4 or it reformulates the Covered Product so that it qualifies as a "Reformulated Covered Product" pursuant to Section 3.5.
- (g) For purposes of this Consent Judgment, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms and shall be calculated using the following formula: Micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in the recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.7 Serving Size

NAVITAS will take the following actions regarding the serving size or daily serving recommendations:

- (a) NAVITAS will reduce the serving size of the Superfood Blend and Lucuma Powder to no more than 5 grams and will make a daily serving recommendation of one serving per day. NAVITAS will use a serving size of one sheet for the Nori Sheets and will make a daily serving recommendation of one serving per day. Based on ERC's test results that form the basis of the Notice of Violation, these measures will make these products Reformulated Covered Product pursuant to Section 3.5. ERC is not currently aware of any test results that would require any of these products to contain warnings pursuant to Section 3.4 as long as the above serving size and daily serving recommendations are followed.
 - (b) Nothing in this Section 3.7 shall modify NAVITAS' obligations pursuant to this

Consent Judgment for future tests it conducts pursuant to Section 3.6, or prevent NAVITAS from modifying a Covered Product serving size or daily serving recommendation.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 NAVITAS shall make a total payment of \$90,000.00 within 10 business days of the Effective Date, which shall be in full and final satisfaction of any and all civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and attorney's fees and costs.
- 4.2 The payment will be in the form of separate checks sent to counsel for ERC,
 William F. Wraith, Wraith Law, 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, California,
 92618. The checks shall be payable to the following parties and the payment shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.3 \$12,500.00 (twelve thousand five hundred dollars) as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$9,375.00 (nine thousand three hundred seventy-five dollars) shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and \$3,125.00 (three thousand one hundred twenty-five dollars) shall be payable to ERC. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d)). ERC's counsel will forward the civil penalty to OEHHA.
- 4.4 \$22,000.00 (twenty-two thousand dollars) payable to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other costs incurred as a result of work in bringing this Action.
- 4.5 \$37,790.00 (thirty-seven thousand seven hundred ninety dollars) payable to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties, for the day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes work, analysis and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of this Action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are complying with Proposition 65; and (3) ERC giving a donation of \$2,000.00 of the \$37,790.00 to the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH") to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.
 - **4.6** \$17,710.00 (seventeen thousand, seven hundred and ten dollars) payable to

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 William F. Wraith as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees and attorney's costs.

4.7 NAVITAS's failure to remit payment before its due date shall be deemed a material breach of this Agreement.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) Upon entry of a modified Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth as follows. If either Party requests or initiates a modification, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. ERC is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by NAVITAS. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, NAVITAS and ERC are unable to reach agreement on any modification proposed by NAVITAS, then once NAVITAS files a motion seeking modification, it shall not be required to further reimburse ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless ERC is the prevailing party on such motion. If ERC is the prevailing party in any such motion initiated by NAVITAS, then it shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion; if NAVITAS is the prevailing party in any such motion initiated by NAVITAS then it may request that the Court award its attorney's fees and costs and the Court shall have discretion to determine whether to do so.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
 - 6.3 Before filing a motion or application for an order to show cause, ERC shall

I7

14993.002 2474827v6

provide NAVITAS with 30 (thirty) days written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors and assigns, and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (including "Co-Brand" customers; excluding only "Private Labeler" customers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. "Private Labelers" excluded from the benefits of this Consent Judgment are companies who rebrand and offer NAVITAS manufactured or distributed products under their own brand, not under the NAVITAS brand. "Co-Brand" customers who shall benefit from this Consent Judgment are companies who offer NAVITAS manufactured or distributed products with their own brand and the NAVITAS brand both displayed on the product packaging.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and NAVITAS, of all direct and derivative violations of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding lead. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges, NAVITAS and its past and present officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (including "Co-Brand" customers; excluding only "Private Labeler" customers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in

lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorney's fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under or derived from Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

- 8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.
- 8.3 ERC, on behalf of itself only, hereby forever releases and discharges the Released Parties from all known and unknown Claims for direct or derivative violations of Proposition 65, arising from or relating to alleged exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

8.4 Unknown Claims

It is possible that other Claims not known to ERC arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured, sold or Distributed into California before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims described in section 8.3, above, and waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

ERC, on behalf of itself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.5 ERC, on one hand, and NAVITAS, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by

them in connection with the Notice of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

- 9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.
- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following:

For Environmental Research Center

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director **Environmental Research Center** 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400

San Diego, CA 92108

With a copy to:

William F. Wraith, Esq. Wraith Law 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618

25

For NAVITAS NATURALS, INC. and NAVITAS LLC Zach Adelman, CEO

Navitas Naturals 15 Pamaron Way, Suite A Novato, CA 94949

28

27

With a copy to:

14993.002 2474827v6

-12-



Howard A. Slavitt, SBN 172840 Coblentz Patch Duffy & Bass LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 200 San Francisco, CA 94111-4213

11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If the Court, despite the Parties' best efforts, does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL

14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties.

	11
1	The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
2	regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:
3	(a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good
4	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
5	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
б	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
7	25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, and this Consent Judgment.
8	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
10	
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER
12	2 Start Addition
13	Chris Heptinistal, Executive Director
14	Denis repensian, executive Director
15	
16	NAVITAS NATURALS, INC.
17	
18	Dated:
19	Name:
20	Title:
21	
22	NAVITAS LLC
23	
24	Dated:
25	
26	Name:
27	Title:
28	
	14993.002 2474827v6 -14-
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
f i	

		i e
1	The Parties request the Court to fully review	this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
2	regarding the matters which are the subject of	of this action, to:
3	(a) Find that the terms and provis	sions of this Consent Judgment represent a good
4	faith settlement of all matters raised by the a	llegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
5	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and	
6	(b) Make the findings pursuant to	California Health and Safety Code Section
7	25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, as	nd this Consent Judgment.
8		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
10		
11	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENT	ER
12		
13	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director	Dated:
14	Cinis repulsian, Executive Director	
15		
16	NAVITAS NATURALS, INC.	
17	11/1	
18	1/1/4	Dated: 8/29//3
19	Name: ZACH ADELOTAN Title: MANABUNG PARANER	
20	Title: MANAGING PARAVER	
21		
22	NAVITAS LLC	·
23	·	^ /
24	2/Am	Dated: 8/29/13
25	Name: ZACH ASELYAW	Daws. 07-7.
26		
27	Title: CFO	
28		
		•
	14993.002 2474827v6	-14-
	AROPO ED STIPULAT	TED CONSENT JUDGMENT]

	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
	2
	3 WRAITH LAW
	1 Marie 9/201-
	5 William F. Wraith Dated: 8/30/2013
	Counsel for Environmental Research Center
•	CORI ENTO DATICIA DATICIA DA CONTROL A DA CONTROL DA CO
8	
10	Dated: X/30/2012
11	Counsel for Navitas Naturals, Inc. and Navitae LTC
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

14993.002 2474827v6

28

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Mated: JUL 2 1 2014

STAMES LOVEDER

Judge, Superior Court of the State of California

JUDGE PED TEM

14993.002 2474827v6

-16-

JPROPOSED STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT]

WRAITH LAW

16485 LAGUNA CANYON ROAD SUITE 250 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92618 Tel (949) 251-9977 Fax (949) 251-9978

September 17, 2012

NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.5 ET SEQ. (PROPOSITION 65)

Dear Alleged Violator and the Appropriate Public Enforcement Agencies:

I represent Environmental Research Center ("ERC"), 3111 Camino Del Rio North, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. (619) 500-3090. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall. ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

ERC has identified violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), which is codified at California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq., with respect to the products identified below. These violations have occurred and continue to occur because the alleged Violator identified below failed to provide required clear and reasonable warnings with these products. This letter serves as a notice of these violations to the alleged Violator and the appropriate public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a private enforcement action in the public interest 60 days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations.

General Information about Proposition 65. A copy of a summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is an attachment with the copy of this letter served to the alleged Violator identified below.

<u>Alleged Violator</u>. The name of the company covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter "the Violator") is:

Navitas Naturals, Inc.

<u>Consumer Products and Listed Chemicals</u>. The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemical in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

Navitas Naturals Organic Raw Maca Powder - Lead

Navitas Naturals Raw Nori Sheets Certified Organic Sea Superfood - Lead

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 17, 2012 Page 2

Navitas Naturals Hemp-Cacao-Maca Superfood Blend Certified Organic Protein Smoothie Mix - Lead

Navitas Naturals Lucuma Powder Lucuma Powder Certified Organic Incan Superfood - Lead

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

It should be noted that ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations and result in subsequent notices of violations.

Route of Exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products. Consequently, the primary route of exposure to these chemicals has been and continues to be through ingestion, but may have also occurred and may continue to occur through inhalation and/or dermal contact.

Approximate Time Period of Violations. Ongoing violations have occurred every day since at least September 17, 2009, as well as every day since the products were introduced into the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users or until these known toxic chemicals are either removed from or reduced to allowable levels in the products. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to the identified chemicals. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product label. The Violator violated Proposition 65 because it failed to provide persons handling and/or using these products with appropriate warnings that they are being exposed to these chemicals.

Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and a desire to have these ongoing violations of California law quickly rectified, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter that includes an enforceable written agreement by the Violator to: (1) reformulate the identified products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals, or provide appropriate warnings on the labels of these products; and (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty. Such a resolution will prevent further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals, as well as an expensive and time consuming litigation.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 17, 2012 Page 3

ERC has retained me as legal counsel in connection with this matter. Please direct all communications regarding this Notice of Violations to my attention at the law office address and telephone number indicated on the letterhead.

Sincerely.

William F. Wraith

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Navitas Naturals, Inc. and its Registered Agent for Service of Process only)
Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 17, 2012 Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Navitas Naturals, Inc.

I, William F. Wraith, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached 60-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: September 17, 2012

William F Wraith

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 17, 2012 Page 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On September 17, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Navitas Naturals, Inc.

President or CEO

Mary Louise Hurabiell

9 Pamaron Way Novato, CA 94949 Navitas Naturals, Inc.

(Registered Agent for Navitas Naturals, Inc.)

2633 Turk Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94118

9 Pamaron Way Novato, CA 94949

On September 17, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 17, 2012, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on September 17, 2012, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Amber Schaub

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 17, 2012

Page 6

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County
1225 Felion Street, Suite 900
Oskiend CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 703 Court Street, Suite 202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Croville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Reach Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Coste County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, Bl Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glena County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Cantro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130 District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukizh, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W, Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mail Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2^{ed} Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004 District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Josquin County 222 F. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sterra County PO Box 457 Downleville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 2121 Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Bivd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291 District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suits 152 Marysville, CA 95901


Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113





ORGANIC RAW MACA POWDER

Maca root has been used by Indigenous Andean societies as a source of nourishment and healing for thousands of years. This bag contains 100% pure maca powder that is certified organic, kosher, non-gmo, gluten-free and raw.

Studies have identified four alkaloids present in maca known to nourish the endocrine system. Maca root has been used as a stress-fighting adaptogen to increase stamina, boost libido and combat fatigue. Maca is a nutrient-dense whole food packed with vitamins, plant sterois as well as many essential minerals, fatty and amino acids. Our raw maca is low temperature processed and cultivated on the junin Plateau of the Peruvian Andes.

The mission of Navitas Naturals is to provide premium organic superfoods that increase energy and enhance health. Our products are of the highest quality, sourced directly from farmers, cultivated sustainably and in accordance with fair trade practices.











CERTIFICATIONS OF SICIOS

THE HATHGULL GREATIC PROGRAM STATISATION OF THE OWITED SYSTEM REPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SUGGESTED USES:

SMOOTHES, SHART

eranola, cereal and yourt

ERERRY BARK

BENEFITS

ADAPTOSEN

AMINO ACIDS



BEFTHEFTED BY

Nutrition Facts

Serving Size: 1 tep (5g) Servings Per Container: about 90

Servings Per Contait about 90	n
Caloriee 20 Calories from Fat 0	

Amenin'Serving	% Cody Yelse*
Total Fat 0g	0%
Saturated Fat 0g	0%
Trans Fat 0g	
Cholesterol Orng	0%
Socilum Omg	0%
Vitamin A 0%	Vitamin C 2%

Asseunt/Bening	% Dudy Yukoo*
Total Carbohydrate 4g	196
Dietary Fiber 1g	4%
Sugare 1g	
Protein 1g	

CHRANIC MACA (LEPISTUM MEYUMI, WALPIES) - PRODUCT OF PERU PACACABO IN A PACILITY THAT ALSO MARDLES THER BUTH, PETENDENTON MOT INQUINEED, STOSH WA COOK, MARK, DRY PLACE.

VERNEMBILTHIS PRODUCT CONTAINS A CHEMICAL KNOWN TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO CAUSE BIRTH DEPECTS OR OTHER SEPRODUCTIVE HARM.



SCAN THIS OR CODE TO PIND OUT MORE ABOUT MACA. *PERCENTAME DAILY VALUES BASED ON A 2,000 CALONSE DIET, YOUR DAILY VALUES MAY BE INGUES OR LOWISE DEPENDING ON YOUR CALONIS HERDS.



THE SUPERFOOD COMPANY VAN ARROY TOL



PULL THE RESTAURLE PACKAGE THE POWER OF SUPERFOODS FOR MORE INFORMATION AND RECIPES VISIT: WWW.NAVITASNATURALS.COM

ORGANIC RAW MACA POWDER

Maca root has been used by Indigenous Andean societies as a source of nourishment and healing for thousands of years. This bag contains 100% pure maca powder that is certified organic, kosher, non-gmo, gluten-free and raw.

Studies have identified four alkaloids present in maca known to nourish the endocrine system. Maca root has been used as a stress-fighting adaptogen to increase stamina, boost libido and combat fatigue. Maca is a nutrient-dense whole food packed with vitamins, plant sterols as well as many essential minerals, fatty and amino acids. Our raw maca is low temperature processed and cultivated on the Junin Plateau of the Peruvian Andes.

The mission of Navitas Naturals is to provide premium organic superfoods that increase energy and enhance health. Our products are of the highest quality, sourced directly from farmers, cultivated sustainably and in accordance with fair trade practices.













CERTWIND ORGANIC BY CONTROL GRICK CERTIFICATIONS OF 802000

THE RATIONAL CHARACTER PROGRAM STATEMENT OF ASSISTENCE.

SUGGESTED USES:

NO TEA

GRANGLA, CEREAL AND YOSURT

EMERGY BARS

BENEFITS:

AMINO ACIDS

ESSENTIAL MENERALS



Nutrition Facts

Serving Size: 1 tap (5g) Servings Per Container: about 90

Calorise 20 Calories from Fat 0

Associat/Bending	% Dally Value
Total Fet 0g	0%
Seturated Fat 0g	0%
Trans Fat Og	
Cholesterol 0mg	0%
Sodium Omg	0%
Vitamin A 0%	Vitamin C 2%

Total Carbohydrate 4g	1%
Dietary Fiber 1g	4%
Sugare 1g	
Protein 1g	

meetib certefed organic maca (lepidium meyerk, walpers) - product of Peru Her netomeration: packaged in a pacifit that also mandles trice buts. Se information: representation hot requires, store in a cool, bark, bry place. No submention: I serving fer out

ECAN THIS ON CODE TO HIME OUT MORE ABOUT MACA.

WEARINGED THIS PRODUCT CONTAINS A CHEMICAL SHOWN TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO CALIFE HISTH DEPECTS OR OTHER REPRODUCTIVE HARM.



THE SUPERFOOD COMPANY

BALL YOUR MAY TO BEARING



PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 I, William F. Wraith, am an active member of the State Bar of California and not a party 3 to this action. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing took place. My 4 business address is 16485 Laguna Canyon Road, Suite 250, Irvine, CA 92618. 5 On July 23, 2014, I served the foregoing documents described as: 6 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT 7 on the following interested parties in this action in the manner identified below: 8 HOWARD A. SLAVITT, ESQ. COBLENTZ PATCH DÚFFY & BASS LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 200 10 San Francisco, CA 94111-4213 Attorney for Defendants NAVITAS NATURALS, INC. and NAVITAS LLC 11 Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting 12 Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 13 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 14 Oakland, California 94612-0550 15 [X]BY MAIL - COLLECTION: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing following this business's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this 16 business's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the 17 ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. 18 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true 20 and correct. Executed on July 23, 2014 at Irvine, California. 21 22 William Fletwith 23 24 William F. Wraith 25 26 27 28