1 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 **ENDORSED** Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 FILED San Francisco County Superior Court THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 OCT 2 2 2013 Berkeley, CA 94710 CLERK OF THE COURT Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 BY: GINA GONZALES Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 5 Deputy Clerk Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. 6 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 9 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 10 11 12 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D., Case No.: CGC-13-529495 13 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 14 v. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT **JUDGMENT** 15 FARMER BROS. COMPANY.; et al., October 22, 2013 Date: Defendants. 16 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 302 17 Judge: Hon. Marla J. Miller 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

	1			
1	In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. and defendant Farmer			
2	Bros. Company, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered			
3	pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and			
4	following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and			
5	Consent Judgment on:			
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California			
7	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6,			
8	Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as			
9	Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the			
10	settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.			
11				
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
13	MARLA J. MILLER			
14	Dated: OCT 2 2 2013 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT			

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
11					
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CGC-13-529495			
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
14	v.				
15 16	FARMER BROS. CO.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)			
17	Defendants.				
18		•			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	[PROPOSED] CONSE	NIT HIDOMENIT			
	[PKOPOSED] CONSE	MT TODOINEMT			

I

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23 . 24

25

26

2728

1.1 Dr. Whitney R. Leeman and Farmer Bros. Co.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Dr. Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and Farmer Bros. Co. ("Farmer Bros." or "Defendant"), with Dr. Leeman and Farmer Bros. collectively referred to as the "Parties," and each individually referred to as a "Party."

1.2 Dr. Whitney R. Leeman

Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Farmer Bros. Co.

Farmer Bros. employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Leeman alleges that Farmer Bros. has sold food extracts, flavors and colorings in the State of California containing 4-methylimidazole ("4-MEI") without the requisite Proposition 65 health hazard warning. Pursuant to Proposition 65, on January 7, 2011, California identified and listed 4-MEI as a chemical known to cause cancer. 4-MEI became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65 one year later on January 7, 2012. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8, 25249.10(b).

1.5 Product Description

The product covered by this Consent Judgment is a food extract, flavor or coloring allegedly containing or previously containing 4-MEI sold or offered for sale in California by Farmer Bros. listed on Exhibit A attached hereto, collectively hereinafter the "Product."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about December 21, 2012, Dr. Leeman served Farmer Bros. and the requisite public prosecutors with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), identifying the Product and alleging that Farmer Bros. was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn its customers and consumers that food extracts, flavors and colorings containing 4-MEI, sold by Farmer Bros. in California, expose consumers to 4-MEI. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On March 13, 2013, Dr. Leeman filed a complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court against Farmer Bros. and Does 1 through 150, *Leeman v. Farmer Bros. Co., et al.*, Case No. CGC-13-529495 (the "Complaint" or "Action"), alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to 4-MEI contained in the food extracts, flavors and colorings sold by Farmer Bros. to consumers in California.

1.8 No Admission

Farmer Bros. denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and maintains that all of the products they have sold in California, including the Product, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Farmer Bros. of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Farmer Bros. of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Farmer Bros. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Farmer Bros. obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

Additionally, the Parties acknowledge that all communications between the parties with respect to settlement are confidential and protected by Evidence Code Section 1152.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Farmer Bros. as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is

proper in San Francisco County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, as a full and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been raised in the Complaint against Farmer Bros. based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean September 1, 2013.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Farmer Bros. shall only manufacture, sell, or distribute for sale in California the "Reformulated Product." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Reformulated Product is defined as a Product that contains no detectable 4-MEI. "No detectable" is defined as containing less than or equal to 1 part per million ("ppm") of 4-MEI when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodology 8321A (LC/MS).

3. MONETARY TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalties

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Farmer Bros. shall pay \$30,000 in civil penalties. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), in the form of a check made payable to "OEHHA," and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Dr. Leeman, in the form of a check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Whitney R. Leeman."

3.2 Initial Civil Penalty

On or before the Effective Date, Farmer Bros. shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$5,000.

3.3 Final Civil Penalty

On or before December 31, 2013, Farmer Bros. shall pay a final civil penalty of \$25,000 which may be waived, as per Section 3.3.1 below:

The final civil penalty totaling \$25,000 shall be waived, if no later than December 15, 2013, an officer of Farmer Bros. provides Dr. Leeman's counsel with written certification that all of the Product purchased for sale by Farmer Bros., imported for sale by Farmer Bros., distributed for sale by Farmer Bros., or manufactured by Farmer Bros. for sale in California after December 15, 2013 comply with the Reformulation Standard set forth in Section 2 above. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this section is a material term, and time is of the essence.

3.4 Reimbursement of Dr. Leeman's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Dr. Leeman expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine, codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Farmer Bros. shall, on or before the Effective Date, pay \$43,000 for fees and costs, including without limitation, all attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Farmer Bros. attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.5 Sales Data

Farmer Bros. understands that the sales data it provided to Dr. Leeman was a material factor upon which Dr. Leeman has relied to determine the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 in this Consent Judgment. To the best of Farmer Bros. knowledge, the sales data provided by Farmer Bros. to Dr. Leeman is full and complete, and is a true and accurate reflection of any and all sales of the Product by Farmer Bros. in California during the period January 1, 2012 to May 2013.

If, within nine months of the Effective Date, Dr. Leeman discovers and presents to Farmer Bros. evidence that prior to execution of this Consent Judgment the Product has been distributed by Farmer Bros. in sales volumes materially different than those identified by Farmer Bros. prior to execution of this Consent Judgment, then Farmer Bros. may be liable for an additional penalty amount as well as additional attorney fees expended by Dr. Leeman in the public interest. In the event Dr. Leeman has evidence that the Product has been distributed by Farmer Bros. in sales volumes in California materially different than those identified by Farmer Bros., Dr. Leeman shall provide Farmer Bros. with a written demand for additional penalties and attorney fees under this section. After service of such demand, Farmer Bros. shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding the demand and submit such payment to Dr. Leeman in accordance with the method of payment of penalties and attorney's fees identified in this Section 3. Should this 30 day period pass without any such resolution between the Parties regarding payment of such additional penalties and fees, Dr. Leeman shall be entitled to enforce or otherwise address the violation through mediation and if unsuccessful, through binding arbitration.

3.6 Payment Procedures

3.6.1 Issuance of Payments

(a) All payments owed to Dr. Leeman and her attorneys, pursuant to Sections

3.1 through 3.4, shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

(b) All payments owed to OEHHA pursuant to Sections 3.1 through 3.3, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses, as appropriate:

For United States Postal Service:

Mike Gyrics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010

Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For delivery by other than the United States Postal Service:

Mike Gyrics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814

3.6.2 Proof of Payment

A copy of each check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed, simultaneous with payment, to The Chanler Group at the address set forth above in Section 3.6.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.

3.6.3 Tax Documentation

Upon making each payment required by this Section 3.6, Farmer Bros. shall issue separate 1099 forms as follows: For each penalty payment to OEHHA, a 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); for each penalty payment to Whitney Leeman, a 1099 shall be issued to "Whitney R. Leeman," whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this consent judgment is fully executed by the Parties; for each payment in reimbursement of fees and costs, Farmer Bros. shall issue a separate 1099 form to "The Chanler Group" (EIN: 94-3171522).

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Dr. Leeman's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Dr. Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, and on behalf of each of her predecessors, successors, partners, partnerships, agents, representatives, insurers, attorneys, heirs, assignors and assignces, accountants and all persons and entities acting or claiming by, through, under or in concert with any of them, hereby irrevocably releases and forever discharges Farmer Bros. its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Farmer Bros. directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Product, including, without limitation, its downstream distributors,

wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Releasees") from all claims, demands, suits, liabilities, causes of action or actions, now or in the future, for violations of Proposition 65 based on alleged exposures to 4- MEI from the Product ("Claim"). Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to 4- MEI from the Product.

4.2 Dr. Leeman's Individual Release of the Claim

Dr. Leeman also, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Dr. Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of the Claim. The Parties understand that the Claim only pertains to the product listed on Exhibit A.

In furtherance of the foregoing, Dr. Leeman, on her own behalf, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon her with respect to the Claim by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HERSETTLEMENTS WITH THE DEBTOR."

Dr. Leeman understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequences of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Dr. Leeman, suffers future damages arising out of the Claim, Dr. Leeman will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any Releasees pertaining to, the Product in Ex. A. Furthermore, Dr. Leeman acknowledges that she intends these consequences and this Release for any such claims pertaining to the Product which may exist as of the date of this Release, but which Dr. Leeman does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect her decision to enter into this Consent

Judgment, regardless of whether her lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause. The Parties further understand and agree that this Section 4.2 release expressly excludes any upstream entities, such as distributors and suppliers, who sold the Product or any component parts thereof to Farmer Bros.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Dr. Leeman, on her own behalf and on behalf of her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that she may have against Farmer Bros. and Releasees, as it pertains to the Claim, unless the sales data is inaccurate as set forth in Section 3.5, in which case her claims are limited to the relief set forth in that section.

4.3 Farmer Bros. Release of Dr. Leeman

Farmer Bros., on behalf itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Dr. Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Product.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, in which event any monies that have been paid to Dr. Leeman or her counsel pursuant to Section 3 above shall be refunded within fifteen days of Dr. Leeman's receipt of written notice from Farmer Bros. that the one-year period has expired.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Farmer Bros. Co.:

For Dr. Leeman:

Lee N. Smith, Esq. Weintraub Tobin, LLP 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Attorneys for Farmer Bros. Co.

Attorneys for Dr. Whitney R. Leeman

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or PDF signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Dr. Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). In addition, the Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Dr. Leeman shall prepare and file such motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and Farmer Bros. shall not oppose such motion. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Dr. Leeman and Farmer Bros. and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ

- 11					
1	their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain				
2	approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.				
3	11. MODIFICATION				
4	This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement of the Parties.				
5	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>				
6	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their				
7	respective Parties, and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this				
8	Consent Judgment.				
9	AGREED TO:				
10					
11	By: 1 / 1 AM 11 Al POSACOLO By: 5 Mely: The				
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. Name: Mark Nelson Title: CFO				
13	FARMER BROS. CO.				
14	aliz 112 - 4/15/17				
15	Date: 5/13/15 Date: 0/13/15				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2425					
25 26					
20 27		•			
28	10				
20	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				

EXHIBIT A

 SKU: #11031 EXT MAPLE IMIT QT 12/CS, also referred to as "SKU: #011031 (#8 40825 00122 2), Sierra Brand Premium Products Imitation Maple Flavor"