

1 Laralei Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP **ENDORSED** 2 2560 Ninth Street **FILED** Parker Plaza, Suite 214 ALAMEDA COUNTY 3 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone:(510) 848-8880 MAR 2 0 2014 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By PAM WILLIAMS 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Deputy RUSSELL BRIMER 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 RUSSELL BRIMER, Case No. RG13675985 13 Plaintiff, PROPOSED JUDGMENT 14 PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT v. 15 AND CONSENT JUDGMENT VISUAL LAND, INC.; and DOES 1-150, Date: 16 March 19, 2014 inclusive, Time: 9:00 a.m. 17 Dept. 15 Defendants. Judge: Hon. Ioana Petrou 18 Reservation No. R-1475983 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, Russell Brimer, and defendant, Visual Land, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/2011

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

1 2 3 4	Laralei Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone:(510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
6 7	Andrew Do, State Bar No. 147084 OSWALD & YAP, APC 16148 Sand Canyon Ave.	
8	Irvine, CA 92618 Telephone: (949) 788-8900	
10	Attorneys for Defendant VISUAL LAND, INC.	
11	VISUAL LAND, INC.	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
13		
14		
15		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER, Ca	se No. RG13675985
17	Plaintiff,	
18	V. [P	ROPOSED] ONSENT JUDGMENT
19	VISUAL LAND, INC.; et al.,	
20	i /7 3	lealth & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	·	
28		

## 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Russell Brimer ("Brimer"), and defendant, Visual Land, Inc. ("VLI"), with Brimer and VLI each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendant

VLI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

### 1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that VLI has manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in the State of California, vinyl/PVC headphone cords containing lead. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as vinyl/PVC headphone cords containing lead including, but not limited to, *V-Touch Pro Touch Screen Media Player with In-Ear Headphones, Model ME-965L-4GB-BLK (#8 28063 49652 9)*, manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by VLI in the State of California, hereinafter "Products."

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On December 28, 2012, Brimer served VLI and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice that VLI was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn

consumers that its Products exposed users in the State of California to lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

# 1.7 Complaint

On March 4, 2013, Brimer filed the instant action ("Complaint") against VLI for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

VLI denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by VLI. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect VLI's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over VLI as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

## 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is signed by both Parties.

# I

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNING</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date, VLI shall only sell, ship or offer for sale in California Products that are: (1) sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and (b); or (2) exempt pursuant to Section 2.2 as Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.3.

# 2.1 Product Warnings

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product(s) the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. If Visual Land posts warnings on its Products pursuant to Section 2.1(a)(i), no additional warning is required either in Mail Order Catalogs or Internet Sales, pursuant to the alternative warning provisions in Section 2.1(b) below.

# (a) Retail Store Sales

(i) Product Labeling. VLI may affix a warning to the packaging, labeling or directly on any Products that are not Reformulated Products sold in retail outlets in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

(ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Alternatively, VLI may provide warning signs in the form below to its retailers in California with instructions to post the signs in close proximity to the point of display of any such Products for the benefit of its retailers' customers.

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Where any such Products are sold in proximity to other like items or to those that do not require a warning (e.g., Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.3), the following statement must be used:

WARNING: The following product(s) contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

[list product(s) for which warning is required]

Products that are not Reformulated Products via mail order catalog or the Internet to customers located in California after the Effective Date, VLI shall provide a warning for such Products sold via mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents pursuant to section 2.1(a)(i) above, or, in the alternative, in the mail order catalog or on the website. Any warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific Product(s) to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i) and (ii).

(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger than the Product(s) description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product(s):

WARNING:

This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product(s), VLI may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front or back cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING:

Certain products identified with this symbol ▼¹ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The symbol "▼" is to appear in the color red.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product(s). On each page where the designated symbol appears, VLI must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Products via the Internet, provided it is displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process and appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for a Product; or (c) on the same page as the price for any Product(s).

# 2.2 Exceptions to Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to Reformulated Products (as defined in Section 2.3 below).

# 2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those Products that contain no more than 90 parts per million lead content by weight in any accessible component (i.e., any component that can be touched during reasonably a foreseeable use) when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, and that yield a result of no more than 1.0 micrograms of lead when sampled pursuant to the NIOSH 9100 testing protocol and analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies used by federal or state agencies to determine lead content in a solid substance.

# 2.4 Vendor Notification Requirement

To the extent is has not already done so, no more than ten (10) days after the Effective Date, VLI shall provide the reformulation standards specified in section 2.3 for Reformulated Products to any and all of its vendors of Products and of accessible component parts used in the Products that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers, and shall instruct each vendor to use its best efforts to provide only Reformulated Products, as defined in Section 2.3.

# 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, VLI shall pay a total of \$22,500 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Brimer, as follows:

- 3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Within five (5) days of the Effective Date, VLI shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$7,500, in two separate checks made payable as follows:

  (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$5,625; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$1,875.
- penalty of \$15,000, in two separate checks for made payable as follows: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$11,250; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$3,750. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if, no later than July 16, 2014, an officer of VLI provides Brimer with written certification that, as of the date of the certification ("Certification Date") and continuing into the future, VLI has met the reformulation standard specified in Section 2.3 above, such that all Products manufactured, imported, sold and/or distributed for sale in California by VLI are Reformulated Products. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. In the event VLI fails to comply with this Section 3.1.2, Plaintiff's counsel shall provide Defendant's counsel with telephonic and email notice of breach, after which Defendant will have seventy-two (72) hours from receipt of notice of breach within which to cure such breach.

# 3.2 Reimbursement of Brimer's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after all other settlement terms had been finalized, VLI expressed a desire to resolve the fee and costs issue. The

1	Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due Brimer and his counsel	
2	under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil	
3	Procedure § 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter exclusive of fees and costs incurred on	
4	appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, on or before March 3, 2014, VLI shall pay \$55,000 for	
5	fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and	
6	costs incurred (and to be incurred) drafting, negotiating, and obtaining the Court's approval of this	
7	Consent Judgment in the public interest.	
8	3.3 Payment Procedures	
9	3.3.1 Issuance of Payments.	
10	(a) All payments owed to Plaintiff and his counsel, pursuant to Sections	
11	3.1 and 3.2 shall be delivered to the following payment address:	
12	The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller	
13	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
14	Berkeley, CA 94710	
15	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to	
16	Sections 3.1 shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the	
17	following addresses, as appropriate:	
18	For United States Postal Service Delivery:	
19	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
20	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010	
21	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
22	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:	
23	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
24	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street	
25	Sacramento, CA 95814	
26		
27		
28		

# 

3.3.2 Proof of Payment to OEHHA. A copy of each check payable to OEHHA shall be mailed, simultaneous with payment, to The Chanler Group at the address set forth in Section 3.3.1(a) above, as proof of payment to OEHHA.

3.3.3 Tax Documentation. VLI shall issue a separate 1099 form for each payment required by this Section to: (a) Russell Brimer, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties; (b) OEHHA, who shall be identified as "California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486) in the 1099 form, to be delivered directly to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814, and (c) "The Chanler Group" (EIN: 94-3171522) to the address set forth in Section 3.3.1(a) above.

## . CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

# 4.1 Brimer's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Brimer, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases VLI, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities, successors, and/or assignees, that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders, attorneys, and each entity to whom VLI directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees of any nature whatsoever arising from violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposures to lead from the Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Products sold by VLI before the Effective Date as set forth in the Notice.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims,

# 

liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in the Products imported, manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by VLI before the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 VLI's Release of Brimer

VLI on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

## 5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment within one year of the Effective Date, all monies paid pursuant to Section 3 herein shall be returned to VLI.

#### 6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision is Brimer by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then VLI shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve VLI from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control law.

## 8. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier, to one party by the other party at the following addresses:

For VLI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

For Brimer:

Gary Lu, President Visual Land, Inc. 17785 Center Court Drive, Suite 670 Cerritos, CA 90703 Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

With a copy on behalf of VLI to:

Andrew H. Do, Esq. OSWALD & YAP, APC 16148 Sand Canyon Irvine, CA 92618

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

# 9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and VLI agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and to obtain judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers and supporting the motion for judicial approval.

28

# 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

# 12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	
Gary Lu, President Defendant, Visual Land, Inc.	