

2

3

5

67

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER San Francisco County Superior Count

JUN 8 - 2016

CLERK OF THE COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)

Plaintiff,

•

FAYEON DISTRIBUTORS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-12-526396

[PROPOSED] AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO HOSODA BROS. INC.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Hosoda Bros. Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers the lead content of snack food products made with ginger that is

- 1 -

processed with sugar or a sugar substitute ("Covered Products") that are sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant.

- 1.2 On January 15, 2013, CEH served Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation under Proposition 65, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation that distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are offered for sale in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On November 27, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint in this matter. On December 20, 2012, CEH filed the operative First Amended Complaint in this matter. The First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional named defendants.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law except as expressly stated herein. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

2.1 Specification Compliance Date. To the extent it has not already done so, no more than fifteen (15) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment ("Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 to each of its Covered Products suppliers and shall instruct each such Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. If in the future Settling Defendant purchases Covered Products from a new third party that it has not previously provided with instructions regarding the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2, Settling Defendant shall provide the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2 prior to placing an initial order for Covered Products and instruct the new Covered Products supplier to provide it with Covered Products that comply with the reformulation specification set forth in Section 2.2. Settling Defendant shall retain records of communications sent to and received from suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of five years from the Effective Date.

2.2 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be offered for sale in California that contains a concentration of more than seventeen (17) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead by weight, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment with a level of detection of at least ten (10) ppb that meets standard laboratory QA/QC requirements (the "Reformulation Level").

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period, exchanging any relevant information, in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation absent Court intervention.

28

DOCUMENT PREPAREE
ON RECYCLED PAPER

DOCUMENT PREPARED

1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$382.50 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b) in the amount of \$2,300. CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$8,170. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to

, CL

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, predecessors, successors and assigns, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities, other than those listed on Exhibit A, to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Settling Defendant's Defendant Releasees and Settling Defendant's Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Lead in Covered Products distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

7. PROVISION OF NOTICE

7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the 12.1 Consent Judgment.

24

23

25

26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED

Dated: 100 8 2016

Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	Dated: 29 5 , 2015 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3		
4		Ca
5		
6		CAMER (Donno
. 7		Printed Name
8		Assessme Dineron
9		Title
11		
		HOSODA BROS. INC.
12	Dated:, 2015	Mosoph Bross Inc.
13		
14		
15		By
16		
17		
18		Printed Name
. 19	•	
20		Title
21		
22		
. 23		
. 24	.,	·
25		
26		
27		
28		
∠O DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		- 10 -
	CONSENT JUDGME	NT – CASE NO. CGC-12-526396 ·

	Durch	. 2015	நாரையூரையூர் நூற்கும் எங்கர்கள்களில்லர்களில் கொள்கள் கள்கள் கண்ண
			CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
Section 1			
SQUARTE TRANSPORT			
Same and the same			
			Printed Name
			Title
#0400000000000000000000000000000000000		. A The Address of the contract of the contrac	The second secon
ender jamen en			HOSODA BROS. INC.
STRONG CONTRACTOR	Detect JAN	<u>v</u> .255	
nini inganandan k			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Manager Commission			
			3//
No. of the Control of			
			JEF TANABE
A BEET COMMON		•	Primeri Name
			BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER
			Title
*	~		
days serveran			
SERVICE SERVICES			ν.
MARCON ACCOUNT			
Service Activities			
economic description			
special company.	•		
Special Control Co.			- 10 -
ni Si			FRECHENT - CASE NO. CGC-12-52496

EXHIBIT A

(LIST OF ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO DOWNSTREAM DEFENDANT RELEASE)

ACH	Food	Com	panies,	Inc.
11011	LOUG	COIL	Danie O.	1110.

Albertson's LLC

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

23

24

25

Amazon.com, Inc.

American Roland Food Corporation

B & V Enterprises, Inc.

Blue Marble Brands, LLC

Brinkhoff & Monoson, Inc.

The C.F. Sauer Company

Cost Plus, Inc.

Dakota Brothers, Inc.

Draeger's Super Markets

Food Market Management, Inc.

Foodnet Supermarket, Inc. 16

Fresh & Easy LLC fka Y-Opco LLC

Food Castle, Inc.

Frieda's, Inc. 19

Frontier Distribution LLC 20

21 Frontier Natural Products Co-op

22 Garden Grove Superstore Inc.

Gelson's Markets

Goldstar Supermarket

Island Pacific Supermarkets, Inc.

JFC International Inc. 26

Kam Lee Yuen Trading Co., Inc. 27

28

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	KeHE Distributors, LLC
2	KeHE Enterprises, LLC
3	KeHE Distributors, Inc.
4	Longchamp Corporation dba Lion Supermarket
5	Marra Bros. Dist., Inc.
6	McCormick & Company, Incorporated
7	Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc.
8	PANOS Brands, LLC
9	Reed's, Inc.
10	Safeway Inc.
11	San Pablo Supermarket, Inc.
12	Save Mart Supermarkets
13	Shun Fat Supermarket, Inc.
14	Sincerely Nuts, Inc.
15	The Spice Hunter, Inc.
16	Sunflower Farmers Markets, LLC
17	Target Corporation
18	Tawa Supermarket, Inc.
19	Torn & Glasser, Inc.
20	Trader Joe's Company
21	Unbeatable Sale. Com Inc.
22	United Natural Foods, Inc.
23	United Natural Trading, LLC
24	Vanns Spices Ltd.
25	The Von's Companies, Inc.
26	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
27	Walmart.com USA LLC

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Whole Foods Market California, Inc.

EXHIBIT A
(ENTITIES NOT SUBJECT TO RELEASE)

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE (CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.260(g))

I, DANIAL LEMIRE, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On JUN 1 0 2016 , I electronically served THE ATTACHED DOCUMENT via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

JUN 1 0 2016

Dated:

T. Michael Yuen, Clerk

By:

DANIAL LEMIRE, Deputy Clerk