

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

May-28-2015 8:34 am

Case Number: CGC-13-536393

Filing Date: May-28-2015 8:34

Filed by: FELICIA GREEN

Juke Box: 001 Image: 04928529

TEXT JUDGMENT

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., IN THE PUBLIC VS. LEHIGH CONSUMER PRODUCTS, LLC., A DELAWARE LIMITED et al

001C04928529

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

COPY

MAY 2 8 2015

CLERK OF T	HE,COURT
BY: Stlella	Green
	Deputy Clerk

1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)		
.1	Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)		
2	Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)		
	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI		
3	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W		
4	Beverly Hills, California 90212		
	Telephone: 310.623.1926		
5	Facsimile: 310.623.1930		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,		
., l	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.		

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., CASE NO. CGC-13-536393 in the public interest, 12 Plaintiff. 13 CONSENT JUDGMENT PROPOSED 14 Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seg. 15 LEHIGH CONSUMER PRODUCTS, LLC., a Delaware Limited Liability Company; 16 JARDEN CORPORATION, a Delaware 17 Corporation; LF, LLC, a Delaware Limited Complaint Filed: December 23, 2013 Liability Company; WELLINGTON-18 CORDAGE, LLC; a Delaware Limited Liability Company; LOWE'S HOME 19 CENTERS, LLC., a North Carolina Limited 20 Liability Company; WAL-MART STORES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-21 20: Defendants. 22 23 INTRODUCTION 24

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the

27

25

26

28

-]

CONSENT JUDGMENT | PROPOSED

public, and defendant, Lehigh Consumer Products LLC. (hereinafter referred to as "Lehigh" or Defendant), with each referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendants and Products

1.2.1 Defendant employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and causes to be manufactured, distributed, or sells Clotheslines, which include but are not limited to "Blue Hawk™ PVC-Coated Clotheslines, "13 LB.", "100 FT. x 3/16 IN.", Item#0349255, Model#930-12BK, barcode: 0 71514 01235 3" and Laundry Accessories, which include but are not limited to "mainSTAYS TM home white Plastic Clothesline, 5/32" x 100" / 4mm. x 30.5m. 89017/K1010H0100WM. 1488745. UPC #: 079085890172." ("Covered Products").

1.3 Chemical Of Concern

1.3.1 Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP") is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

- 1.4.1 On or about May 6, 2013, CAG served Lehigh and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "May 6, 2013") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Clotheslines, including but not limited to "Blue Hawk™ PVC-Coated Clotheslines, "13 LB.", "100 FT. x 3/16 IN.", Item #0349255, Model #930-12BK, barcode: 0 71514 01235 3". No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the May 6, 2013 Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about August 16, 2013, CAG served Lehigh and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "August 16, 2013 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Laundry

Accessories, including but not limited to "mainSTAYS TM home white Plastic Clothesline, 5/32" x 100' / 4mm. x 30.5m. 89017/K1010H0100WM. 1488745. UPC #: 079085890172." No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 16, 2013 Notice.

1.4.3 On or about July 16, 2014, CAG served Lehigh. and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "July 16, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Laundry Accessories, including but not limited to "Secureline by Lehigh™ 35 lbs/ 15,9 kg SAFE WORKING LOAD "Vinyl Coated Wire Clothesline" "Great for laundry & securing" "Low stretch, excellent durability, sag-resistant" (50 ft x 5/32 in • 15,2m x 4 mm) UPC.: 0 71514 88884 2". No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the July 16, 2014 Notice.

1.4.4 On or about November 10, 2014, CAG served Lehigh and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "November 10, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP in Laundry Accessories, including but not limited to "mainSTAYS TM home white Plastic Clothesline, 5/32" x 100' / 4mm. x 30.5m. 89017/K1010H0100WM. 1488745. UPC #: 079085890172." No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 10, 2014 Notice.

1.5 Complaint.

On December 23, 2013, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-13-536393. The Complaint

alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or

future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Clotheslines, which include but are not limited to "Blue HawkTM PVC-Coated Clotheslines, "13 LB.", "100 FT. x 3/16 IN.", Item #0349255, Model #930-12BK, barcode: 0 71514 01235 3", and Laundry Accessories, which include but are not limited to "mainSTAYS TM home white Plastic Clothesline, 5/32" x 100' / 4mm. x 30.5m. 89017/K1010H0100WM. 1488745. UPC #: 079085890172.", and "Secureline by LehighTM 35 lbs/15,9 kg SAFE WORKING LOAD "Vinyl Coated Wire Clothesline" "Great for laundry & securing" "Low stretch, excellent durability, sag-resistant" (50 ft x 5/32 in * 15,2m x 4 mm) UPC.: 0 71514 88884 2". "Covered Products" are limited to the products distributed only by Lehigh.
 - 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 2.3 "Notices" means the May 16, 2013, August 16, 2013, July 16, 2014, and November 10, 2014 notices.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

3.1 Within 30 days of the Effective Date or April 2015, whichever is later, Defendant will not manufacture, distribute, or sell the Covered Products in California unless the Covered Products have been affixed with Proposition 65 compliant warnings.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within ten (10) days of the approval of the Effective Date, Lehigh shall pay a total of ninety thousand dollars and zero cents (\$90,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue separate checks totaling fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Lehigh will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of eleven thousand two hundred and fifty dollars (\$11,250) representing 75% of the total penalty and Lehigh will issue

27

28

a check to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." in the amount of three thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars (\$3,750) representing 25% of the total penalty; and

- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Lehigh will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$11,250. Lehigh will also issue a 1099 to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Payment In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Lehigh shall pay five thousand dollars (\$5,000) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals. administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such activities in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The check shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Sulte 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Lehigh shall pay seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of

investigating, bringing this matter to Lehigh's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" and delivered to Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.2 All payments shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- behalf of itself and in the public interest and Lehigh and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), including but not limited to each of its manufacturers, suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to Lowe's Home Centers, LLC., LF, LLC, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Upstream and Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notices. Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP from Covered Products as set forth in the Notices. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees.
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expenses).

.25

contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Lehigh, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Lehigh. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Lehigh serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Lehigh for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
 - (b) Since receiving the NOV Lehigh has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Lehigh or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.

б

- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Lehigh may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Lehigh waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in its entirety by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Lehigh outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees in connection with this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered

inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

1	Reuben Yeroushaimi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212
2	(310) 623-1926
3	If to Lehigh Consumer Products LLC:
4 5	John Capps, Vice President and Secretary or Current Vice President and Secretary
6	Lehigh Consumer Products LLC 2381 Executive Center Dr. Boca Raton, FL 33431
8	With a copy to:
9	Elizabeth V. McNulty Archer Norris PLC 4695 MacArthur Court, Suite 350
10	Newport Beach, Ca. 92660
11	
12	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE
13	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
14	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of
15	the party represented and legally to bind that party.
16	
17	
18	AGREED TO:
19	Date: 1-5-15, 2014 Date: Javany 5, 20145
20	
21	Name: Ware 7 Chemits
22	Name trace
23	Title: Trecutive DACCOO Title: Vicindest - Lite of m skeplath
24	INC. LEHIOH CONSUMER PROCESS
25	
26	
27	
28	13

CONSENT JUDGMENT (PROPOSED)

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
3	MAY 2 8 2015 Date:	Emp At toldown
4		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5		ERNEST H. GOLDSMITH
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		14

CONSENT JUDGMENT PROPOSED

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA County of San Francisco

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,

Case No. CGC-13-536393

Plaintiff(s),

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (CCP 1013a (4))

VS.

LEHIGH CONSUMER PRODUCTS, LLC, et al.

Defendant(s).

I, FELICIA GREEN, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On May 28, 2015, I served the attached ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT BETWEEN CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., AND LEHIGH CONSUMER PRODUCTS, LLC, and CONSENT JUDGMENT by placing a copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows:

Michael D. Abraham BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94111 Elizabeth V. McNulty ARCHER NORRIS 4695 Macarthur Court, Suite 350 Newport Beach, CA 92660

Reuben Yeroushalmi YEROUSHALMI & ASSOCIATES 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240w Beverly Hills, CA 90212

and, I then placed the sealed envelopes in the outgoing mail at 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA. 94102 on the date indicated above for collection, attachment of required prepaid postage, and mailing on that date following standard court practices.

By:

Dated: May 28, 2015

T. MICHAEL YUEN, Clerk

Felicia Green, Deputy Clerk