

MAY 06 2014

CONSENT JUDGMENT ~ ANDREW'S & SON TRADINGS, INC. AND JF WALLIS, INC. – LEAD CASE NO. RG 09-459448

1           1.4           “Manufactured” and “Manufactures” means to manufacture, produce, or  
2 assemble.

3           1.5           “Paint or other Surface Coatings” means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material,  
4 with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film  
5 when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface.  
6 This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the  
7 substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to  
8 the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.

9           1.6           “Vendor” means a person or entity that Manufactures, imports, distributes, or  
10 supplies a Covered Product to Settling Defendants.

## 11       **2.       INTRODUCTION**

12           2.1           The parties to this Consent Judgment (“Parties”) are the Center for  
13 Environmental Health (“CEH”) and defendants Andrew’s & Son Tradings, Inc. and JF Wallis,  
14 Inc. (collectively, the “Settling Defendants”). Both Settling Defendants do business as Beston  
15 Shoes, Inc. Andrew’s & Son Tradings, Inc. is the predecessor company, while JF Wallis, Inc. has  
16 taken over the business.

17           2.2           On June 24, 2009, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Lulu NYC LLC, et al.*,  
18 Case No. RG 09-459448, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to wallets, handbags, purses and  
19 clutches. The Court has consolidated the *Lulu* matter with a number of other related Proposition  
20 65 cases.

21           2.3           On or about February 8, 2013 and May 24, 2013, CEH served 60-Day Notices  
22 of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,  
23 California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*), alleging that Settling Defendants violated  
24 Proposition 65 by exposing persons to Lead contained in footwear, without first providing a clear  
25 and reasonable Proposition 65 warning. On December 5, 2012, CEH filed the original Complaint  
26 in the action entitled *CEH v. Fashion Eden*, Case No. RG 12-658652. On August 23, 2013 CEH  
27 named JF Wallis, Inc. as a “Footwear Defendant” in the *Fashion Eden* action via Doe  
28

Amendment. The operative Complaint in the *Fashion Eden* action is hereby amended to name Andrew's & Son Tradings, Inc. as a "Footwear Defendant."

2.4 Settling Defendants manufacture, distribute and/or offer for sale Covered Products in the State of California or has done so in the past.

2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendants (the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

### **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

3.1 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent they have not already done so, no more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall provide the Lead Limits to their Vendors of Covered Products and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide Covered Products that comply with the Lead Limits on a nationwide basis.

#### **3.2 Lead Limits.**

Commencing on the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall not purchase, import, Manufacture, supply to an unaffiliated third party, or sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that contains a material or is made of a component that exceeds the following Lead Limits:

3.2.1 Paint or other Surface Coatings: 90 parts per million ("ppm").

1                   3.2.2 Polyvinyl chloride (“PVC”): 200 ppm.

2                   3.2.3 All other materials or components other than cubic zirconia (sometimes  
3 called cubic zirconium, CZ), crystal, glass or rhinestones: 300 ppm.

4 For purposes of this Section 3.2, when Settling Defendants’ direct customer sells or offers for sale  
5 to California consumers a Covered Product after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants are  
6 deemed to have “offered for sale to California consumers” that Covered Product.

7                   **3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.**

8                   3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall cease selling the  
9 Glamour Quilted Patent Bow Detail Slide Heels in Yellow, Item No. 11997193-000-003  
10 (the “Section 3.3 Product”) in California. On or before the Effective Date, Settling  
11 Defendants shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Product to any of their stores  
12 and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California, and (ii) send  
13 instructions to their stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in  
14 California instructing them either to: (a) return all of the Section 3.3 Product to Settling  
15 Defendants for destruction; or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Product.

16                   3.3.2 Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Product shall be in compliance with all  
17 applicable laws.

18                   3.3.3 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall provide  
19 CEH with written certification from Settling Defendants confirming compliance with the  
20 requirements of this Section 3.3.

21 **4. ENFORCEMENT**

22                   4.1 Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an  
23 order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent  
24 Judgment. Enforcement of the terms and conditions of Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment  
25 shall be brought exclusively pursuant to Sections 4.2 through 4.3.

26                   4.2 **Notice of Violation.** CEH may seek to enforce the requirements of Section  
27 3.2 by issuing a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.2.

28                   4.2.1 **Service of Notice.** CEH shall serve the Notice of Violation on Settling

1 Defendants within 45 days of the date the alleged violation(s) was or were observed,  
2 provided, however, that: (i) CEH may have up to an additional 45 days to provide Settling  
3 Defendants with the test data required by Section 4.2.2(d) below if it has not yet obtained  
4 it from its laboratory; and (ii) CEH may serve a Notice of Violation to a supplier of a  
5 Covered Product so long as: (a) the identity of the supplier cannot be discerned from the  
6 labeling of the Covered Product; and (b) the Notice of Violation to the supplier is served  
7 within 45 days of the date the supplier is identified by CEH.

8 **4.2.2 Supporting Documentation.** The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum,  
9 set forth for each Covered Product: (a) the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed,  
10 (b) the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, (c) a description of the  
11 Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, and of each material or component  
12 that is alleged not to comply with the Lead Limits, including a picture of the Covered  
13 Product and all identifying information on tags and labels, and (d) all test data obtained by  
14 CEH regarding the Covered Product and related supporting documentation, including all  
15 laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with  
16 testing of the Covered Products. Such Notice of Violation shall be based at least in part  
17 upon total acid digest testing performed by an independent accredited laboratory. Wipe,  
18 swipe, x-ray fluorescence, and swab testing are not by themselves sufficient to support a  
19 Notice of Violation, although any such testing may be used as additional support for a  
20 Notice. The Parties agree that the sample Notice of Violation attached hereto as Exhibit A  
21 is sufficient in form to satisfy the requirements of subsections (c) and (d) of this Section  
22 4.2.2.

23 **4.2.3 Additional Documentation.** CEH shall promptly make available for  
24 inspection and/or copying upon request by and at the expense of Settling Defendants, all  
25 supporting documentation related to the testing of the Covered Products and associated  
26 quality control samples, including chain of custody records, all laboratory logbook entries  
27 for laboratory receiving, sample preparation, and instrumental analysis, and all printouts  
28 from all analytical instruments relating to the testing of Covered Product samples and any

1 and all calibration, quality assurance, and quality control tests performed or relied upon in  
2 conjunction with the testing of the Covered Products, obtained by or available to CEH that  
3 pertains to the Covered Product's alleged noncompliance with Section 3 and, if available,  
4 any exemplars of Covered Products tested.

5 4.2.4 **Multiple Notices.** If Settling Defendants have received more than four  
6 Notices of Violation in any 12-month period, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever  
7 fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the  
8 Consent Judgment. For purposes of determining the number of Notices of Violation  
9 pursuant to this Section 4.2.4, the following shall be excluded:

10 (a) Multiple notices identifying Covered Products Manufactured for or  
11 sold to Settling Defendants from the same Vendor; and

12 (b) A Notice of Violation that meets one or more of the conditions of  
13 Section 4.3.3(c).

14 4.3 **Notice of Election.** Within 30 days of receiving a Notice of Violation  
15 pursuant to Section 4.2, including the test data required pursuant to 4.2.2(d), Settling Defendants  
16 shall provide written notice to CEH stating whether they elect to contest the allegations contained  
17 in the Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election shall be  
18 deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Any contributions to the Fashion  
19 Accessory Testing Fund required under this Section 4.3 shall be made payable to The Center for  
20 Environmental Health and included with Settling Defendants' Notice of Election.

21 4.3.1 **Contested Notices.** If the Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of  
22 Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged  
23 violation, including any test data. Within 30 days the parties shall meet and confer to  
24 attempt to resolve their dispute. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail,  
25 CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. If Settling  
26 Defendants withdraw their Notice of Election to contest the Notice of Violation before  
27 any motion concerning the violations alleged in the Notice of Violation is filed pursuant to  
28 Section 4.1, Settling Defendants shall make a contribution to the Proposition 65 Fashion

1 Accessory Testing Fund in the amount of \$12,500 and shall comply with all of the non-  
2 monetary provisions of Section 4.3.2. If, at any time prior to reaching an agreement or  
3 obtaining a decision from the Court, CEH or a Settling Defendant acquires additional test  
4 or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall promptly provide all such data or  
5 information to the other Party.

6           **4.3.2 Non-Contested Notices.** If the Notice of Violation is not contested,  
7 Settling Defendants shall include in their Notice of Election a detailed description of  
8 corrective action that they have undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged  
9 violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the  
10 Covered Product will no longer be offered by Settling Defendants or their customers for  
11 sale in California. If there is a dispute over the sufficiency of the proposed corrective  
12 action or its implementation, CEH shall promptly notify Settling Defendants and the  
13 Parties shall meet and confer before seeking the intervention of the Court to resolve the  
14 dispute. In addition to the corrective action, Settling Defendants shall make a contribution  
15 to the Fashion Accessory Testing Fund in the amount of \$10,000, unless one of the  
16 provisions of Section 4.3.3 applies.

17           **4.3.3 Limitations in Non-Contested Matters.**

18           (a) If Settling Defendants elect not to contest a Notice of Violation  
19 before any motion concerning the violation(s) at issue has been filed, the monetary  
20 liability of Settling Defendants shall be limited to the contributions required by Section  
21 4.3.2 and this Section 4.3.3, if any.

22           (b) If more than one Settling Defendant has manufactured, sold, offered  
23 for sale or distributed a Covered Product identified in a non-contested Notice of Violation,  
24 only one required contribution may be assessed against all Settling Defendants as to the  
25 noticed Covered Product.

26           (c) The contribution to the Fashion Accessory Testing Fund shall be:

27                   (i) One thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$1,750) if Settling  
28 Defendants, prior to receiving and accepting for distribution or sale the

1 Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation, obtained test results  
2 demonstrating that all of the materials or components in the Covered  
3 Product identified in the Notice of Violation complied with the applicable  
4 Lead Limits, and further provided that such test results meet the same  
5 quality criteria to support a Notice of Violation as set forth in Section 4.2.2  
6 and that the testing was performed within two years prior to the date of the  
7 sales transaction on which the Notice of Violation is based. Settling  
8 Defendants shall provide copies of such test results and supporting  
9 documentation to CEH with their Notice of Election; or

10 (ii) One thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500) if Settling  
11 Defendants are in violation of Section 3.2 only insofar as that Section  
12 deems Settling Defendants to have “offered for sale” a product sold at  
13 retail by Settling Defendants’ customer, provided however, that no  
14 contribution is required or payable if Settling Defendants have already  
15 been required to pay a total of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) pursuant to  
16 this subsection. This subsection shall apply only to Covered Products that  
17 Settling Defendants demonstrate were shipped prior to the Effective Date;  
18 or

19 (iii) Not required or payable, if the Notice of Violation identifies  
20 the same Covered Product or Covered Products, differing only in size or  
21 color, that have been the subject of another Notice of Violation within the  
22 preceding 12 months.

## 23 **5. PAYMENTS**

24 **5.1 Payments by Settling Defendants.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective  
25 Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total  
26 settlement amount for Settling Defendants shall be paid in three separate checks and delivered to  
27 the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San  
28 Francisco, California 94117-2212, and made payable and allocated as follows:



1                   5.1.1 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$1,930 as a civil penalty pursuant  
2 to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall apportion this payment in accordance with  
3 Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of  
4 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the  
5 Center For Environmental Health.

6                   5.1.2 Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$2,900 as a payment in lieu of  
7 civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of  
8 Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and  
9 protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part  
10 of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such  
11 funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect  
12 people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found  
13 at the CEH web site at [www.ceh.org/justicefund](http://www.ceh.org/justicefund). The payment pursuant to this Section shall be  
14 made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

15                   5.1.3 Settling Defendants shall also separately pay the sum of \$10,170 to the  
16 Lexington Law Group as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and  
17 costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington  
18 Law Group.

## 19       **6.       MODIFICATION**

20                   6.1           **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to  
21 time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of  
22 this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

23                   6.2           **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall  
24 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to  
25 modify the Consent Judgment.

## 26       **7.       CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

27                   7.1           This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on  
28 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendants, and their parents, subsidiaries,

1 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys  
2 (“Defendant Releasees”), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell  
3 Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers,  
4 franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (“Downstream Defendant Releasees”)  
5 of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against  
6 Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on  
7 failure to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by  
8 Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date.

9           7.2           Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendants  
10 constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to Lead in Settling Defendants’ Covered  
11 Products.

12           7.3           Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH’s right to commence or prosecute an  
13 action under Proposition 65 against any person other than a Settling Defendant, Defendant  
14 Releasee, or Downstream Defendant Releasee.

15           7.4           Nothing in Section 7 affects CEH’s right to commence or prosecute an action  
16 under Proposition 65 against a Downstream Defendant Releasee that: (a) is not a direct customer  
17 of Settling Defendant under Section 3.2; and (b) sells or offers for sale a Covered Product to  
18 California consumers that does not comply with the Lead Limits after the Effective Date.

## 19   **8.   NOTICE**

20           8.1           When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the  
21 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

22                           Eric S. Somers  
23                           Lexington Law Group  
24                           503 Divisadero Street  
25                           San Francisco, CA 94117  
26                           esomers@lexlawgroup.com

27           8.2           When Settling Defendants are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent  
28 Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

                          Andrew Lu  
                          JF Wallis, Inc.

4291 West 190th Street  
Torrance, CA 90504  
bestonsoes@gmail.com

With a copy to:

Jeffrey B. Margulies  
Fulbright & Jaworski LLP  
555 South Flower Street, 41<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

**9. COURT APPROVAL**

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall each support entry of this Consent Judgment.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

**10. ATTORNEYS' FEES**

10.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendants prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendants may be awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq.*

10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of

1 sanctions pursuant to law.

2 **11. TERMINATION**

3 11.1 This Consent Judgment shall be terminable by CEH or by Settling Defendants  
4 at any time after January 1, 2019, upon the provision of 30 days advanced written notice; such  
5 termination shall be effective upon the subsequent filing of a notice of termination with Superior  
6 Court of Alameda County.

7 11.2 Should this Consent Judgment be terminated pursuant to this Section, it shall  
8 be of no further force or effect as to the terminated parties; provided, however that if CEH is the  
9 terminating Party, the provisions of Sections 5 and 7 shall survive any termination and provided  
10 further that if Settling Defendants are the terminating Parties, the provisions of Sections 5 and 7.1  
11 shall survive any termination.

12 **12. OTHER TERMS**

13 12.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State  
14 of California.

15 12.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling  
16 Defendants, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

17 12.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and  
18 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior  
19 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby  
20 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between  
21 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or  
22 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any  
23 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or  
24 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation,  
25 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in  
26 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent  
27 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof  
28 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendants might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.

12.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

12.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

12.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

12.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.


**IT IS SO ORDERED:**

Dated: MAY 06 2014


**Wynne Carvill**  
Judge of the Superior Court

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED:


2 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

3  
4 

5 Signature

6 

7 Printed Name


8  
9 

10 Title


11 ANDREW'S & SON TRADINGS, INC.

12  
13 

14 Signature

15  
16 

17 Printed Name


18  
19 

20 Title


21 JF WALLIS, INC.

22  
23 

24 Signature


25  
26 

27 Printed Name

28  


Title

# Exhibit A







365 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 201  
Tech Center: 2441 Constitution Drive  
Livermore CA 94551



925-828-1440  
www.TheNFL.com

## Analytical Report

August 03, 2011

Lexington Law Group  
503 Divisadero Street  
San Francisco, CA 94117

Analytical Report No.: CL3573-33  
Analysis Dates: 07/26/11 - 08/03/11

Listed below are the results of our analyses for sample(s) received on July 26, 2011.

CEH ID#AB789L, [REDACTED] Wallet (Orange Surface Material On Main Part Of W  
NFL ID AF02363

Analyte	Result	Units	Method Ref.
Lead	67500	ppm	NIOSH 7082

A portion of the sample was digested in a microwave oven with concentrated nitric acid and analyzed by ICP-MS.

Sample(s) were received in good condition unless and results are reported based on the sample(s) as received, unless otherwise noted. Please note that these results apply only to the sample(s) submitted for this report. Samples from a different portion of the same lot may produce different results.

The National Food Lab services are provided subject to our standard terms and conditions, which can be found on our website, [www.TheNFL.com](http://www.TheNFL.com). Should you have any questions concerning these results, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for using the services of the National Food Lab.

Sincerely,

Grace Bandong, Division Manager, Food Contaminants -Chemistry

cc: The NFL's Accounts Receivable