1 2 3 4	Jeffrey M. Judd (SBN 136358) jeff@juddlawgroup.com JUDD LAW GROUP LLP 222 Sutter Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: 415.597.5500 Facsimile: 888.308.7686					
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Public Interest Alliance LLC					
6	Fudic interest Athance LLC					
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION					
11	CHEMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION					
12	THE PUBLIC INTEREST ALLIANCE, LLC, a)) Case No. RG13697992				
13	California limited liability company) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE:) SCIENCE OF SKINCARE, LLC (d/b/a) INNOVATIVE SKINCARE)				
14	Plaintiff,					
15	vs.) (Cal. Health & Safety Code section 25249.6 et				
16	ACCESS BUSINESS GROUP LLC, et al.					
17	Defendants.					
18		,				
19	1. INTRODUCTION					
20		entered into by and between plaintiff The Public				
21	Interest Alliance LLC ("PIA") and Science of Skincare, LLC (d/b/a Innovative Skincare) ("Science of					
22	Skincare"), with PIA and Science of Skincare collectively referred to as the "Parties."					
23	1.2 <u>Public Interest Alliance LLC</u> . The Public Interest Alliance LLC is a California					
24	limited liability company dedicated to improving h	uman health, preserving the natural environment,				
25	and promoting compliance with environmental and consumer disclosure laws					
26	1.3 <u>Science of Skincare</u> . Science of Sk	incare employs ten or more persons and is a				
27	"person in the course of doing business" for purpos	es of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic				
28	Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").					
	1 Case No.: RG13697992					

5 6

7

8

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

PIA alleges that Science of Skincare manufactured, imported, sold and/or distributed for sale in California, cosmetic and personal care powders that contain Titanium dioxide ("TiO2"). PIA alleges that, during use, some TiO2 is released into the air, exposing consumers to unbound TiO2 particles of respirable size without the health hazard warnings that Proposition 65 requires. The products that are the subject of this Consent Judgment are identified on Exhibit A attached hereto (the "Covered Products"). TiO2 is a chemical widely used as a whitening agent in a wide range of consumer products, including, without limitation, paper, paints, printers' inks, toothpaste, cosmetics, and personal care products. In 2010, the International Agency for Research on Cancer ("IARC") issued Monograph 93, which concluded that TiO2 is "possibly carcinogenic" to humans when inhaled.

- 1.4.2 Pursuant to Proposition 65, on September 2, 2011, California identified and listed Titanium Dioxide (airborne, unbound chemicals of respirable size) as a chemical known to cause cancer. Titanium Dioxide (airborne, unbound chemicals of respirable size) became subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of the Act one year later on September 2, 2012. Cal. Code Regs., Tit. 27, § 27001(c); Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.8, 25249.10(b).
- 1.4.4 Titanium Dioxide (airborne, unbound chemicals of respirable size) is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical." PIA alleges that the Listed Chemical is released into the air when the Covered Products are applied to the skin by brush, pad or sponge, leading to human exposures.
- 1.5 Notice of Violation. On or about June 21, 2013, PIA served Science of Skincare and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with Proposition 65 60-Day Notices of Violation and Proposition 65 Supplemental Notices of Violation (the "NOVs") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 based on the recipient's alleged failure to warn customers and consumers, workers and other individuals that the Covered Products exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced or is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the NOVs.
- 1.6 On October 3, 2013, PIA filed a Complaint in the Superior Court in and Complaint. for the County of Alameda styled, PIA v. Access Business Group, LLC, et al., Case No. RG13697992,

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

27

26

28

alleging violations of Proposition 65 arising from unwarned exposures to the Listed Chemical when the Covered Products are used (the "Complaint"). On May 14, 2014, Science of Skincare filed an Answer to the Complaint, containing a general denial and multiple affirmative defenses.

- No Admission. Science of Skincare denies the material factual and legal allegations 1.7 contained in the NOVs and Complaint, and maintains that all products it has manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold in California, including the Covered Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Science of Skincare of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Science of Skincare of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Science of Skincare's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.
- Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties 1.8 stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Science of Skincare as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

DEFINITIONS 2.

- California Customer's shall mean any customer that Science 2.1 of Skincare reasonably understands is located in California, has a California warehouse or distribution center, maintains a retail outlet in California or has made any internet sales into California between September 2, 2012, and the Effective Date, inclusive.
- Effective Date. "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Court enters this Consent 2.2 Judgment.
- Reformulated Products. "Reformulated Products" shall mean Covered Products that 2.3 contain no Titanium Dioxide that was intentionally added as a product ingredient.
- Retailer" means a Science of Skincare authorized entity or person or entity 2.4 that offers a Product for retail sale to consumers in the State of California.

Reformulation Covenant. Since approximately September 2013, Science of Skincare has not sold or otherwise distributed any Covered Product in California or to a California Customer, and Science of Skincare agrees that, subject to the terms of this Consent Judgment, it shall not distribute or sell to California Customers, manufacture or import for distribution or sale to California Customers or cause to be manufactured or imported for distribution or sale to California Customers, any Covered Products that are not Reformulated Products or unless and until Science of Skincare complies with the provisions of Paragraph 3.5., below (Product Warnings) (the "Reformulation Covenant").

- 3.2 <u>Intentionally Deleted</u>.
- 3.3 <u>Intentionally Deleted.</u>
- 3.4 No Current Inventory. PIA and its counsel acknowledge that Science of Skincare has provided PIA and its counsel with evidence supporting the conclusion that since approximately September 2013, Science of Skincare has not sold or otherwise distributed any Covered Product in California or to a California Customer. To Science of Skincare's best knowledge, as of the Effective Date, no Retailers have any Covered Products remaining in inventory for sale in California or to a California Customer.

3.5 **Product Warnings**.

3.5.1 **Product Labeling**. If Science of Skincare sells any Covered Products in the future that are not Reformulated Products, Science of Skincare shall provide a warning under this Consent Judgment, which shall be (1) affixed to the exterior packaging of such product or (2) affixed to the Covered Product itself in immediate proximity to any marketing, ownership or pricing tags or labels or, if none, to a surface of the product that would be immediately visible to a purchaser or user upon inspection or use. Each warning shall be of such size, color and font and shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. A

warning provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer.

3.5.2 Internet Website Warning. A warning shall be given in conjunction with any offer to sell or sale by Science of Skincare of Covered Products that are not Reformulated Products to California residents via the internet, which warning shall appear on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to completing payment and/or during the "checkout" process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in the same type size or larger than the text describing such Covered Products that are not Reformulated Products:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer.

4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 4.1 <u>Civil Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)</u>. In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Science of Skincare shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00) in accordance with this Section. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to "Judd Law Group LLP in Trust for Public Interest Alliance LLC." All penalty payments shall be made within five (5) business days after this Consent Judgment has been entered by the Court, and delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below. Any failure by Science of Skincare to deliver the required civil penalty payments to either OEHHA or Judd Law Group LLP within two business days of the required date, absent force majeure, shall result in imposition of a 10% simple interest assessment on the undelivered payment(s) until delivery.
- 4.1.1 Additional Penalty for Unreasonably Incorrect Representation Of Sales

 Data. Science of Skincare understands that the sales data it provided to PIA was a material factor
 upon which PIA has relied to determine the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health &
 Safety Code § 25249.7 in this Consent Judgment. After a reasonable inquiry and diligent review,
 Science of Skincare represents, to the best of its knowledge, that the sales data provided by it to PIA

4.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs. Science of Skincare and PIA have agreed on the compensation due PIA under the principles of Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5. Science of Skincare agrees to pay attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Science of Skincare's attention, negotiating a settlement in the public interest, and seeking court approval in the amount of Six Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$6,500). Science of Skincare further agrees that it shall not oppose Plaintiffs' application to the court for approval of such fees. All attorney fee and cost reimbursement payments shall be made within five (5) business days after the Effective Date and delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below. Any failure by Science of Skincare to deliver the required attorney fee and cost reimbursement payment to Judd Law Group LLP within two (2) days after the required date shall result in imposition of a 10% simple interest assessment on the undelivered payment(s) until delivery.

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	žI		•	
1	4.3	Payn	nent Procedures	
2		4.3.1	Issuance of Payments	
3	(a)	All pa	ayments owed to PIA and its	counsel, pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2 shall be
4	delivered to t	delivered to the following payment address:		
5				JUDD LAW GROUP LLP
6				222 Sutter Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94108
7	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA, pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered directly to			
8	OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses, as appropriate:			
9	E H 2 10 c P 10 c P		Fiscal Operations Branch Chief	
10				Office of Environ'tal Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010
11	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
12	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environ'tal Health Horard Ass		Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environ'tal Health Hazard Assessment	
13				1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814
14	4.3.2 Proof of Payment to OEHHA. A copy of each check payable to OEHHA shall			
15	be mailed, simultaneous with payment, to Judd Law Group LLP at the address set forth in Section			
16	4.3.1(a) above, as proof of payment to OEHHA.			
17	4.3.3 Tax Documentation. Science of Skincare shall issue a separate 1099 form for			
18	each payment required by this Section to: (a) PIA (EIN 46-3826361), to the address set forth in			
19	Section 4.3.1(a) above; (b) OEHHA, who shall be identified as "California Office of Environmental			
20	Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN 68-0284486) in the 1099 form, to be delivered directly to OEHHA,		the 1099 form, to be delivered directly to OEHHA,	
21	P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814, and (c) "Judd Law Group LLP" (EIN: 90-0789749) to the			
22	address set forth in Section 4.3.1(a) above.			
23	5. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>			
24	5.1	PIA's	Release of Proposition 65 C	laims. PIA, acting on its own behalf and in the
25	public interest, releases Science of Skincare, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under			
26	common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Science of			
27	Skincare direc	tly or in	ndirectly distributed or sold C	overed Products, including, but not limited, to
28	downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members,			
			Case No.: 1	7 RG13697992

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

20

21 22

24

25 26

27

28

licensors, and licensees (collectively, "Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on unwarned exposures to the Listed Chemicals in the Covered Products, as set forth in the NOV and Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to the Listed Chemicals from the Covered Products, as set forth in the NOVs. The Parties further understand and agree that this Section 5.1 release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than affiliates of Science of Skincare, LLC.

As of the Effective Date, PIA represents to Science of Skincare that PIA is not in possession of information pertaining to any other alleged violations by Science of Skincare or Releasees of Proposition 65 covered by the NOV and Complaint.

- Science of Skincare's Release of PIA. Science of Skincare, on behalf of itself, its past and 5.2 current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against PIA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by PIA and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Covered Products.
- 6. PRESERVATION OF COMPETITIVENESS. The intent of this section 6 is to protect the competitive interests of Science of Skincare arising from PIA's claims and to ensure that by settling the allegations in the NOV and the Complaint, Science of Skincare is not disadvantaged with respect to its competitors. Specifically, the parties agree that should any agreement or consent judgment be entered into by PIA, the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, or the California Attorney General's Office concerning personal care products similar to the Covered Products that contains provisions that would materially impact the terms of this Agreement, such benefits shall accrue to Science of Skincare and this Agreement shall be amended by a stipulation and proposed order, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's office at least five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court to provide Science of Skincare the benefit thereof. Further, should there be a court decision involving any other person or entity that received a Proposition 65 60-Day Notice of Violation alleging Titanium Dioxide in personal care products similar

and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. If the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar.

- 8. **SEVERABILITY**. If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.
- 9. GOVERNING LAW. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or

Case No.: RG13697992

	4				
1	rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Science of Skincare				
2	may make a formally noticed motion to this Court for relief from this Agreement or provisions of this				
3		Agreement, with the requisite written notice to PIA, and shall only have no further obligations			
4	ii				
5	obligation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Science of Skincare from				
6	any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.				
7	10. NOTICES. Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided				
8					
9					
10					
11	TOTIA.				
12	Public Interest Alliand	ce, LLC			
13 14	Burbank, CA 91504 222 Sutter Street, Suit	te 600 108			
15					
16	Mr. Albert T. Liou				
17	1901 Avenue of the Stars Suite 480	LKP Global Law, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 480 Los Angeles, California 90067			
18	Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a	a change of address to			
19	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.				
20	20 11. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES. This C	Consent Judgment may			
21	be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which sha	all be deemed an			
22					
23					
24	24 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25	5249.7(f). PIA and its			
25	25 attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in C	alifornia Health &			
26	26 Safety Code section 25249.7(f).				
27	27 13. POST EXECUTION. PIA and Science of Skincare each agrees to mut	ually employ its best			
28	efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the				

1	Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to	
2	California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial	
3	approval of this Consent Judgment, which PIA shall draft and file, and Science of Skincare shall join	
4	If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, PIA and Science of Skincare shall work	
5	together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. The Court shall maintain	
6	jurisdiction under Code of Civil Procedure section 664.4 and, if after entry of a Consent Judgment,	
7	either party determines that the other is in breach of this Agreement, such party shall provide to the	
8	other written notice of such alleged breach pursuant to Section 10, above, and the noticed party shall	
9		
10		
11	party during the Cure Period, the noticing party may thereafter bring a noticed motion to have the	
12	Court resolve the dispute by order, including, as applicable, an order awarding he prevailing party	
13	reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred in connection with the motion. This provision is a material	
14	component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.	
15	14. MODIFICATION. This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement	
16	of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a	
17	successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.	
18	15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u> . The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on	
19	behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and	
20	conditions of this Consent Judgment.	
21	Dated: June 20, 2014 PUBLIC INTEREST ALLIANCE LLC	
22		
23	By:	
24	Daniel P. Madison Managing Member	
25	Dated: June 18, 2014 SCIENCE OF SKINGARE, LLC	
26		
27	By:	
28	Bryan Johns President/CEO	

11 Case No.: RG13697992

1	Approved as to form:
2	JUDD LAW GROUP LLP
3	La A Sudd
4	By: Jeffrey M. Judd Dated: June 20, 2014
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff PUBLIC INTEREST ALLIANCE LLC
6	PUBLIC INTEREST ALLIANCE LLC
7	I VD C1 1 1 I V V V
8	LKP Global Law, LLP
9	Dated: June 20, 2014
10	By: Albert T. Liou
11	Attorneys for Defendant SCIENCE OF SKINCARE, LLC (d/b/a INNOVATIVE SKINCARE)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	12 Case No.: RG13697992