

1 2	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) 14752 Otsego Street	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
3	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (310) 200-2631	JUN 1 3 2014
4	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC	By Hullo Deputy
6		*
7	Sarah Esmaili (SBN 206053) Arnold & Porter LLP	
8	Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	
9	Telephone: 415.471.3283 Facsimile: 415.471.3400	
10	Email: sarah.esmaili@aporter.com	
11	Attorney for Method Products, Inc.	
12	OLIDEDIAN COLIDA OF T	OT OTATE OF CALIFORNIA
13		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA
15	Coordination Proceeding	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION
16	Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) PROCEEDING NO: 4765
17	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	<ul> <li>[Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, et al.,</li> <li>Los Angeles County Superior Court</li> <li>No. B©521400]</li> </ul>
18		) ) [PROP <del>OSED</del> ] AMENDED CONSENT
19		) JUDGMENT AS TO METHOD ) PRODUCTS, INC.
20 21		) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.
22		Action filed: October 11, 2013
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Method Products, PBC, sued as and formerly known as Method Products, Inc. (hereinafter "Method"). Shefa and Method are collectively referred to as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party."
- 1.2 Shefa is a limited liability company in California that is acting as a private enforcer pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and is enforcing Proposition 65.
- 1.3 On August 1, 2013, Method Products, Inc. formally reincorporated as a Delaware public benefit corporation with the name Method Products, PBC.
- 1.4 Method employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65.
- 1.5 The products covered by this Consent Judgment ("Covered Products") are hand soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Method that contain coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (referred to herein as "cocamide DEA"), including but not limited to Method Daffodil Bouquet, Method Cloudburst Hand Soap, Method Tomato Vine Hand Soap and Method Honeysuckle Hand Soap.
- 1.6 On or about July 1, 2013 and July 31, 2013, Shefa served Method and various public enforcement agencies with documents entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) (the "Notices"), alleging that Method was in violation of Proposition 65. Shefa's Notices alleges that the Covered Products expose consumers to cocamide DEA without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 1.7 Shefa filed a Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Action"), alleging Proposition 65 violations as to the Covered Products and asserting causes of action against Method Products, Inc. under Proposition 65 and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.
- 1.8 Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the Complaint in the Action shall be deemed to be amended to substitute Method Products, PBC in place of Method Products, Inc.

- 1.9 Method denies the claims of alleged violations asserted against it in the Action and denies that it has any liability under Proposition 65 or Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.
- 1.10 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to resolve all Proposition 65 claims concerning the Covered Products set forth in the Notices and the Action.
- 1.11 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.12 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Method as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, and that this Court or, if the case is transferred back to the Los Angeles County Superior Court at the conclusion of the Coordination Action, the Los Angeles Superior Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.13 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other or future legal proceedings.
- 1.14 The term "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Method shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers.
- 2.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Covered Product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the Covered Product.
- 2.3 Sell Through Period. Method's Covered Products that were manufactured and distributed for retail sale prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, sold to consumers. As a result, the obligations of Method as set forth

in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited to Section 2.1, do not apply to such Covered Products.

#### 3. ENFORCMENT

Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Alameda County Superior Court, or, if the case is transferred back to the Los Angeles County Superior Court at the conclusion of the Coordination Action, the Los Angeles Superior Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, Shefa shall provide the Defendant with Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support Shefa's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for Shefa's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve the matter informally, including providing Method a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing Party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.

### 4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

# 4.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Method shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$10,000.00 within ten (10) days of Court entry of this Consent Judgment, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Plaintiff, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 4.3.

# 4.2 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Method expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been

agreed. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Method shall pay the amount of \$15,000.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

# 4.3 Payment Procedures

All payments required by Sections 4.1 and 4.2 shall be within ten (10) days Court entry of this Consent Judgment, in three checks made payable as follows:

- (a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500.00;
- (b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$2,500.00;
- (c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$15,000.00.

#### 4.4 Issuance of 1099 Forms

After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Plaintiff's counsel, DEFENDANT shall issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:

- (a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$7,500.00;
- (b) a second 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$2,500.00, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;
- (c) a third 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" (EIN: 46-4580172) in the amount of \$15,000.00;

# 4.5 Issuance of Payments.

**4.5.1** All payments owed to Plaintiff, pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

26

27

28

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

4.5.2 All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

Mike Gyrics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum at the address set forth above in 4.5.1, as proof of payment to OEHHA.

# 5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Full and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations: This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of the Action as set forth in this Section 5. Shefa, on behalf of itself, its attorneys, agents, representatives, successors and assigns, and in the public interest, waives all rights to participate in any action and releases and discharges (a) Method Products, PBC, Method Products, Inc., and their parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their successors and assigns (collectively, the "Defendant Releasees"), and (b) finished product or ingredient manufacturers, distributors, and suppliers, and all entities to whom any Defendant Releasee directly or indirectly distributed or sold any Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to Target Corp. and TJX Companies, Inc.), franchisees, cooperative members, and Defendant Releasees' licensors and licensees (collectively, "Additional Releasees"), with respect to all claims, including, without limitation, causes of action (in law or in equity), suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) or losses (collectively "Claims") regarding any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposures to

cocamide DEA in any Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold by Method prior to the Effective Date.

- 5.2 Individual Release: Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in its representative capacity, hereby provides a release that shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all Claims under Proposition 65, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq., or any other statutory or common law, that are or may be asserted against Defendant Releasees and Additional Releasees, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposures to, and/or failure to warn of alleged exposures to, cocamide DEA or diethanolamine in the Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold by Method prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.3 General Release: It is possible that other Claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices or the Action will develop or be discovered. Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assigns, and not in its representative capacity, acknowledges that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such Claims, including all rights of action therefor. Shefa has full knowledge of the contents of California Civil Code § 1542. Shefa acknowledges that the Claims released in Section 5.2 include unknown Claims, and Shefa nevertheless waives California Civil Code § 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code § 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in its representative capacity, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code § 1542.

- 5.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Method shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Defendant Releasee or Additional Releasee with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to cocamide DEA in the Covered Products.
- 5.5 Method's Release: On behalf of itself and Defendant Releasees, Method waives all rights to institute any form of action against Shefa or Shefa's attorneys, consultants and representatives for all actions taken or statements made in the course of this Action prior to the date of the execution of this Consent Judgment.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

6.1 This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

#### 7. SOLE AGREEMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

# 8. MODIFICATION

8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

11

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26 27

28

### 9. GOVERNING LAW AND APPLICATION

- 9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply only to Covered Products that are sold or offered for sale in the State of California. In the event that California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") establishes a "safe harbor" limit for cocamide DEA, the Parties agree that it shall be grounds for modification of this Consent Judgment with regard to any products thereafter manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Method for sale in the State of California which fall within such "safe harbor" limit so established.
- 9.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa and Method and their respective, divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, successors and assigns.
- 9.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.
- 9.4 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

# 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required pursuant to this Consent Judgment and correspondence shall be sent to the following:

For Shefa:

Daniel Greenbaum, Esq.,

Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum

14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

For Method:

Sarah Esmaili, Esq. Arnold & Porter LLP

Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94111

#### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs. For purposes of this Section 11.1, the prevailing Party refers to the Party that was successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute under Section 3.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

# 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile and/or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

#### 13. COURT APPROVAL

- 13.1 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until the Effective Date. Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Method shall make no objections to entry of this Consent Judgment.
  - 13.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect.
- 13.3 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

# 14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

14.1 Shefa agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

# 15. AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.
- 15.2 The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

Dated: 5/19/14

<b>METHOD</b>	PRODUCTS, PBC, sued as
and former	ly known as Method Products,
Inc.	

By:

PANLY ee. CF

Approved as to form:

Sarah Esmaili, Esq.

Attorney for Method Products, PBC, sued as and formerly known as Method Products, Inc.

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Method Products, PBC, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated: 6/13/2014

Judge of the Superior Court

SECAGE O. HERNANDEZ, JR.