		FILED
1	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484)	Superior Court of California Counts of Los Angeles
2	LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	MAR 0.5 2014 (MAX 1.6 2014
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069	ROOM 102 Sherri B. Oakro, Executive Officer/Clerk
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	By Denise Conzalez Deputy
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Darren Kenny	
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9		ľ
10	DARREN KENNY, an individual,	CASE NO. BC523094
11	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v.)	Judge: Hon. Mitchell L. Beckloff
13	ANAWALT LUMBER CO., INC., a corporation, LINCOLN PRODUCTS, a	Dept.: "51" Compl. Filed: October 1, 2013
14	corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	
15	inclusive, Defendants.	Unlimited Jurisdiction
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19	1//	
20	///	
21	///	
22	///	
23	/// -	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	×
27	///	
28	111	

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Darren Kenny ("Plaintiff") and Defendants, Anawalt Lumber Co., Inc. and Lincoln Products (collectively, "Defendants"). Plaintiff and Defendants shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.

Defendants each employ ten (10) or more employees, and each is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants manufactured, distributed, supplied, and/or sold brass plumbing products known as Lincoln Products Brass Flare Fittings which include pipes, nipples, plugs, caps, nuts, unions, reducing unions, swivels, tees, adapters, and elbows (hereinafter, the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

On July 27, 2013, a sixty-day notice of violation ("60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Defendants and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

On October 1, 2013, in the interest of the general public, Plaintiff filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

///

1.3 No Admissions

Defendants deny all allegations in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice and Complaint, and maintain that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendants have not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendants but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations of the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 664.6.

1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation

As of the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell or offer for sale in California any of the Products containing more than 100 parts per million (0.01%) of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent without providing "clear and reasonable warnings" as described in paragraph 2.2 below.

2.2 Clear And Reasonable Warnings

If any of the Products does not meet the reformulation standard described in paragraph 2.1 above, each unit not meeting said reformulation shall be accompanied by the following specific warning with the capitalized, emboldened and italicized wording:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm. Do not use in connection with drinking water. Wash hands after handling."

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, near the product name, price, or UPC code, in a manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all causes of action in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendants shall collectively pay a total civil penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,750) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% (\$1,250) paid to Plaintiff.

Defendants shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$3,750; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Darren Kenny" in the amount of \$1,250. Defendants shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendants shall collectively reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Defendants shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000.00). Defendants shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendants

Plaintiff, acting in his individual capacity, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendants, their parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, from the claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint regarding violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

4.2 Defendants' Release Of Plaintiff

Defendants, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors, and/or assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendants in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* which provides as follows:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

Each of the parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits pertaining to the claims in this Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discovery facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the

10 11

12 13

14

16

15

1718

19 20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after its full execution by all Parties. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

TO DEFENDANTS:

Brenda K. Radmacher, Esq. Sonia J. Taylor, Esq. WOOD, SMITH, HENNING & BERMAN LLP 505 North Brand Blvd., Ste 1100 Glendale, CA 91203

TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

AGREED TO:

Date:

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

11. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

By: Authorized Agent of Defendant, Lincoln Products AGREED TO: Date: By: Authorized Agent of Defendant, Anawalt Lumber Co., Inc. ///

9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

AGREED TO:

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

11. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

Date:	The state of the s
By:	Authorized Agent of Defendant, Lincoln Products
AGRI	EED TO:
Date:	2-28-2014
Ву:	New L
	Authorized Agent of Defendant, Anawalt Lumber Co., Inc.
///	
///	×

•	Ш
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	I
17	
18	I
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

AGR	EED TO:
Date:	2/2/14
By:	- Han Ceny
	Plaintiff Darren Kenny

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5-16-14

Utithul Pm_

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

MITCHELL L. BECKLOFF, Judge