State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting							eporting	
FORM (03-01)	JUS 1502 Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612							
Please	print or type required informa	ation 🗖 Ori	iginal Filing	Supplement	al Filing	Corrected Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S)							
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JU	IDGMENT						
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER				COURT NAME			
CASE INFO								
⊇≤	SHORT CASE NAME							
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF							
INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYN	MENT: ATTORN	IEYS FEES	PAYMEN	T: OTHER	Dnly	
RT	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT		DGMENT PURS ETTLEMENT?	SUANT		DATE SETTLEMENT WAS FED TO ATTORNEY GENERA		
REPORT	/ /	103		🗖 No	REPORT			
RE	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED							
	NAME OF CONTACT							
	ORGANIZATION						TELEPHONE NUMBE	R
FILER INFO							( )	
FIL	ADDRESS			FAX NUMBER ()				
	CITY		STATE Z	ZIP	E-MAIL AI	DDRESS		

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 8 9	Telephone: (310) 200-2631 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: danielgreenbaumesq@gmail.com Attorneys for Plaintiff Shefa LMV LLC LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE P. ADAMSK LAWRENCE P. ADAMSKY, ESQ. 9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90012 Telephone: (310) 974-6739 Facsimile: (866) 507-2271 Email: lawyer@downtownlawyer.net	OCT - ? 2014 YOLANDA ESTRADA				
10	Attorneys for Defendant Blue Cross Laboratories	, Inc.				
11						
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
13	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
14	Coordination Proceeding					
15	Special Title (Rule 3.350)	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION ) PROCEEDING NO: 4765				
16 17	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC521400				
18		) -[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				
19		AS TO DEFENDANT BLUE CROSS LABORATORIES, INC.				
20 21		Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.				
21		Action filed: October 11, 2013				
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
l						

## 1 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, 2 LLC ("Shefa LMV") and Blue Cross Laboratories, Inc. ("Blue Cross") with Shefa LMV and BLUE 3 CROSS collectively referred to as the "Parties," and individually as "Party." Shefa LMV is an entity 4 organized in the State of California, which has asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of 5 exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous 6 substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa LMV alleges that BLUE CROSS 7 employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe 8 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et 9 seq. ("Proposition 65"). 10

11 1.2 General Allegations. Shefa LMV alleges that BLUE CROSS has manufactured,
12 imported, distributed and/or sold soap products that contain Cocamide Diethanolamine ("Cocamide
13 DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is on the Proposition 65 list as
14 a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

15 1.3 Product Description. As used in this Consent Judgment, "Products" shall mean soap,
16 shampoo and bubble bath products containing Cocamide DEA, including, but not limited to Iron Man
17 3 Berry Blast Bubble Bath that are manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by BLUE CROSS
18 for sale in the State of California.

19 1.4 Notice of Violation. On or about July 31, 2013, Shefa LMV served BLUE CROSS and
20 various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the
21 "Notice") that provided recipients with notice of Shefa LMV's allegation that BLUE CROSS was in
22 violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed
23 users in California to Cocamide DEA. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations
24 set forth in the Notice.

1.5 Complaint. On or about September 16, 2013, Shefa LMV filed a complaint in the
Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles, *Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, Inc.*, Case No.
BC521400, alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to Cocamide DEA
contained in certain products sold by Valeant ("Complaint"). On or about, October 17, 2013, Shefa

LMV filed a First Amended Complaint alleging violations of Proposition 65 and Business and 1 Professions code section 17200. On or about December 4, 2013, the Hon. George C. Hernandez 2 issued an order coordinating the Shefa LMV LLC v. Ross, et al. action with other Cocamide DEA 3 cases in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda, Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases, 4 Case No. JCCP No. 4765. This action was coordinated with similar cases alleging Proposition 65 5 Cocamide DEA violations in Alameda County Superior Court on or about December 4, 2013, Case 6 No. JCCP 4765. On or about January 16, 2014, Shefa LMV filed an Amendment to the Shefa v. Ross 7 Stores, et al. action naming Blue Cross as a Defendant. 8

9 1.6 No Admission. BLUE CROSS denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Shefa LMV's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has at all times been in 10 compliance with all laws and all products that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed 11 in California, including the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an 12 admission by BLUE CROSS of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall 13 compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by BLUE CROSS 14 of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this Section shall not 15 diminish or otherwise affect BLUE CROSS's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this 16 17 Consent Judgment.

18 1.7 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties
19 stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over BLUE CROSS as to the allegations contained in the
20 Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to
21 enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.8 Execution Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date"
shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.

24 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall
25 mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

26 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products

- 27
- 28

As of the Effective Date, BLUE CROSS shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for
 sale any Covered Product that contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to
 California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains Cocamide DEA"
 if Cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product
 formulation.

2.2 Suppliers

No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, BLUE CROSS shall issue specifications to its
suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any Cocamide DEA, and
shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing
Cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

11

6

### 2.3 Sell Through Period

Notwithstanding the restrictions of Section 2.1, any of BLUE CROSS's downstream
customers that have in inventory any of the Covered Products that contain Cocamide DEA that
exceed the Cocamide DEA Limits shall have six (6) months from the Effective Date without penalty
or cost to sell such Covered Products or otherwise display a warning pursuant to Proposition 65.

16

#### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

BLUE CROSS agrees to a total settlement payment of \$12,000.00 to be paid as set forth
below.

19

## 3.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

BLUE CROSS shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$2,000.00 within ten (10) days of the
Effective Date, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health
& Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the
penalty remitted to Shefa LMV, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 3.3.

25

## 3.2 Reimbursement of Shefa LMV's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Shefa LMV and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute
without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this
fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. BLUE CROSS

1	expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agree					
2						
72	its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified					
4	California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees th					
5	may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, BLUE CROSS shall pay the amount of					
6	\$10,000.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, includin					
7	the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court					
8	approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.					
9	3.3 Payment Procedures					
10						
11						
12	(a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500.00;					
13	(b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV, LLC" in					
14						
15	(c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$10,000.00;					
16	3.4 Issuance of 1099 Forms					
17	After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Shefa LMV's counsel, BLUE CROSS					
18	shall issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:					
19	(a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN:					
20	68-0284486) in the amount of \$1,500.00;					
21	(b) a second 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$500.00, whose address					
22	and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;					
23	(c) a third 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" (EIN: 46-4580172) in the					
24	amount of \$10,000.00;					
25	3.5 Issuance of Payments.					
26	All payments owed shall be delivered to the following payment address:					
27						
28	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum					

14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

## 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

## 4.1 Full and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations:

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of the Action as set forth in this 5 Section 4. Shefa, on behalf of itself, its attorneys, agents, representatives, successors and assigns, and 6 in the public interest, waives all rights to participate in any action and releases and discharges (a) 7 BLUE CROSS, its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister 8 companies, and affiliates, and their successors and assigns (collectively, the "Blue Cross Releasees"), 9 and (b) finished product or ingredient manufacturers, distributors, and suppliers, and all entities to 10 whom any Blue Cross Releasee directly or indirectly distributed or sold any Covered Products, 11 including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to 12 Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.), franchisees, cooperative members, and Blue Cross Releasees' licensors and 13 licensees (collectively, "Additional Releasees"), with respect to all claims, including, without 14 limitation, causes of action (in law or in equity), suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, 15 costs, fines, penalties, expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and 16 attorneys' fees) or losses (collectively "Claims") regarding any violation of Proposition 65 based on 17 failure to warn about alleged exposures to cocamide DEA in any Covered Products shipped, 18 distributed or sold by BLUE CROSS prior to the Effective Date. 19

The consent judgment also specifically includes and releases this settling defendant from claims that might or may arise against it under Proposition 65 with respect to any and all specific products identified in the 60 day notice served by the plaintiff acting in the public interest on this defendant prior to initiation of legal proceedings.

24

1

2

3

4

### 4.2 Individual Release:

Shefa, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and
successors and/or assignees, and *not* in its representative capacity, hereby provides a release that shall
be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all Claims under Proposition 65,Cal.
Bus, & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*, or any other statutory or common law, that are or may be
asserted against Blue Cross Releasees and Additional Releasees, whether known or unknown,

suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposures to, and/or failure to warn of alleged
 exposures to, cocamide DEA or diethanolamine in the Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold
 by BLUE CROSS prior to the Effective Date.

4

### 4.3 Compliance:

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by BLUE CROSS shall be deemed to
constitute compliance by any Blue Cross Releasee or Additional Releasee with Proposition 65
regarding alleged exposures to cocamide DEA in the Covered Products.

8

## 4.4 BLUE CROSS's Release:

9 On behalf of itself and Blue Cross Releasees, BLUE CROSS waives all rights to institute any form of action against Shefa or Shefa's attorneys, consultants and representatives for all actions taken 10 or statements made in the course of this Action prior to the date of the execution of this Consent 11 Judgment, except for its rights arising out of claims that this settling defendant has specifically relied 12 upon representations made by plaintiff's representatives that this settlement and release once 13 approved by the court will have a res judicata, direct and collateral estoppel effect on any and all 14 other legal actions and against any and all other plaintiffs who are suing now or may in the future sue 15 this defendant in the public interest in connection with or arising from claims that this defendant has 16 manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold soap products that contain Cocamide Diethanolamine 17 ("Cocamide DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings, prior to the date of court approval 18 19 of this Agreement.

20

## 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall
be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it
has been fully executed by all parties.

24 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this
Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions
remaining shall not be adversely affected.

28

1

### 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
California and shall apply only to Covered Products that are sold or offered for sale in the State of
California.

7.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or otherwise rendered
inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then BLUE CROSS shall
have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that,
any Covered Products that are so affected.

9 7.3 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa and BLUE CROSS
10 and their respective, divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, successors and assigns.

7.4 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this
 12 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.

13 7.5 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and
14 has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.

7.6 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction
providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the
interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil
Code § 1654.

### 19 8. <u>NOTICES</u>

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to
this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class,
(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party
from the other party at the following addresses:

To BLUE CROSS: LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE P. ADAMSKY LAWRENCE P. ADAMSKY, ESQ.
9701 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000 Beverly Hills, CA 90012
To Shefa LMV: Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. 1 2

3

4

5

Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

6

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

9.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
8 Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

9 9.2 For purposes of this Section 11.1, the prevailing Party refers to the Party that was
10 successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other Party was amenable to
11 providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute under Section 5.1.

9.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions
 pursuant to law.

14

# 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature,
each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute on
and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

18 19

## 11. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

11.1 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until the Effective Date.

20 11.2 Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
 21 Harbor shall make no objections to entry of this Consent Judgment.

22 11.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect.

23 11.4 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent
24 Judgment.

25

## 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

26 12.1 Shefa LMV and BLUE CROSS agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's,
27 best efforts to support the entry of the agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the
28 Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.

12.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7,
 a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Shefa LMV
 3 shall draft and file, and BLUE CROSS shall not oppose.

4 12.3 If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Shefa LMV and BLUE
5 CROSS shall work together to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear
6 at any hearing before the Court.

7 12.4 This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated
8 as such in the event of a breach.

9 12.5 If the Court does not grant the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and if the
10 parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days after the Court's denial of
11 the motion to approve, then, upon remittitur, any and all payments made pursuant to Section 3 of this
12 Consent Judgment will be returned to BLUE CROSS.

13

### 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and
upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of
any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### 17 14. ENFORCEMENT

18 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to 19 bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall 20 provide the Defendant with Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly 21 support Shefa's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for 22 Shefa's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve the matter informally, including 23 providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged 24 25 violation.

26

27 28 1 Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to 2 its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This 3 Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties. 4

5

### 15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the 7 Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. 8

9 15.2 The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. 10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

27

28

15.3 Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

#### 16. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF 12 13 CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment came before this Court upon the request of the Parties. 16.1

The Parties request the Court to review this Consent Judgment and to make the 15 16.2 following findings pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4): 16

- 1. The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7;
- 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and

3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Consent Judgment is reasonable.

24 25 26 AGREED TO:

6

	Dated: 5/9/14 S	
1	Daleu. 5/9/14 8	HEFA LMV, LLC
2	В	y: alas
3		[name]
4	А	pproved as to form:
5		Main Color
6	D	Paniel Greenbaum, Esq.
7	A	aniel Greenbaum, Esq. ttorney for Shefa LMV, LLC
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		3
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		τ.
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
]] -		

