#### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

#### REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information		☐ Supplementa	al Filing	☐ Corrected Filing		
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Big Lots Stores, In		Зирргептепте	at Filling	Corrected Filling		
CASE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP0004765 SHORT CASE NAME	mid- pp. (	4	COURTNA <b>Alame</b>	<sup>ME</sup> da Superior C	Court	
	Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases  INJUNCTIVE RELIEF						
REPORT INFO	Reformultion  PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$3,000.00  DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT  03 /25 /2015  COPY OF	PAYMENT: ATTORN \$11,000.0 ISJUDGMENT PURS TO SETTLEMENT? XYes  F JUDGMENT	O SUANT No	\$0.0 IFYES, REPOR	DATE SETTLEMENT WAS TED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL 08 /26 / 2014	For Internal Use Only	
FILER	NAME OF CONTACT  Daniel N. Greenbaum  ORGANIZATION  Law Office of Danie  ADDRESS  7120 Hayvenhurst Av  CITY  Van Nuys	l Greenbau	<b>320</b>	E-MAIL A	DDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199  FAX NUMBER (424) 243-7689  paumlawfirm.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM			
_	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)			
2	The Hathaway Building			
3	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320			
_	Van Nuys CA 91406			
4	Telephone: (818) 809-2199			
_	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689			
5	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com	ENDODGE		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC	ENDORSEL FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY		
7	SEDGWICK LLP			
8	Carol Brophy (State Bar No. 155767) carol.brophy@sedgwicklaw.com	MAR 2 5 2015		
9	333 Bush Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94101-2834	CLERKOFTHE SUPERIOR COUP By S. McMulls Burn		
10	Telephone: 415.781.7900 Facsimile: 415.781.2635	Je 472-000 1		
11	Attorney for Defendant BIG LOTS STORES			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
14				
15	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 3.350)	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION		
16	Special Fide (Rule 3.330)	PROCEEDING NO: 4765		
17				
18		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
19	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA	AS TO BIG LOTS STORES		
20	CASES	Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.		
21-	Related to: Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior	Action filed: October 11, 2013		
22	Court No. BC521400; Shefa LMV, LLC v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County			
23	Superior Court No. BC566941			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

4 5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

## 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Shefa LMV, LLC and Big Lots Stores, Inc.

This Stipulated Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa LMV") and Big Lots Stores, Inc. ("Big Lots"), with Shefa LMV and Big Lots sometimes collectively referred to herein as the "parties," and individually as a "party." Shefa LMV is an entity organized in the State of California, which has asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Big Lots is a retailer and sells products to consumers in California: Shefa LMV alleges that Big Lots employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code section 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.2. General Allegations

On July 31, 2013, Shefa LMV issued Big Lots a 60 day notice of intent alleging Big Lots has imported, distributed and/or sold shampoo, hand soap, pet shampoo, shower gel and /or liquid soap products that contain cocamide diethanolamine ("Cocamide DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. On November 22, 2013, Shefa LMV issued Big Lots a second 60-day notice of intent similarly alleging Big Lots violated Proposition 65 as to personal case cleansing products that contain has imported, distributed and/or sold personal care cleansing products that contain Cocamide DEA and Diethanolamine without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. On July 15, 2014, Shefa LMV issued a third Notice alleging Big Lots violated Proposition 65 as to personal case cleansing products that contain has imported, distributed and/or sold personal care cleansing products that contain Diethanolamine without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA and Diethanolamine are listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Big Lots contends that it is a retailer, and does not manufacturer, distribute or import the products at issue in this action, and did not "knowingly and intentionally" expose consumers to Cocamide DEA or Diethanolamine. Further, Big Lots contends that the Products identified in the

notices and complaint do not expose individuals to Cocamide DEA and/or Diethanolamine within the meaning of Proposition 65. Big Lots further contends that upon receipt of Shefa's first notice of intent to sue, Big Lots took steps to remove noticed products from sale in California, and this effort was completed before suit was filed. At the time Shefa issued the second and third Notices, the Products had been removed from sale in California. Big Lots also contends that the personal care products currently sold in California have been certified by their manufacturers and/or reformulated by same to comply with Proposition 65.

#### 1.3. Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment identified in are shampoo, hand soap, pet shampoo, shower gel and /or liquid soap products imported, distributed and/or sold by Big Lots that contain or are alleged to contain Cocamide DEA and/or Diethanolamine which are distributed, marketed, sold, or offered for sale in California. All such products are referred to herein collectively as the "Covered Products," or individually as "Product."

#### 1.4. Notices of Violation

On or about July 31, 2013, Shefa LMV served Big Lots and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided recipients with notice alleging that Big Lots was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to Cocamide DEA. On November 22, 2013, Shefa served Big Lots with another Notice alleging that Products contained Cocamide DEA and DEA. On July 15, 2014, Shefa served Big Lots with another Notice alleging that Products contained DEA. No public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

#### 1.5. Complaint

On or about October 13, 2013, Shefa LMV filed a first amended complaint in the Los Angeles County Superior Court alleging, inter alia, violations of Proposition 65 and Business and Professions Code §17200, based on the alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA and Diethanolamine contained in certain products sold by various defendants (the "Complaint"). The action is titled, Shefa LMV, LLC v. Ross Stores, Inc., et al. Case No. BC 521400). The Ross Stores action was

subsequently transferred to the Alameda County Superior Court and added to the coordination proceeding styled, Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases, JCCP 4765. On March 11, 2013, Shefa named Big Lots as a defendant in the *Ross Stores* actions pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474. On or about June 27, 2014, Shefa LMV, dismissed the second cause of action (alleging violation of Business and Professions Code §17200) as to all defendants.

#### 1.6. No Admission

Big Lots denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Shefa LMV's Notices and Complaint and maintains that the Products were sold in California in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Big Lots of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Big Lots of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Big Lots. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Big Lots' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.7. Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Big Lots as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.8. Execution Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.

#### 1.9. Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1. Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Big Lots shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Cocamide

DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Covered Product "contains Cocamide DEA" if Cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the Covered Product. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a Covered Product contains "Diethanolamine" if Diethanolamine is an intentionally added ingredient in the Covered Product. Under federal law, manufacturers of Covered Products must list Cocamide DEA and DEA as an ingredient on the label if it is intentionally added. As a retailer, Big Lots may rely on the manufacturers labeling to determine compliance.

- **2.2. Suppliers.** No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Big Lots shall send a letter to their vendor of each product identified in the 60 day notices requiring that Covered Products not contain any Cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each vendor to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.
- 2.3. Sell Through Period. Big Lots's Covered Products that were manufactured and distributed for retail sale prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 5 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or are in the future, sold to consumers. As a result, the obligations of Big Lots as set forth in this Consent Judgment, including but not limited Section 2.1, do not apply to these products.

#### 3. ENFORCEMENT

Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Alameda County Superior Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, Shefa shall provide Big Lots with a notice of violation and a copy of test results which purportedly support Shefa's notice of violation. The parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for Shefa's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Big Lots a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.

## 

### 

### 

### 

# 

#### 4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

Big Lots agrees to a total settlement payment of Fourteen Thousand Dollars (\$14,000) to be paid as set forth below.

#### 4.1. Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Big Lots shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$3,000.00 within ten (10) days of the Effective Date, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code sections 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the funds remitted to Shefa LMV, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 4.3.

#### 4.2. Reimbursement of Shefa LMV's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Shefa LMV and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Big Lots expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agreed. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Shefa LMV and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Big Lots shall pay the amount of \$11,000.00 for fees and costs incurred by Shefa LMV for investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) in negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

#### 4.3. Payment Procedures

All payments required by Sections 4.1 and 4.2 shall be within twenty (20) days of the Effective Date, in three checks made payable as follows:

- (a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250.00;
- (b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$750.00;

1	(c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$11,000.00.		
2	4.4. Issuance of 1099 Forms		
3	After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Shefa LMV's counsel, Big Lots shall		
4	as soon as reasonable practicable issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:		
5	(a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" (EIN:		
6	68-0284486) in the amount of \$2,250.00;		
7	(b) a second 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$750.00, whose		
8	address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;		
9	(c) a third 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" (EIN: 46-4580172) in the		
10	amount of \$11,000;		
11	4.5. Issuance of Payments.		
12	<b>4.5.1.</b> All payments owed to Shefa LMV, pursuant to Section 4.1, shall be delivered		
13	to the following payment address:		
14	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.		
15	Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 14752 Otsego Street Sharmon Oeko, CA, 01403		
16	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403		
17	4.5.2. All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Section 4.1,		
18	shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following		
19	addresses:		
20	Mike Gyrics		
21	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
22	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
23	Big Lots shall also send a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA to the Law Office of Daniel N.		
24	Greenbaum at the address set forth above in 4.5.1.		
25	5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED		
26	5.1. Shefa LMV's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims		
27	Shefa LMV, acting on its behalf and in the public interest, releases and discharges (a) Big		
28	Lots, its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies,		
- 11			

and affiliates, and their successors and assigns (collectively, the "Defendant Releasees") for any violations or claims arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to Cocamide DEA and Diethanolamine from the Covered Products shipped, distributed or sold by Big Lots prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Cocamide DEA and Diethanolamine from the Covered Products.

#### 5.2. Shefa LMV's Individual Release of Claims

Shefa LMV, acting on its own behalf and on behalf of its agents, successors and assigns, and not in its representative capacity, hereby releases the Defendant Releasees and the Additional Releasees from all claims arising out of or resulting from, or relating directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products.

#### 5.3. Big Lots's Release of Shefa LMV

Big Lots on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa LMV, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Shefa LMV and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Covered Products.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the parties. In the event the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment within one year, the funds paid pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment shall be returned to Big Lots within ten (10) days after the expiration of one year.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and the obligations of Big Lots hereunder as to the Covered Products apply only within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, including, without limitation, the removal of Cocamide DEA from OEHHA's list of Proposition 65 chemicals, then Big Lots shall notify Shefa LMV and its counsel and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

#### 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested, or (iii) sent by overnight courier to one party from the other party at the following addresses:

To Big Lots:

To Shefa LMV:

Carol Brophy, Esq. Sedgwick LLP 333 Bush Street, 30<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 14752 Otsego Street Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

### 10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; <u>FACSIMILE/PDF SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or PDF signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or PDF signature shall be as valid as the original.

# 

# 

## 

·14

## 

#### 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)

Shefa LMV and its attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

#### 12. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Shefa LMV and Big Lots for themselves and their attorneys agree to employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Shefa LMV shall draft and file, and Big Lots shall not oppose. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Shefa LMV and Big Lots shall work together to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach. If the Court does not grant the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and if the parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days after the Court's denial of the motion to approve, then, upon remittitur, any and all payments made pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment will be returned to Big Lots.

#### 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### 14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements with respect to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

#### 15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

l

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

# 16. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 16.1. This Consent Judgment came before this Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to review this Consent Judgment and to make the following findings pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4):
  - The injunctive relief required by the Consent Judgment complies with Cal. Health
     & Safety Code § 25249.7;
  - 2. The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the Consent Judgment is reasonable under California law; and
  - 3. The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to Consent Judgment is reasonable.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

19		1 1
20	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO
21	Date: 8/16/14	Date: 15/26/14///
22	)	By:
23	By:	Ron Parisotto,  SVP, General Counsel and Corp. Secretary
24	Plaintiff, Shefa LMV, LLC Print: Alisa Fried	Big Lots Store, Inc.

Its: Managing Member

#### ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1]

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Big Lots Stores, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated:	MAR 2 5 2015	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
		Judge of the Superior Court