| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
|--|--|
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | COUNTY OF ALAMEDA |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Coordination Proceeding Special Title:) Judicial Council Coordination) Proceeding |
| 14 | PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES) Case No. 4765 |
| 15 | This Document Relates To: |
| 16 | This Document Relates To: Output Discrepance of the control of t |
| 17 | No. RG 13-707307 |
| 18 |) |
| 19 | |
| 20 | 1. INTRODUCTION |
| 21 | 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for |
| 22 | Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Regis Corporation ("Settling Defendant"). CEH |
| 23 | and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." |
| 24 | 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and |
| 25 | that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil |
| 26 | diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the |
| 27 | State of California or has done so in the past. |
| 28 | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | -1- CONSENT HUDGMENT - REGIS CORPORATION - CASE NO. ICCP 4765 |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - REGIS CORPORATION - CASE NO. JCCP 4765

DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

- On August 23, 2013, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On December 18, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Skinfood USA*, *Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 13-707307, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action. On April 1, 2014, the *Skinfood* action was coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the *Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases*, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo, liquid soaps, hairsprays, hair treatments, and skin creams.

3.

2.2

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.

"Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by

3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products intended for sale to Settling Defendant for use and/or resale in California not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall request each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.

- 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the following products in California: (i) Moroccanoil Hydrating Shampoo, SKU No. 7-290011-521806; and (ii) KeraStraight Straight Maintain Shampoo, SKU No. 5-060198-650031, Item No. 161768 (collectively, the "Section 3.3 Products"). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction or return to Settling Defendant's supplier, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Products.
- 3.3.2 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide 3.3.3 CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

4.2 CEH shall not seek any further relief to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment if Settling Defendant provides satisfactory documentation to CEH during the meet and confer period that: (a) the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation was purchased by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date; (b) prior to receiving the Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant sent written instructions to each of its retail locations in California directing that any Covered Products that contain cocamide DEA should be removed from store shelves; and (c) Settling Defendant has sent instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation in California instructing them either to: (1) return the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation to Settling Defendant for destruction or return to Settling Defendant's supplier, or (2) directly destroy the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation.

5. PAYMENTS

Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$20,000 as a settlement payment. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:

5.1.1 \$2,200 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.1.2 \$3,000 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.1.3 \$14,800 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$12,800 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$2,000 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries,

-7-

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

- Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party. CEH has not previously transferred or assigned any rights or claims being settled hereunder.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any

| | ı |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any |
| 2 | Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this |
| 3 | Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to |
| 4 | be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent |
| 5 | Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: |
| 8 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Cri |
| 11 | Charlie Pizarro |
| 12 | Associate Director |
| 13 | REGIS CORPORATION |
| 14 | , |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Signature |
| , 17 | |
| 18 | Printed Name |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Title - |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED: |
| 23 | • |
| 24 | Dated: , 2015 |
| 25 | Judge of the Superior Court |
| 26 | |
| 27 | • |
| 28 | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | -9- |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - REGIS CORPORATION - CASE NO. JCCP 4765

| 1 | uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any |
|--|--|
| 2 | Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this |
| 3 | Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to |
| 4 | be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent |
| 5 | Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: |
| 8 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Charlie Pizarro Associate Director |
| 12 | Associate Director |
| 13 | REGIS CORPORATION |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Janpan |
| 16 | Signature |
| 17 | SCOTT SULLIVAN |
| 18 | Printed Name |
| 19 | / |
| 20 | Vice President, Law |
| 21 | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED: |
| 23 | å Pouton . The contract of the |
| 24 | Dated: APR 1 6 2015 ₂₀₁₅ GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR. |
| 25 | Judge of the Superior Court |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | <u>-9-</u> |

CONSENT JUDGMENT - REGIS CORPORATION - CASE NO. JCCP 4765