

1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389
Victoria Hartanto, State Bar No. 259833
2 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP
503 Divisadero Street
3 San Francisco, CA 94117
Telephone: (415) 913-7800
4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112
mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com
5 vhartanto@lexlawgroup.com

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

JUN - 3 2014

6 Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970
Center for Environmental Health
7 2201 Broadway, Suite 302
Oakland, California 94612
8 Telephone: (510) 655-3900
Facsimile: (510) 655-9100
9 rick@ceh.org

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
By YOLANDA ESTRADA

10 Counsel for Plaintiff
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

11
12
13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
15

16
17 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)
a non-profit corporation,)

Case No. RG 13-707833

18 Plaintiff,)

~~PROPOSED~~ CONSENT
JUDGMENT AS TO MUDLARK
PAPERS, INC.

19 vs.)

20 MUDLARK PAPERS, INC., *et al.*,)

21 Defendants.)
22)
23)

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

25 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment (“Parties”) are the Center for
26 Environmental Health (“CEH”) and defendant Mudlark Papers, Inc. (“Settling Defendant”). CEH
27 and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the “Parties.”
28

1 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and
2 that manufactures, distributes and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil
3 diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, “cocamide DEA”) in the
4 State of California or has done so in the past.

5 1.3 On September 12, 2013, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
6 Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
7 & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, *et seq.*) (the “Notice”) to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
8 General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
9 for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
10 alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo
11 and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

12 1.4 On December 23, 2013, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Mudlark Papers,*
13 *Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 13-707833, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County
14 naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action.

15 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
16 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
17 applicable to Settling Defendant (the “Complaint”) and personal jurisdiction over Settling
18 Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) that venue is proper in the County of
19 Alameda; and (iii) that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

20 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by
21 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance
22 with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
23 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
24 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any
25 other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and
26 is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in
27 this action.

28

1 **2. DEFINITIONS**

2 2.1 “Covered Products” means shampoo and liquid soaps, such as hand soap,
3 body wash and bubble bath.

4 2.2 “Effective Date” means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by
5 the Court.

6 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

7 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling
8 Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Covered Product that
9 contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For
10 purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product “contains cocamide DEA” if cocamide DEA is an
11 intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.

12 3.2 **Specification to Suppliers.** No more than 30 days after the Effective Date,
13 Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that
14 Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use
15 reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide
16 basis.

17 3.3 **Grace Period for Products Sold Prior to the Effective Date.**
18 Liability for Covered Products that were manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Settling
19 Defendant prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section
20 7 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Covered Products were, or in the future,
21 are sold to consumers.

22 **4. ENFORCEMENT**

23 4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the
24 Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
25 Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3
26 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test
27 results which purportedly support CEH’s Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and
28 confer regarding the basis for CEH’s anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it

1 informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30)
2 days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may
3 file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this
4 Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result
5 of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6 **5. PAYMENTS**

7 **5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective
8 Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total
9 settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to
10 counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling
11 Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:

12 5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b),
13 such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12
14 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard
15 Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental
16 Health.

17 5.1.2 \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health &
18 Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use
19 such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic
20 chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent
21 Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In
22 addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four
23 percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to
24 educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such
25 groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.cch.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to
26 this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

27 5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees
28 and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check

1 for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

2 **6. MODIFICATION**

3 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to
4 time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of
5 this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

6 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
7 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
8 modify the Consent Judgment.

9 **7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

10 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on
11 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries,
12 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys
13 (“Defendant Releasees”), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell
14 Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers,
15 franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees (“Downstream Defendant Releasees”)
16 of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against
17 Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure
18 to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold
19 by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

20 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
21 and the Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling
22 Defendant, its Defendant Releasees and their Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to
23 any alleged failure to warn about cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed,
24 or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

25 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects CEH’s right to commence or prosecute an
26 action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant
27 Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

28 **8. NOTICE**

1 8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
2 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

3 Mark Todzo
4 Lexington Law Group
5 503 Divisadero Street
6 San Francisco, CA 94117
7 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8 8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
9 Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

10 Shelley Hurwitz
11 Holland & Knight
12 400 South Hope Street, 8th Floor
13 Los Angeles, CA 90071
14 Shelley.hurwitz@hkllaw.com

15 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
16 by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

17 **9. COURT APPROVAL**

18 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH
19 shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant
20 shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.

21 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
22 effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
23 purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

24 **10. ATTORNEYS' FEES**

25 10.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or
26 other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its
27 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application upon a
28 finding by the Court that Settling Defendant's opposition of the motion or application lacked
substantial justification. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an
order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable
attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that

1 CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of
2 this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in
3 the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq.*

4 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear
5 its own attorneys' fees and costs.

6 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
7 sanctions pursuant to law.

8 **11. OTHER TERMS**

9 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State
10 of California.

11 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
12 Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or
13 assigns of any of them.

14 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
15 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior
16 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby
17 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between
18 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
19 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any
20 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or
21 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation,
22 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in
23 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent
24 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof
25 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

26 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights
27 that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling
28 Defendant.

1 Consent Judgment.

2 11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
3 and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be
4 deemed to constitute one document.

5 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
6 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
7 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
8 Party.

9 11.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of
10 this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
11 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
12 accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
13 uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
14 Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
15 Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to
16 be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
17 Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

18

19 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

20 **CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

21

22

23 _____
Charlie Pizarro
Associate Director

24


25

26

27

28

1 MUDLARK PAPERS, INC.

2 
3 _____
4 Signature

5 Douglas W Hamilton
6 Printed Name

7 President
8 _____
9 Title

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: JUN - 3, 2013

GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
Judge of the Superior Court