1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com jmann@lexlawgroup.com  Rick Franco, State Bar No. 170970 Center for Environmental Health 2201 Broadway, Suite 302 Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 655-3900 Facsimile: (510) 655-9100 rick@ceh.org	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY  MAY - 9 2014  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By YOLANDA ESTRADOPUTY	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
11 12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
16	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL	Case No. RG-13707315	
17	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,  Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT	
18	v.	JUDGMENT RE: ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC.	
19			
20	ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,  Defendants.		
21	Detendants.		
23			
24	1. Introduction		
25	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental		
26	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Acoustical Solutions, Inc.		
27	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative		
28	Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Acoustical Solutions, Inc., et al.,		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1- CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC. – CASE NO. RG-13707315		

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13707315 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."

- 1.2. On September 27, 2013, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") on Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") in acoustic and soundproofing foam manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California. Defendant asserts that it no longer plans to distribute, sell, or offer for sale such Covered Products in California.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products (as defined herein) manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense either Party may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

# 2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical Flame Retardant" does not include (a) any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4 chemical pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen (http://www.cleanproduction.org/ Green.Greenscreen.php); or (b) ammonium polyphosphate.
- "Covered Products" means acoustic and/or soundproofing foam composed of or 2.2. containing polyurethane that is manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California.
  - 2.3. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.4. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate ("TDBPP").
- 2.5. "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.
- 2.6. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to any polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product.
- 2.7. "Untreated Foam" means polyurethane foam that has not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant.

## 3. Injunctive Relief

Defendant shall comply with the following requirements to eliminate or minimize 3.1. exposures to TDCPP and other Chemical Flame Retardants arising from the use of Covered Products in California:

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

3.1.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date,

Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant and which has a Manufacture Date that is on or later than the Effective Date. The Parties agree that Defendant may satisfy this Section 3.1.1 by not distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California any Covered Products after the Effective Date.

3.1.1.1. To ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of Section 3.1.1 should Defendant seek to distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product after the Effective Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products and/or polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product requiring that such products and/or foam has not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.1.1. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of Covered Products and/or polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product confirming that all such Covered Products and/or foam received by Defendant for distribution in California after the Effective Date have not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product and/or the polyurethane foam used in a Covered Product that such product is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or, if such certification is not relied on or has previously been demonstrated to be invalid, (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product's polyurethane foam is Untreated Foam.

3.1.2. **Interim Compliance.** Any Covered Product with a Manufacture Date that is earlier than the Effective Date, that contains polyurethane foam which has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant, and that is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.1.4. The Parties agree that Defendant may satisfy this

Section 3.1.2 by not distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California any Covered Products after the Effective Date.

and any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant on or after October 31, 2011. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers and/or labels in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a warning sticker or label on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Defendant, and is still in the retailer's or distributor's possession and has not yet been installed or sold to the ultimate consumer. The stickers and/or labels shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers and/or labels, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

3.1.4. **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is purchasing the Covered Product directly, is not physically present, and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or

the packaging of the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

### 4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT

- Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of twenty two thousand and five hundred dollars (\$22,500), which shall be allocated as follows:
- 4.1.1. \$2,475 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- 4.1.2. \$3,375 shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals, including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.
- 4.1.3. \$16,650 shall constitute reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three separate checks, all to be delivered within 10 days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable CEH. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.

27

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

### 5. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally in good faith, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

## 6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- 7.2. CEH, for itself, waives and forever discharges and provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Plaintiff of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising out of the alleged failure to warn about exposure to Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in the Covered Products, manufactured, imported, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. The release provision in this Section 7.2 shall not apply to any motion or application to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, as allowed by Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.
- 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about any Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

#### 8. Provision of Notice

- 8.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
- 8.1.1. **Notices to Defendant.** The persons for Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Michael Binns
Acoustical Solutions, Inc.
2420 Grenoble Road
Richmond, Virginia 23294
mb@acousticalsolutions.com

David Ingersoll Acoustical Solutions, Inc. 2420 Grenoble Road Richmond, Virginia 23294 di@acousticalsolutions.com

-9-

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

### 12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

## 13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

-10-

-11-

2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.	
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
5	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	Dated:, 2014	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10		
1,1		
12		
.13		Printed Name
14		Title
15		
16	Dated: 3/2/, 2014	ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
17		
18		Mulling Burns
19		Michael Bixxxx
20.		Printed Name
2.1		(ues, Den)
22		Title
23	To to Do Onnana Arraya and	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
25	MAY - 9.	GEUNGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
26	Dated:, 2014	Judge of the Superior Court of the State of
27		California, County of Alameda
28 OCUMENT PREPARED		-11-
N RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ACOUS	TICAL SOLUTIONS, INC CASE NO. RG-13707315

14. No Effect on Other Settlements