	•		
1	Stephen Ure, Esq., (CSB# 188244) LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC	FILED	
2	11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100	Clerk of the Superior Court	
3	San Diego, CA 92130	MAY 2 3 2014	
4	Telephone: 619-235-5400 Facsimile: 619-235-5404	By: TIPIN JOHNSON, Deputy	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Maureen Parker	DJ. III N. COLINGON, Deputy	
6	Thorney for I taling, what cen I are		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY	OF SAN DIEGO	
10	2-20-1		
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
12			
13	MARUREEN PARKER,	CASE NO.: 37-2013-00048582-CU-NP-CTL	
14	}		
15	Plaintiff,	[ERGEOSED] JUDGMENT APPROVING	
16		PROP 65 STIPULATION AND	
17	and)	CONSENT JUDGMENT (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
18	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION,		
19	AND DOES 1-25 INCLUSIVE, including) DANCO PRODUCTS INC.) \	
	DANCO PRODUCTS INC.	Date: May 23, 2014	
20	Defendants.) Time: 9:00am) Dept. C-75	
21		Hon. Richard E.L. Strauss	
22		Action Filed: May 14, 2013 "IMAGED FILE"	
23		J IMAGED FILE	
24			
25			
26			
27		2	
28			

JUDGMENT APPROVING PROP 65 STIPULATION AND CONSENT JUDGMENT $\ensuremath{\mathbf{1}}$

{1746397.DOC;2}

In the above entitled action, Plaintiff, Maureen Parker and Defendants, Ace
Hardware Corporation and Danco Products, Inc., (including LSP Products Group Inc.) having
agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the
Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment entered into
by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement
agreement and entering this Consent Judgment on MAY 2,32014

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure§664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: MAY 2 3 2014

FICHARD E.L. STRAUSS
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

{1746397.DOC;2}

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	James L. Brannen, State Bar No. 279367 weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin LAW CORPORATION 400 Capitol Mall, 11 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 558-6000 Facsimile: (916) 446-1611 Attorneys for Defendants Ace Hardware Corporation, Danco Products, Inc., Stephen Ure, State Bar No. 188244 Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC				
9 10	1518 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 235-5400 Facsimile: (619) 235-5403	·•			
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Maureen Parker				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
13	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO				
14					
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
16	MAUREEN PARKER,) Case No. 37-2013-00048582-CU-NP-CTI			
17	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) REGARDING ENTRY OF CONSENT			
18	v. ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION AND) JUDGMENT AS TO ACE HARDWARE) CORPORATION, DANCO PRODUCTS,) INC.,			
19	DOES 1-25 INCLUSIVE, including DANCO PRODUCTS INC.) Complaint Filed: May 14, 2013			
20	Defendants.)			
21) Case Assignment: Hon. Richard E. L.) Strauss)			
22					
23	1. INTRODUCTION				
24	1.1 The Parties				
25	This Stipulation and [Proposed] Or	der Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment			
26	("Consent Judgment") is hereby entered into by and between Plaintiff Maureen Parker				
27	acting on her own and on hehalf of the public interest ("Parker" or "Plaintiff") and				

Stipulation and (Proposed) Order Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment as to Ace, Danco, and OSH

Defendants Ace Hardware Corporation ("Ace"), Danco Products, Inc. ("Danco"), collectively

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 referred to as "Defendants," with all of the parties collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Parker is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Parker served a Sixty Day Notice ("60 Day Notice") dated December 28, 2012, January 4, 2013. An Amended Notice ("Amended Notice") that will more particularly describe the noticed products will be filed within sixty (60) days of the parties' signatures herein. Each Defendant employs ten or more persons and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.

1.2 Allegations and Representations

Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have offered for sale in the State of California plumbing products bearing Danco's or Danco's affiliates' proprietary labels or any proprietary label of a customer to whom Danco or Danco's affiliates supply plumbing products containing brass and/or lead with lead levels above the Prop 65 safe threshold levels and that such sales have not been accompanied by Proposition 65 warnings. These products consist of toilet and faucet repair and accessories ("Category A"); tub/shower repair and accessories ("Category B"); sink repair and accessories ("Category C"); water supply line and valve systems and drains ("Category D"), (collectively, the "Plumbing Products"). Lead is listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Parker specifically identified in her initial 60 Day Notice the ACE Faucet Stem (UPC 082901 402046) (Category C) (see attached Exhibit A); the ACE Faucet Spray Hose (UPC 082901 1256802) (Category D) (see attached Exhibit B), as specific examples of the categories of Danco products that are plumbing products containing brass and/or lead and are the subject of their allegations. The Amended Notice will identify products in Categories A(ACE Faucet Stem UPC 082901 454441) and B (Danco Hot & Cold Stem UPC 037155 016136)

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Danco represents that the above-

listed products are manufactured for and distributed to ACE, OSH, and others by Danco, and that Defendants had no reason to believe that the items contained lead in excess of safe harbor levels until receiving the 60 Day Notices on or about December 28, 2012 and January 4, 2013.

1.3 Product Description

Plaintiffs allege that various Plumbing Products containing brass and/or lead and bearing any of Danco's or Danco's affiliates' (including LSP Products Group, Inc. ("LSP")) proprietary labels or any proprietary label of a customer to whom Danco or Danco's affiliates supply brass and/or lead containing plumbing products, including ACE, Orchard Supply Hardware, and other downstream retail establishments, or for whom they package brass or lead containing plumbing products that may require Proposition 65 warnings if those products are marketed or distributed by Danco or Danco's affiliates for use in California and may contain lead or lead compounds at levels that will create exposures above the Safe Harbor levels. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, and without admitting liability, the Parties agree that any brass or lead containing Plumbing Products or Plumbing Products sold and distributed now and in the future by Danco or Danco's affiliates, including LSP, Ace, OSH and other downstream retail establishments, within the State of California are subject to this Consent Judgment whether they were identified in the 60 Day Notice or Amended Notice or not, hereinafter the "Covered Products."

1.4 Notices of Violation/Complaint

- a. Parker (on December 28, 2012 and January 4, 2013) served ACE and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d) (the "Notice") alleging that ACE was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that their Plumbing Products exposed users in California to lead. No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time relative to the provision of the Notice to them by Plaintiffs.
 - b. On or before September 15, 2013, Plaintiffs shall serve ACE, and

Danco, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d) (the "Supplemental Notice"), clarifying the scope of products (as being more broad than was described in the original Notice) and further alleging that Defendants were in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Covered Products exposed users in California to lead.

- c. On a date that is at least forty-five (45) days after the issuance of the Supplemental Notice, Plaintiffs, acting in the interest of the general public in the State of California, shall amend their complaint ("Complaint") in the Superior Court of San Diego County alleging violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to lead contained in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Danco through ACE, OSH, other downstream retail establishments and/or Danco, as set forth in the 60 Day Notice. In the event that no public enforcer undertakes the diligent enforcement of the allegations set forth for the Covered Products as specified in the Supplemental Notice prior to the expiration of the 60 Day Notice period. The Complaint shall be amended to include said allegations and Danco as defendants.
- d. The Parties shall stipulate to an extension pursuant to California law for Defendants to file and serve their responsive pleadings, if any, and in no event shall Plaintiff seek a default judgment against Defendants Ace, and/or Danco for any failure to file and serve their responsive pleadings.

1.5 Stipulation as to Jurisdiction/No Admission

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the complaints filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the 60 Day Notice or Supplemental Notice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff and Defendants enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that will be raised in the Amended Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Amended Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein. Defendants deny the material allegations contained in Parker's 60 Day Notice, Complaint, and Amended Complaint, and maintain that they have not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in the Complaint. This Consent Judgment shall not be used for any other purpose or in any other manner.

1.6 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment of the Court. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all Parties in Clause 16 below.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; WARNINGS

Commencing 180 days after the Effective Date, and except as set forth in Section 2.1 or 2.6, Danco shall not manufacture or cause to be manufactured for sale in California any Covered Product that does not include a warning as provided in Section 2.1.

2.1 Warnings

Covered Products manufactured by or on behalf of Danco after the date set

forth in the immediately preceding paragraph containing more than .01 percent lead by weight (100 ppm) using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of more than 100 ppm, shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section 2.2 below.

2.2 Warnings to be Utilized

Where required under Section 2.1 above, Danco shall provide Proposition 65 warnings as follows:

California Prop. 65 WARNING: This product contains one or more chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

2.3 Placement of Product Warnings

Where utilized to meet the criteria set forth in Section 2.1, Danco shall provide the warning language set forth in Section 2.2 either.

- a. With or within the unit package of the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package or the Covered Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product; Defendants may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, unit packaging containing substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings as those set forth in Section 2.2 above, but only to the extent such packaging materials have already been printed within ninety days of the Effective Date, or
- b. In the owner's manual the product in which the Covered Product is a component, but only if the product has one or more features a consumer must read about in order to know how to program or use the Covered Product. If the warning is given in the owner's manual pursuant to this subsection, it shall be located in one of the following places in the manual: the outside of the front cover; the inside of the front cover; the first page other than the cover; or the outside of the back cover. The warning shall be printed or stamped in the manual or contained in a durable label or sticker

affixed to the manual in a font no smaller than the font used for other chemically related safety warnings in the manual. Alternatively, the warning may be included in a safety warning section of the owner's manual. Owner's manual warnings may only be allowed if the owner's manual is sold in the same package and at the same time as the other product and not for Covered Products sold separately, or

c. Inside the product packaging where it is reasonably calculated to make the warning available to the individual prior to exposure, if any.

2.4 <u>Listed Warning Are Not Exclusive</u>

The requirements for warnings, set forth in Sections 2.2 and 2.3 above, are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations, and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.

2.5 Warnings No Longer Required

If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds or other specified chemicals should no longer be required, Defendants shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment. Except in the event that a change in the law requires modification or ceases to require such warnings, should Defendants cease to implement or modify the warnings required under this Settlement Agreement, Defendants shall provide written notice to Parker (through counsel) of their intent to do so, and of the basis for its intent, no fewer than thirty (30) days in advance.

2.6 Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce

Covered Products that have already been manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, or that are otherwise in the stream of commerce, prior to 180 days after the Effective Date shall be released from any and all claims that were brought or that could have been brought by Plaintiff in this action, as though they were covered claims within the meaning of Section 5 of this Consent Judgment.

3. PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE 25249.7(b)

With regard to all claims that have been raised or which could be raised with respect to failure to warn pursuant to Proposition 65 with regard to lead in the Covered Products, Defendant(s) shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,000 pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health and Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Parker, as provided by California Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(d) and the instructions directly below.

Defendants shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" (Tax Identification Number: 68-0284486) in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty (i.e., \$750.00); and (b) one check in an amount representing 25% of the total penalty (i.e., \$250.00) made payable directly to Parker. Defendants shall mail these payments within two weeks following the Execution Date, to be held in trust by Parker's counsel, until the Effective Date, at which time such payments shall be mailed to the following addresses respectively:

Proposition 65 Settlement Coordinator California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-1413

Ms. Maureen Parker c/o Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC 1518 Sixth Ave. San Diego, CA 92101

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Parker and her counsel, under the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Defendants shall pay Parker's counsel, Stephen Ure, Esq., \$39,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs associated with this matter. Defendants shall wire said monies to the "Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC" (Tax Identification Number 42-1641673) within one week following the Execution Date of this

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment as to Ace, Danco, and OSH

Agreement. The Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC will provide Defendants with their respective wire instructions and tax identification information on or before the Execution Date. Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Release of Defendant(s) and Downstream Customers

Plaintiff, on behalf of herself <u>and in the public interest</u> pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendants and each of their downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates (including LSP), subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees") from all claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendants for violations of Proposition 65 in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Covered Products for Danco or any component parts thereof or to any distributors or suppliers who sold Covered Products or any component parts thereof to Danco ("Upstream Entities").

In addition to the foregoing, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in her representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any other claims that she could make against Defendants or their Releasees arising up to the Effective Date with respect to violations of Proposition 65 based upon the Covered Products. With respect to the

foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Parker **individually** hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Parker understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequences of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if Parker, suffer future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, or any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to, the Covered Products, Parker will not be able to make any claim for those damages against any Releasee, its successors or assigns, or any of their respective parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, sister companies, or any of their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Covered Products. Furthermore, Parker acknowledges that she intends these consequences and this Release for any such claims which may exist as of the date of this Release, but which Parker does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect her decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether her lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Parker, on her own behalf and on behalf of her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that she may have against Defendants and Releasees, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of

action, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Releasees prior to the Effective Date.

5.2 <u>Defendants' Release of Parker</u>

Defendants waive any and all claims against Parker, her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Parker and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

6. SEVERABILITY AND MERGER

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this document are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties, and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now or in the future, with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to alleged exposures to lead arising from the Covered Products. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Defendants shall provide written notice to Parker of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligation pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to the Covered

Products and to the extent that the Covered Products are so affected.

8. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by (i) first-class mail; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Danco:

Michael J. Near, Esq. Regulatory Affairs Counsel NCH Corporation 2727 Chemsearch Boulevard Irving, Texas 75062

For Ace and Danco.

Lee N. Smith, Esq. Weintraub Tobin Chediak Coleman Grodin 400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

For Parker:

Stephen Ure, Esq. Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC 1518 Sixth Avenue San Diego, California 92101

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f); COURT APPROVAL

Parker agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f) and to bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment no

{1660436.DOCX;}

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment as to Ace, Danco, and OSH

later than seventy (70) days after issuance of the Supplemental Notice, and Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.

This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within twelve months after it has been submitted to the Court for approval by the Parties, in which case all penalties and attorneys' fees paid by each Defendant shall be returned to each Defendant immediately. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by any Party. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, et seq.
- 13.1 Any party that files a 60-day notice or other claim alleging that a Covered Product is in violation of Prop 65 despite this Stipulation and Order shall be responsible for the fees and cost of a respondents successful enforcement of this Order.
- 13.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment including paragraph 14

14. ENFORCEMENT AND CURE PROCEDURES

Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the non-complying party forty-five (45) days' advance written notice of the alleged noncompliance. The Parties shall meet and confer during such forty-five (45) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged noncompliance. After such forty-five (45) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Diego County, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any enforcement by Parker of the terms of this Consent Judgment will be limited to the Covered Products.

15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Dated:	August, 2013	LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC	
		Ву:	
	,	Stephen Ure, Esq.	
		Attorneys for Plaintiff MAUREEN PARKER	

(1660436.DOCX:)

	1	fees and costs.	
	2	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
	3	Dated: August 15, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC	
	4	A STATE OF STEPHEN ORE, FO	
	5	By:	
	6	Stephen Ure, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	7	Attorneys for Plaintiff MAUREEN PARKER	
	8	Dated: August, 2013 WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN	
	9	LAW CORPORATION	
_	10		
grodk	11	By: Lee N. Smith, Esq.	
SE LE	12	Attorneys for Defendants	
k cole	13	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, DANCO PRODUCTS, INC.,	
redla E	14		
	15	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:	
weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin Lorcorovanon	16	Dated: 8-13-11 Dated:	
Per COX	17	By: Waserson touber By:	
\$ 3	18	MAUREEN PARKER On Behalf of: DANCO PRODUCTS, INC.	
	19	AGREED TO:	
	20	Dated:	
	21	By: On Behalf of:	
	22	ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION	
	23		
36	24	* <u>.</u> =	
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		{1660436.DOCX;} Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment as to Ace, Danco, and OSH	

weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin

1	Dated: August, 2013 WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN LAW CORPORATION				
2	LAW CORPORATION				
3	3 By:				
4		Lee N. Smith, Esq.			
5	Attorneys for Defendants ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, DANCO PRODUCT				
6	INC.,				
7	7				
8		GREED TO:			
9	9 Dated: Dated:	4 2013			
10	By:	taplo			
11	On Bena	PRODUCTS, INC.			
	AGREED TO:	, 11000 0 1 3, 111C.			
12	Dated:				
13	Ву:				
14	On Behalf of: ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION				
15	15 ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION				
16	16				
17	17				
18	18				
19	19				
20	20				
21	21 .				
22	22				
23	23				
24	24				
25	25				
26	26				
27	27				
28					

2

31

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this Consent Judgment. Any enforcement by Parker of the terms of this Consent Judgment will be limited to the Covered Products. **AUTHORIZATION** The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certify that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party is to bear its own fees and costs. APPROVED AS TO FORM: August , 2013 LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC Dated: Stephen Ure, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff MAUREEN PARKER August 22, 2013 WEINTRAUB TOBIN CHEDIAK COLEMAN GRODIN LAW CORPORATION Lee N. Smith, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION, DANCO PRODUCTS, INC., AGREED TO: AGREED TO: Dated: Dated: By: By: On Behalf of: MAUREEN PARKER DANCO PRODUCTS, INC. AGREED TO: 8.22.13 Dated:

14

{1664083.PDF.docx;}

On Behalf of:

ACE HARDWARE CORPORATION

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Entry of Consent Judgment as to Ace, Danco, and OSH