# State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

# REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplemental	Filing Corrected Filing		
	print or type required information PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC  DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Mario Badescu Skin			Filing Corrected Filing		
SE FO	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765		C.	OURTNAME Alameda Superior (	Court	
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases					
REPORT INFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  Reformultion and/or  PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$9,000.00  DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT  06 /08 /2015  COPY OF	PAYMENT: ATTORN \$28,500.0 ISJUDGMENT PURS TOSETTLEMENT? Yes  JUDGMENT	EYS FEES  O  GUANT  No	PAYMENT: OTHER \$0.00  IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA  ATTACHED	For Internal Use Only	
FILER INFO	NAME OF CONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.					
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie	l Greenbau	ım		TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199	
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320  FAXNUMBER (424) 243-7689					
	Van Nuys	CA 91	<b>406</b>	E-MAIL ADDRESS dgreenbaum@greenb	oaumlawfirm.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

LAW OF	FICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAU	M ENDORSED			
	. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)	FILED			
L !!	away Building	ALAMEDA COUNTY			
3   Suite 320	venhurst Avenue	JUL 2 1 2015			
Van Nuy	s, CA 91406	OF THE CHECKER AND INT			
	ie: (818) 809-2199	CLERK OF THE SUSTRIANDAOURT  BY OLANDA ESTRADAOURT  Deputy			
	e: (424) 243-7689 greenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com				
6 I IMIAII. U	greenoaum@greenoaumawmm,cor	II .			
Attorney	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC				
7 THEYE	THEY EDING LID				
O II	TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie Schmelz, Esq.				
o 515 S. FI	515 S. Flower Street				
42 <sup>nu</sup> Floo					
	Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 430-3375				
	Facsimile: (213) 430-3373				
2 Email: R	Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com				
3 Attorney	Attorneys for Defendant MARIO BADESCU SKIN CARE, INC.				
4					
5	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
6	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
7		0			
	tion Proceeding Title (Rule 3.350)	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION ) PROCEEDING NO: 4765			
	The (Rule 3.330)	)			
9		)			
0 PROPOS	SITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA	) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et ) al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No.			
1 CASES		) BC520411]			
2		) (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT AS			
3		) TO MARIO BADESCU SKIN CARE, INC.			
4		) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.			
15		) Action filed: September 4, 2013			
26					
		ý			
17					
8					
Management of the Control of the Con	Page 1				
	[PRQPOSED] (	CONSENT JUDGMENT SKIN CARE, INC JCCP No. 4765			

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Mario Badescu Skin Care, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain Cocamide diethanolamine ("Cocamide DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of Cocamide DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.4 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation

28

1

the Section 3.3 Products. The requirements of this Section apply only to those Section 3.3 Products that contain Cocamide DEA.

- 3.3.2 Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- 3.3.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide Shefa with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.
- 3.4 Current Inventory. To the extent it has not already suspended the sale of Covered Products in California, on or before ninety (90) days after the Effective Date Settling Defendant shall not sell or otherwise distributed any Covered Product in California or to a California consumer.
- 3.5 Preservation of Competitiveness. The intent of this Section is to protect the competitive interests of Settling Defendant arising from the Shefa's claims and to ensure that by settling the allegations in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, Settling Defendant is not disadvantaged with respect to its competitors. Specifically, the parties agree that should any agreement or consent judgment be entered into by Shefa, The California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, or the California Attorney General's Office concerning personal care products similar to the Covered Product that contains provisions that would materially impact the terms of this Agreement, such benefits shall be deemed to accrue to Settling Defendant and this Agreement shall be amended by a stipulation and proposed order, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's office five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court, to provide Settling Defendant the benefit thereof. Further, should there be a court decision involving any other person or entity that received a Proposition 65 60-Day Notice of Violation alleging that Cocamide DEA in personal care products similar to the Covered Products and such decision is in whole or in part favorable to the Settling Defendant(s) in such action, then that decision shall be incorporated into this Agreement by a stipulation and proposed order, a copy of which shall be provided to the Attorney General's Office five (5) business days prior to submission to the Court. Nothing herein shall prohibit Settling Defendant

from selling or distributing or manufacturing for sale of distribution in California Covered Products that bear the Required California Proposition 65 Health Warning.

#### 4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

#### 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement payment identified for it on Exhibit A. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
- 5.1,1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.1.2 A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

#### 6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees including but not limited to: Amazon.com, Inc., Nordstrom, Inc., Ron Robinson, Inc., and Ulta Beauty ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Shefa's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

## 11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

|PROPOSED| CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MARIO BADESCU SKIN CARE, INC. • JCCP № 4765

# ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Mario Badescu Skin Care, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein. JUL 2 1 2015 Dated: GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR. Judge of the Superior Court

#### EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Mario Badescu Skin Care, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

TUCKER ELLIS LLP Ronie Schmelz, Esq. 515 S. Flower Street 42<sup>nd</sup> Floor Los Angeles CA 90071

Telephone: (213) 430-3375 Facsimile: (213) 430-3409

Email: Ronie.Schmelz@tuckerellis.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): January 16, 2014
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC520411
  - a. Date Complaint Filed: September 4, 2013
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and 7.2):
  - x Shampoos
  - x Soaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

Mario Badescu Glycolic Foaming Cleanser, UPC 785364010291

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$37,500.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$9,000.00 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$28,500.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.