# State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

# REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplemental	Filing Corrected Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Pettenon Cosmetics	SpA				
CASE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765  SHORT CASE NAME		C P	OURTNAME Alameda Superior	Court	
o <b>=</b>	Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases					
	NJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion and/or warning label					
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$4,000.00  DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT	PAYMENT: ATTORN \$13,500.0	0	PAYMENT: OTHER \$0.00  IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS	VinO 6	
	06 /08 /2015	TO SETTLEMENT?  Yes		REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENER	AL NS	
	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED					
FILER INFO	NAMEOFCONTACT  Daniel N. Greenbaum	, Esq.				
	ORGANIZATION  Law Office of Danie	l Greenbau	am		TELEPHONE NUMBER ( 818 ) 809-2199	
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 FAXNUMBER (424) 243-768				FAXNUMBER ( 424 ) 243-7689	
	Van Nuys	STATE CA 91	406	E-MAIL ADDRESS <b>dgreenbaum@green</b>	baumlawfirm.com	

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	i e					
1	DANIEL N. GREENBAUM - 268104 LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM					
2	7120 Hayvenurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406	ENDODOED				
3	Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689	ENDORSED FILED				
4	Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com	ALAMEDA COUNTY				
5	Attorney for Plaintiff	JUL 2 1 2015				
6	LINDA FRIDEGOTTO, ESQ.	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT				
7	HAVKINS ROSENFELD RITZERT & VARRIALE LLP					
8	1065 Avenue of Americas, Suite 800 New York NY 10018					
9	Telephone: (646) 747-5114					
10	Facsimile: (212) 564-0203 Linda.fridegotto@hrrvlaw.com					
11	Attorneys for Defendant					
12						
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
14	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
15	Coordination Proceeding	) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION				
16	Special Title (Rule 3.350)	PROCEEDING NO: 4765				
17	PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES	[Shefa LMV, LLC v. New World Imports, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court				
18	CASES	No. BC561056]				
19		IPROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PETTENON COSMETICS SpA				
20		) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.				
21		}				
22						
23						
24 25						
26						
27						
28						
31						
32						

10

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28 31

### INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa LMV") and Pettenon Cosmetics SpA ("Pettenon") with Shefa LMV and Pettenon collectively referred to as the "Parties," and individually as "Party." Shefa LMV is an entity organized in the State of California, which has asserted that it seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa LMV alleges that Pettenon employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2 General Allegations. Shefa LMV alleges that Pettenon has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold soap products that contain Cocamide Diethanolamine ("Cocamide DEA") without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Cocamide DEA is on the Proposition 65 list as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.
- 1.3 Product Description. As used in this Consent Judgment, "Product(s)" shall mean soap and shampoo products containing Cocamide DEA, including, but not limited to, Alter Ego Shampoo Semi de Lino, UPC #: 8008277018195, that are distributed and/or sold by Pettenon for sale in the State of California.
- 1.4 Notice of Violation. On January 16, 2014, Shefa LMV served Pettenon and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided recipients with notice of Shefa LMV's allegation that Pettenon was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Product(s) exposed users in California to Cocamide DEA.

No public enforcer has prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5 Complaint. On or about October 17, 2014, Shefa LMV filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Los Angeles against other entities, Shefa LMV, LLC v. New World Imports, et al., Case No. BC561056, alleging violations of Proposition 65, based on the alleged exposures to Cocamide DEA contained in certain products sold by Defendants ("Complaint"). After this case was coordinated with other Cocamide DEA cases in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda, Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases, Case No. JCCP 4765, Shefa LMV filed a DOE amendment related to the Complaint,

adding Petterion as a DOE on March 5, 2015. No other complaints have been filed with respect to any other Notice of Violation.

- 1.6 No Admission. Pettenon denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Shefa LMV's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has at all times been in compliance with all laws and all products that it has sold, manufactured, imported and/or distributed in California, including the Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Pettenon of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Pettenon of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Pettenon's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Pettenon as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County Superior Court, and that this Court or, if the case is transferred back to the Los Angeles County Superior Court at the conclusion of the Coordination Action, the Los Angeles Superior Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Execution Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters Judgment pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Pettenon shall not manufacture, distribute, sell or offer for sale any Product(s) that contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains Cocamide DEA" if Cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation.
- 2.2 Suppliers. No more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Pettenon shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not

contain any Cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing Cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

2.3 Specific Product Actions. As of the Effective Date, Pettenon shall cease selling the Product(s) in California if it contains Cocamide DEA. As of the Effective Date, Pettenon shall also: a) cease shipping the Product(s) to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Product(s) in California if the Product(s) contains Cocamide DEA; b) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Product(s) in California to 1) return all of the Product(s) to Pettenon for destruction if it is labeled as containing Cocamide DEA, or 2) directly destroy the Product(s) if it is labeled as containing Cocamide DEA. Any destruction of the Product(s) shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.

Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Pettenon shall provide Shelfa with certification confirming compliance with the requirements of this section 2.3.

### 3. ENFORCEMENT

Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Alameda County Superior Court, or, if the case is transferred back to the Los Angeles County Superior Court at the conclusion of the Coordination Action, the Los Angeles Superior Court, may enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, Shefa shall provide the Defendant with Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support Shefa's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for Shefa's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve the matter informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application.

### 4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

Pettenon agrees to a total settlement payment of Seventeen Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$17,500.00) to be paid as set forth below.

4.1 Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pettenon shall pay a total civil penalty payment of \$4,000.00 within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, as follows: the civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c) and (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Shefa LMV, both pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 4.3.

### 4.2 Reimbursement of Shefa LMV's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Shefa LMV and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Pettenon expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue after the other settlement terms had been agreed. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Shefa LMV and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Pettenon shall pay the amount of \$13,500.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest.

#### 4.3 Payment Procedures

All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 shall be within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, in three checks made payable as follows:

- (a) one check to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,000.00;
- (b) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum in Trust for Shefa LMV,LLC" in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- (c) one check to "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" in the amount of \$13,500.00;

### 4.4 Issuance of 1099 Forms

After the settlement funds have been transmitted to Shefa LMV's counsel, Pettenon or its agents shall issue separate 1099 forms, as follows:

(a) one 1099 form to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment"(EIN:

68-0284486) in the amount of \$3,000.00;

- (b) a second 1099 form to "Shefa LMV, LLC" in the amount of \$1,000.00, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request;
- (c) a third 1099 to "Law Office of Daniel N, Greenbaum" (EIN: 46-4580172) in the amount of \$13,500.00;

### 4.5 Issuance of Payments.

All payments owed shall be delivered to the following payment address:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

# 5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

#### 5.1 Shefa LMV's Release of Pettenon

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Pettenon, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Pettenon directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, including specifically, but not limited to Target Corporation, Rite Aid Corporation, Amazon.com, Inc. and CVS Pharmacy, Inc., franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the date on which this Consent Judgment is signed by both parties based on exposure to Cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to Cocamide DEA from the Products as set forth in the Notice.

#### 5.2 Pettenon's Release of Shefa LMV

Pettenon on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa LMV, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa LMV and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating

4 5

6

9 10

8

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 31

32

claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

### 8. GOVERNING LAW

- 8.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and shall apply only to Covered Products that are sold or offered for sale in the State of California.
- 8.2 In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Pettenon shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, any Covered Products that are so affected.
- 8.3 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa and Pettenon and their respective, divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, successors and assigns.
- 8.4 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
- 8.5 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel.
- 8.6 Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party shall not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

### 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and (i) personally delivered, (ii) sent by

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PETTENON COSMETICS SpA - JCCP No. 4765

and Pettenon shall make no objections to entry of this Consent Judgment.

- 12.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect.
- 12.4 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

### 13. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

- 13.1 Shefa LMV and Pettenon agree to mutually employ their, and their counsel's, best efforts to support the entry of the agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.
- 13.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Shefa LMV shall draft and file, and Pettenon shall not oppose.
- 13.3 If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Shefa LMV and Pettenon shall work together to file a joint reply or separate replies if the parties so desire and appear at any hearing before the Court.
- 13.4 This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.
- 13.5 If the Court does not grant the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and if the parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days after the Court's denial of the motion to approve, then, upon remittitur, any and all payments made pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment will be returned to Pettenon.

# 14. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

### 15. AUTHORIZATION

- 15.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.
  - 15.2 The undersigned have read, understand and agree to all of the terms and

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1 2

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Pettenon Cosmetics SpA, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Judge of the Superior Court

Dated:	JUL 2 1 2015			
		GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.		
		***************************************		

{02400291.DOCX/}Page 11