Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) 1 LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK JUN 30 2014 OS ANGEL 2 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 FILING WINDOW 3 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com 4 JTIVE OFFICER/ CLERK 5 Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Novak AQUINO, DEPUTY 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 9 ISABEL NOVAK, an individual, **CASE NO. BC523095** 10 Plaintiff, 11 [FECTOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 12 Judge: Hon. Frederick C. Shaller "46" Dept.: TARGET CORPORATION, a corporation, 13 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Compl. Filed: October 1, 2013 14 Defendants. Unlimited Jurisdiction 15 16 17 18 19 1// 20 111 21 111 111 22 111 23 24 24 111 25 111 ္ 26 /// 27 111 ② 28, 111 þ,

ු 

1:

## 1. RECITALS

#### 1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Isabel Novak ("Plaintiff") and Defendant, IQ Accessories, Inc. ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

## 1.2 Allegations

Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured, distributed, supplied, and/or sold the "Pickles" ceramic bowl with exterior decorations (SKU 8-72197-62903-2) (hereinafter, the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of lead and lead compounds (collectively, "Listed Chemicals") without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. The Listed Chemicals are potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because they are listed as known to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

On July 27, 2013, a sixty-day notice of violation ("60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Target Corporation and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On October 1, 2013, in the interest of the general public, Plaintiff filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On February 26, 2014, a supplemental sixty-day notice of violation ("Supplemental 60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Defendant, Target Corporation, and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. On May 16, 2014, Plaintiff filed an amendment to the Complaint replacing Doe 1 with Defendant.

10

19

21

#### 1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice, Supplemental 60-Day Notice, and Complaint, and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.4 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations of the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment pursuant to California *Code of Civil Procedure* section 664.6.

#### 1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

## 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS</u>

#### 2.1 Proposition 65 Warning Obligations

(a) After the Effective Date, Defendant shall not manufacture, decorate, or import for use or sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals in their non-food contact (exterior) surfaces unless clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings are provided with each unit with the following specific warning with the capitalized, emboldened and italicized wording:

"WARNING: This product contains chemicals, including lead, known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm."

Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package, near the product name, price, or UPC code, in a sufficiently conspicuous manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer.

(b) **Exceptions:** The warning requirement set forth in subsection 2.1(a) shall

11

12

10

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

2122

23

24· 25

26-27-28not apply to any Products manufactured, decorated, or imported for Defendant's potential sale in California after the Effective Date if they meet the Reformulation Standards as described in subsection 2.2 below.

#### 2.2 Reformulation Standards

The Product must produce a test result no higher than 1.0 microgram (ug) of lead using EPA Test Method 3050(b) based on a wipe sample collected using NIOSH Method 9100 as applied specifically to a 100 square centimeter area of the Product that contains the majority of the Exterior Decorations. ("Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the Products.)

### 3. PAYMENTS

## 3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all causes of action in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$2,250.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% (\$750.00) paid to Plaintiff.

Defendant shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$2,250.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Isabel Novak" in the amount of \$750.00. Defendant shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

#### 3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment, in the total amount of seventeen thousand dollars

بر -2**4**-

(\$17,000.00). Said payment shall be made in four (4) monthly installments, as follows:

Defendant shall remit the first installment of \$3,000.00 within five (5) business days of the

Effective Date; Defendant shall remit the second installment of \$5,000 within the next thirty (30) calendar days; Defendant shall remit the third installment of \$5,000 within thirty (30) calendar days following the second installment; and Defendant shall remit the fourth installment of \$4,000 within thirty (30) calendar days following the third installment. For each installment, Defendant shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the applicable amounts, and remit each payment to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

### 4. <u>RELEASES</u>

#### 4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendant

Plaintiff, acting in her individual capacity, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, and Defendant's downstream retailers, including Target Corporation, and their parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys from the claims asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint, 60-Day Notice, and Supplemental 60-Day Notice regarding violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products. Within ten (10) business days after the receipt of all payments described in Section 3 above, counsel for Plaintiff will file a Stipulation for Dismissal without prejudice directing the Court to dismiss the remaining action without prejudice and without costs.

#### 4.2 Defendant's Release Of Plaintiff

Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, and Defendant's downstream retailers, including Target Corporation, and their parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and

attorneys, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors, and/or assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter.

### 4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* which provides as follows:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

Each of the parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California *Civil Code* or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits pertaining to the claims in this Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

## 5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after its full execution by all Parties. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23 (1)

24 (5) 25 (7) 26 (7) 27

28

motion for judicial approval.

### 6. **SEVERABILITY**

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

# 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

# 8. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

## TO DEFENDANT:

Steve L. Reitenour, Esq. BOWMAN AND BROOKE LLP 150 South Fifth Street, Suite 3000 Minneapolis, MN 55402

#### TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

## 9. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

# 10. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

### 11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their

1	respective Portice Feel Porty has read understood and earnes to all of the terms and conditions
	respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions
2	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this
. 3	Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or
4	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.
5	
6	AGREED TO:
7	Date: 6-19-17
8	
9	By:
10	Authorized Agent of Defendant, IQ Accessories, Inc.
11	
12	AGREED TO:
13	Date:
14	
15	By:
16	Plaintiff, Isabel Novak
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	
20	Dated: SEP - 4 2014 / Mulling - Mull
21	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22	
23 ( <u>T</u> )	
(	
<u>&gt;</u> 25	
① ② 26	
~ 27	
(E) 28	
}~. .~.	

<u>Ļ</u>,

1	respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions
2	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this
3	Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or
4	interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.
5	
6	AGREED TO:
7	Date:
8	
9	By:
10	Authorized Agent of Defendant, IQ Accessories, Inc.
11	
12	AGREED TO:
13	Date: 6/2//4
14	
15	By: Lillal Morale
16	Plaintiff, Isabel Novak
1.7	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	
20	Dated: SEP - 4 2014 Muller Malerial Muller
21	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22	
23	
① ② ②	
25	
①26 ② 27	
1	
₩28 ( ©	·
h	0 - 0