ENDORSED 1 Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 FILED Howard Hirsch, State Bar No. 213209 ALAMEDA COUNTY 2 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP 503 Divisadero Street AUG 1 5 2014 3 San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 OLERK On the burlings COUNT 4 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 DYYOLANDA ESTRADA mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com 5 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com 6 Counsel for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 12 Coordination Proceeding Special Title: Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding 13 PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES 14 Case No. 4765 15 PROPOSED | CONSENT This Document Relates To: JUDGMENT AS TO CENTRAL 16 SOLUTIONS, INC. CEH v. Biopelle, Inc., et al., A.C.S.C. Case No. 17 RG 14-726-964 18 19 1. INTRODUCTION 20 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are the Center for 21 Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant Central Solutions, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). 22 CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 23 1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and 24 that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells shampoo and liquid soaps that contain coconut oil 25 diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "cocamide DEA") in the 26 State of California or has done so in the past. 27 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED -1-ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT -- CENTRAL SOLUTIONS, INC. - CASE NO. JCCP 4765

1.3

4

9

7

10 11

12

14

13

1516

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

24

2526

27

28

General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of cocamide DEA in shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

1.4 On May 28, 2014, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Biopelle, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG 14-726-964, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County, naming

Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action. On July 7, 2014, the Biopelle action was

DEA Cases, Case No. JCCP 4765, currently pending before this Court.

this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

coordinated with several other related Proposition 65 actions in the Proposition 65 Cocamide

Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health

& Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney

On March 7, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii)

1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Settling Defendant. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

2.1 "Covered Products" means shampoo and liquid soaps manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

-2-

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains cocamide DEA" if cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or part of the product formulation. CEH acknowledges that settling Defendant's representation that it has already completed reformulation of all Covered Products it sells so that they do not contain cocamide DEA.
- 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. Settling Defendant currently manufactures the Covered Products. However, if at some point in the future Settling Defendant contracts out the manufacture of the Covered Products to a third party supplier, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to such supplier of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any cocamide DEA, and shall instruct each such supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing cocamide DEA on a nationwide basis.

3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.

- 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the Derma Cen Lotionized Foaming Hand Soap, Item No. 13902REV0906, Reorder No. DERM13902 ("Section 3.3 Product") in California. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping any Section 3.3 Product that contains cocamide DEA to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Product in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell any Section 3.3 Product containing cocamide DEA in California instructing them either to: (a) return all such Section 3.3 Product to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy such Section 3.3 Product.
- 3.3.2 Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Product containing cocamide DEA shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

3.3.3 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results and all other evidence which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$15,000 as a settlement payment. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks delivered to counsel for CEH at the address set forth in Section 8.1 below. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 \$1,650 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 5.1.2 \$2,250 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

5.1.3 \$11,100 as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. A check for \$9,600 shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group, and a check for \$1,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest, and Settling Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

Mark Nobrega Central Solutions 401 Funston Road Kansas City, KS 66115

With Copy To:

J. Robert Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 jmaxwell@rjo.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

///

-7-

27

28

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling Defendant, and its respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related hereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a settling defendant.
- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

28 ///

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	
4	Ch
5	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
6	Associate Director
7	CENTRAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
8	
9	
10	Signature
i] ;	
12	Printed Name
13	
14	Title
15	
16 -	
17,	IT IS SO ORDERED:
18	
19	Dated:, 2014 Judge of the Superior Court
20 4	suage of the superior court
21 22	
23 :	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED I ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - CENTRAL SOLUTIONS, INC CASE NO. JCCP 4765

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	-1
4	
5	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
6	
7	CENTRAL SOLUTIONS, INC.
8	
9	Mark Nobrey - Signature
11	
12	Mark Nobrega Printed Name
13	1 Timed (Value
14	President
15	Title
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED:
18	
19	Dated:, 2014 GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
20	Judge of the Superior Court
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-10-
ļ	CONSENT JUDGMENT - CENTRAL SOLUTIONS, INC CASE NO. JCCP 4765