

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court

APR 25 2016

By: H. CHAVARIN, Deputy

1 Parker A. Smith, Esq. (CSB #290311)
2 SY AND SMITH, PC.
3 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100
4 Del Mar, CA 92130
5 (858) 746-9554 Telephone
6 (858) 746-5199 Facsimile

7 *Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng*

8
9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

11 **UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION**

14	KINGPUN CHENG ,)	CASE NO.: 37-2015-00009004-CU-NP-CTL
)	
15)	"IMAGED FILE"
16	Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED]
)	JUDGMENT APPROVING
17)	PROP 65 STIPULATION AND
18	v.)	CONSENT JUDGMENT
)	<i>(Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)</i>
19	MUELLER STREAMLINE CO., AND)	Date: April 22, 2016
20	DOES 1-25)	Time: 10:30 AM
)	Dept. C-61
21	Defendant.)	Judge: Hon. John Meyer
22)	Action Filed: March 17, 2015

23
24 In the above entitled action, Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng and Defendant, Muller Streamline
25 Co., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the
26 terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment
27 and Stipulation Regarding Entry of Modified Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and
28 following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering

1 this Consent Judgment on February 25, 2015 and Stipulation Regarding Entry of Modified
2 Consent Judgment on September 23, 2015.

3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that pursuant to
4 Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the Consent Judgment
5 attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

6
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8
9 **Dated:** APR 25 2016

JOHN S. MEYER

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit 1

1 PARKER SMITH, ESQ. (SBN 290311)
2 PARKER SMITH, ATTORNEY AT LAW
3 2173 Salk Ave.
4 Suite 250
5 Carlsbad, CA 92008
6 Telephone: 619-335-5697
7
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, King Pun Cheng

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

10 KING PUN CHENG,
11 Plaintiff,
12 vs.
13 MUELLER STREAMLINE CO.; AND
14 DOES 1-25
15 Defendants.

Case No.

UNLIMITED JURISDICTION

STIPULATION RE ENTRY OF
MODIFIED CONSENT
JUDGMENT AS TO MUELLER
STREAMLINE CO.

Complaint Filed: Oct XX, 2014

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2 **1.1 The Parties**

3 This Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Consent Judgment (“Consent Judgment”) is
4 hereby entered into by and between King Pun Cheng acting on behalf of the public interest
5 (hereinafter “Cheng”) and Mueller Streamline Co., (hereinafter “Mueller” or “Defendant”).
6 Collectively Mueller and Cheng shall be referred to hereafter as the “Parties” and each of them as
7 a “Party.” Cheng is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of
8 exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous
9 substances contained in consumer products. Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a
10 person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code
11 §§ 25249.6 et seq.

12 **1.2 Allegations and Representations**

13 Cheng alleges that Defendant has offered for sale in the State of California and has sold in
14 California, brass plumbing and hose products, including valves, fittings, connectors, nipples, and
15 accessories, specified as “No Lead”, “(NL)” or “Lead Free Compliant” products which contain
16 lead, and that such sales have not been accompanied by Proposition 65 warnings. Lead is listed
17 under Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth
18 defects or other reproductive harm. Cheng has cited Mueller “Lead Free Complaint 101-
19 503NL”, hereafter (“Lead Free”) as a specific example of “lead free” plumbing hardware that is
20 the subject of his allegations.

21
22 **1.3 Covered Products Description**

23 The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as , brass plumbing
24 and hose products, including valves, fittings, connectors, nipples, and accessories, specified as
25 “No Lead”, “(NL)” or “Lead Free Compliant” products in conformity with California Health &
26 Safety Code §116875 (and equivalent Federal law) which contain statutorily proscribed low
27
28

1 levels of lead and that are distributed and/or sold in California by Defendant, Releasees and/or
2 Downstream Releasees (as defined in Section 5.1 below). All such items shall be referred to
3 herein as the "Covered Products."
4

5 **1.4 Notices of Violation/Complaint**

6 A) On or about March 18, 2014, Cheng served Home Depot USA, Inc. ("Home Depot"),
7 Mueller and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of
8 Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Mueller
9 and Home Depot were in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers
10 that the Covered Products exposed users in California to lead. No public enforcer diligently
11 prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time relative to the
12 provision of the Notice to them by Cheng.

13 B) On October 31, 2014, Cheng, acting in the interest of the general public in the State of
14 California, filed a complaint in the Superior Court of San Diego County alleging violations of
15 Health & Safety Code §25249.6 (the "Complaint") based on the alleged failure to warn of
16 exposures to lead contained in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Home
17 Depot or Mueller.

18 **1.5 Stipulation as to Jurisdiction/No Admission**

19 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has
20 jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the complaint filed in this matter,
21 that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve,
22 enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution
23 of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged
24 therein and/or in the Notices.

25 Defendant denies the material allegations contained in Cheng's Notice and Complaint and
26 maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65 and did not fraudulently or deliberately mislead
27 consumers regarding the Covered Products or their designation as "lead free" pursuant to
28 California Health & Safety Code §116875. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed

1 as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall
2 compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant
3 of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied
4 by Defendant. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations,
5 responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.

6 **1.6 Effective Date**

7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this
8 Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment of the Court. All Covered Products already shipped
9 on or before the "Effective Date" or within 60 days thereafter are deemed to be covered by the
10 waiver and release provisions of Paragraph 5.1 of this Consent Judgment and shall not be subject
11 to any future enforcement action by Cheng hereunder. The reformulation and warning
12 requirements of Paragraphs 2.1 and 2.2 shall apply to any Covered Product shipped later than 60
13 days after the Effective Date.

14 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Execution Date" shall mean the date
15 this Consent Judgment is signed by all parties in Clause 14 below.

16
17 **2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION**

18 Commencing 60 days after the Effective Date, Mueller shall not ship, sell, or offer to sell
19 in California any Covered Product that is not (a) reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1 or (b)
20 accompanied by a warning as provided in Section 2.3.

21
22 **2.1 Reformulation Option.** The Covered Products shall be deemed to comply with
23 Proposition 65 with regard to lead and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements
24 for lead if the exposed brass or other metal components that are part of the Covered Products
25 meet the following criteria: (a) the alloy from which the components are made shall have no lead
26 as an intentionally added constituent; and (b) the alloy from which the components are made shall
27 have a lead content of not more than a weighted average of 0.25% in compliance with the "lead
28

1 free" standard established under California Health & Safety Code §116875 (e) . Defendants and
2 the Releasees (as defined in Section 5.1 below) may comply with the above requirements by
3 relying on information obtained from their manufacturers, suppliers distributors, official product
4 certification organizations (such as IAPMO) or any other person in the course of doing business
5 that manufacturers, supplies or otherwise distributes the reformulated Covered Products to the
6 Defendants, provided that the Defendants' and Releasees' reliance is in good faith. Although
7 good faith reliance regarding the content of the brass alloy may also be established by other
8 means, Cheng agrees that obtaining test results showing that the lead content is no more than
9 0.25%, using a method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as
10 distinguished from detection) of less than 2,500 ppm shall be deemed to establish good faith
11 reliance.
12

13
14 **2.2 Warning Alternative.** Commencing on the Effective Date, Covered Products that
15 Mueller ships, sells or offers for sale in California that do not meet the warning exemption
16 standard set forth in Section 2.1 above shall be accompanied by a warning as described in Section
17 2.3 below no later than 60 days after the Effective Date. The warning requirements set forth in
18 paragraph 2.3 below, apply only to Covered Products Defendant manufactures, distributes,
19 markets, sells or ships for distribution 60 days after the Effective Date for sale or use inside the
20 State of California.
21

22 **2.3 Warnings.** Where required under Section 2.2 above, Mueller shall provide either
23 of the Proposition 65 warnings as follows:

24 **WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California**
25 **to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. [Wash hands after**
26 **handling.]**

27 **OR**

1 **WARNING: This product contains [one or more] chemicals known to the State of**
2 **California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. [Wash**
3 **hands after handling.]**

4 Bracketed language may be omitted at Defendant's or Releasees' option. Defendant or
5 Releasees may add additional listed chemicals to the warning unless the Attorney General
6 advises that the inclusion of such additional chemicals would render the warning
7 misleading or constitute an over warning. The word "WARNING" shall be in bold and
8 may be preceded by the words "CALIFORNIA," "PROP 65," or "CALIFORNIA PROP
9 65" at Mueller's option.

10 2.4 Where utilized as an alternative to meeting the reformulation criteria set forth in
11 Section 2.1, Defendant shall provide the warning language set forth in Section 2.3 either:

12 (a) With the unit package of the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such
13 warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package or
14 the Covered Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same
15 section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product;
16 Mueller may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, unit packaging containing substantively the
17 same Proposition 65 warnings as those set forth in Section 2.3 above, but only to the extent such
18 packaging materials have already been printed within one hundred twenty days of the Effective
19 Date, or

20 (b) In the owner's manual of another product in which the Covered Product is a component,
21 but only if the other product: (i) may cause serious injury or bodily harm unless used as directed;
22 (ii) is sophisticated, difficult to understand or install, set-up, or assemble; or (iii) has one or more
23 features a consumer must read about in order to know how to program or use the Covered
24 Product. If the warning is given in the owner's manual pursuant to this subsection, it shall be
25 located in one of the following places in the manual: the outside of the front cover; the inside of
26 the front cover; the first page other than the cover; or the outside of the back cover. The warning
27 shall be printed or stamped in the manual or contained in a durable label or sticker affixed to the
28 manual in a font no smaller than the font used for other chemically-related safety warnings in the

1 manual. Alternatively, the warning may be included in a safety warning section of the owner's
2 manual. Mueller may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, owner's manuals containing
3 substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings and without the additional admonitions as those
4 set forth in Section 2.3 above, but only to the extent such manuals have already been printed
5 within ninety days of the Effective Date. Owner's manual warnings may only be allowed if the
6 owner's manual is sold in the same package and at the same time as the other product and not for
7 Covered Products sold separately.
8

9 2.5 The requirements for warnings, set forth in Section 2.3 above are imposed
10 pursuant to the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The Parties recognize that these are not the
11 exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations
12 and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.
13

14 2.6 If Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds or other specified
15 chemicals should no longer be required, Defendant and Releasees shall have no further warning
16 obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement. Except in the event that a change in the law
17 requires modification or ceases to require such warnings in the event that Defendant or Releasees
18 cease(s) to implement or modifies the warnings required under this Settlement Agreement,
19 Defendant and Releasees shall provide written notice to Cheng (through counsel) of its intent to
20 do so, and of the basis for its intent, no less than thirty (30) days in advance.
21

22 **3. PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE**
23 **§25249.7(b)**

24 With regard to all claims that have been raised or which could be raised with respect to
25 failure to warn pursuant to Proposition 65 with regard to lead in the Covered Products, Defendant
26 shall pay a civil penalty of \$1000.00 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be
27 apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these
28

1 funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
2 and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Cheng, as provided by California Health &
3 Safety Code § 25249.12(d) and the instructions directly below.

4 Defendant shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made
5 payable to "OEHHA" (tax identification number: 68-0284486) in an amount representing 75% of
6 the total penalty (i.e., \$750.00); and (b) one check in an amount representing 25% of the total
7 penalty (i.e., \$250.00) made payable directly to Cheng. Defendant shall mail these payments
8 within fourteen days following the Execution Date, to be held in trust by Cheng's counsel, until
9 the Effective Date, at which time such payments shall be mailed to the following addresses
10 respectively:

11 Proposition 65 Settlement Coordinator
12 California Department of Justice
13 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, CA 94612-1413

14 Mr. King Pun Cheng
15 C/O Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law
16 2173 Salk Ave., Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008

17 **4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS**

18 The parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Cheng and his counsel under
19 the private attorney general doctrine and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles,
20 Defendant shall reimburse Cheng's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of
21 investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant attention, and negotiating a settlement in the
22 public interest. Defendant shall pay Cheng's counsel \$13,500.00 for all attorneys' fees, expert
23 and investigation fees, and related costs associated with this matter and the Notice. Defendant
24 shall mail a check payable to "Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law", via certified mail to the
25 address for Cheng's counsel referenced above within fourteen days following the Execution Date.
26 Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
27
28

1 **5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS**

2 **5.1 Cheng's Release of Defendant, Releasees and Downstream Releasees**

3 As to those matters raised in the Complaint and in the Notice, Cheng, on behalf of himself
4 *and on behalf of the public interest*, hereby waives and releases any and all claims against
5 Defendant, its parent companies, corporate affiliates (including but not limited to B & K, LLC),
6 subsidiaries, predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively "Releasees") and each of its
7 distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including but not limited to
8 Home Depot), franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users (collectively
9 "Downstream Releasees") and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives,
10 shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities for injunctive relief or
11 damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of attorneys, experts, and
12 others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed, for the alleged failure of
13 Defendant, Releasees or Downstream Releasees to provide clear, accurate and reasonable
14 warnings under Proposition 65 and/or under Business and Professions Code §17200, *et seq.* about
15 exposure to lead arising from the sale, distribution, or use of any Covered Products sold,
16 manufactured or distributed by Defendant, Releasees or Downstream Releasees in California
17 prior to 60 days after the Effective Date. Compliance with the Consent Judgment by Defendant, a
18 Releasee or a Downstream Releasee shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by that
19 Defendant, Releasee or Downstream Releasee with respect to the presence of lead in the Covered
20 Products. Plaintiff agrees that any and all claims in the Complaint are resolved with prejudice by
21 this Consent Judgment.

22 In addition to the foregoing, Cheng, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents,
23 representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and *not* in his representative capacity,
24 hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal
25 action and releases any other Claims that he could make against Defendant, Releasees or
26 Downstream Releasees arising up to 60 days after the Effective Date with respect to violations of
27 Proposition 65 and/or Business and Professions Code §17200 based upon the Covered Products.
28 With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Cheng hereby specifically

1 waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred by
2 virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:
3

4 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE
5 CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR
6 AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY
7 HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH
8 THE DEBTOR.

9 **5.2 Defendant's Release of Cheng**

10 Defendant waives any and all claims against Cheng, his attorneys and other
11 representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been
12 taken or made) by Cheng and his attorneys and other representatives, in the course of
13 investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this
14 matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

15 **6. SEVERABILITY AND MERGER**

16 If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this
17 document are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions
18 remaining shall not be adversely affected.

19 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and
20 all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged
21 within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or
22 have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

23 **7. GOVERNING LAW**

24 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
25 California and apply within the State of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent
26 Judgment resolves any issue, now or in the future, as to the requirements of Proposition 65 with
27 respect to alleged exposures to lead arising from the Covered Products. In the event that
28 Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as
to the Covered Products, then Defendant shall provide written notice to Cheng of any asserted

1 change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with
2 respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

3 **8. NOTICES**

4 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant
5 to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,
6 (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the
7 other party at the following addresses:

8
9 For Mueller Streamline Co.:

10 M. Taylor Florence
11 Locke Lord LLP
12 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1800
13 Sacramento, California 95814

14 and

15 For Cheng:

16 Parker Smith, Esq.
17 Parker A. Smith, Attorney at Law
18 2173 Salk Ave. , Suite 250
19 Carlsbad, CA 92008

20 Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to
21 which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

22 **9. COUNTERPARTS; EMAIL OR FACSIMILE SIGNATURES**

23 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by email or facsimile, each
24 of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one
25 and the same document.

26 **10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT**
27 **APPROVAL**

28 Cheng agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety
Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and
Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.

This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court

1 and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within
2 twelve months after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

3 **11. MODIFICATION**

4 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the
5 approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

6 **12. ATTORNEY'S FEES**

7 12.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
8 Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs
9 unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this
10 Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the
11 Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, et seq.

12 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions
13 pursuant to law.

14 **13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

15 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent
16 Judgment.

17 **14. AUTHORIZATION**

18 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective
19 Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document
20 and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the
21 Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as
22 explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.
23

24 [Signatures Follow]
25
26
27
28

1 **APPROVED AS TO FORM:**

2
3 Dated: ^{Sept.} November 15, 2014

4
5
6 By: M. Taylor Florence
7 M. Taylor Florence, Esq.
8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 Mueller Streamline Co.

10
11 Dated: ^{Sept} November 19, 2014

12 PARKER A. SMITH, ATTORNEY AT LAW

13 By: P. Smith
14 Parker Smith, Esq.
15 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
16 King Pun Cheng

17 **IT IS HEREBY SO STIPULATED:**

18 **AGREED TO:**

19 Date: 2015-9-23
20 By: [Signature]

21 KING PUN CHENG

22 **AGREED TO:**

23 Date: 8/14/2005
24 By: [Signature]

25 On Behalf of:
26 MUELLER STREAMLINE CO.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Parker A. Smith, Esq. (CSB #290311)
11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100
Del Mar, CA 92130
(858) 746-9554 Telephone
(858) 746-5199 Facsimile

FILED
Clerk of the Superior Court
APR 25 2016
By: H. CHAVARIN, Deputy

Attorney for Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION**

KINGPUN CHENG ,)	CASE NO.: 37-2015-00009004-CU-NP-CTL
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
)	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE:
)	CONSENT JUDGMENT
MUELLER STREAMLINE CO., AND)	"IMAGED FILE"
DOES 1-25)	
)	Date: April 22, 2016
)	Time: 10:30 AM
Defendant.)	Dept.: C-61
)	Judge: John Meyer
)	Action Filed: March 17, 2015
)	

Plaintiff, Kingpun Cheng and Defendant, Mueller Streamline Co. having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and attached to the Judgment pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment and Stipulation Regarding Entry of Modified Consent Judgment as Exhibit 1. After consideration of the papers submitted and arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement agreement set

1 out in the attached Consent Judgment and Stipulation Regarding Entry of Modified Consent
2 Judgment meets the criteria established by Health & Safety Code §25249.7, in that:

- 3 a) the health hazard warning required by the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent
4 Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code §25249.7;
- 5 b) the reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties'
6 Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment is reasonable under California
7 law; and
- 8 c) the civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Stipulation and
9 Order Re: Consent Judgment is reasonable

10
11 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that pursuant to Code of Civil
12 Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the Consent Judgment attached hereto
13 as Exhibit 1.

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16
17 **Dated:** APR 25 2016

JOHN S. MEYER

18 **JUDGE OF THE SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT**