

NOV 2 3 2016

JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: J. Berg, Deputy

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI

An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, 90212

Telephone:

(310) 623-1926

Facsimile:

(310) 623-1930

6

4

5

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

Kenneth W. Ralidis (SBN 139573)

LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH W. RALIDIS A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

3435 Wilshire Blvd.

Los Angeles, CA 90010

Telephone: (213) 251-5480

10 Fax: (323) 953-1171

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF MARIN



CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

17 Plaintiff,

BELL SPORTS, INC., a California 20 Corporation: EASTON-BELL SPORTS, 21 INC. now known as BRG SPORTS, INC., a California Corporation; BELL SPORTS, 22 CORP, a Delaware Corporation; and DOES1-20;

Defendants.

CASE NO. CIV-1500761

CONSENT JUDGMENT PROPOSED

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Dept. B

Judge: Hon. Roy O. Chernus

Complaint filed: February 27, 2015

(30P

26

27

23

24

25

28.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant, BELL SPORTS, INC. (referred to as "Defendant") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Products

- 1.2.1 Defendant is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and is subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2.2 Defendant manufactured, caused to be manufactured, sold, or distributed (1) Bike Locks, (2) Brake and Gear Cable Sets, and (3) Cable Locks with Polymer Coating.

1.3 Chemical Of Concern

1.3.1 Diethyl hexyl phthalate ("DEHP") is known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

1.4.1 On February 18, 2014, CAG served Defendant, Fenway Partners, Inc., E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company, Big 5 Sporting Goods - #15, Big 5 Sporting Goods, Corp., Big 5 Corp., Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Sixty-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("February 18, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Bike Locks sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 18, 2014 Notice.

1.4.2 On March 27, 2014, CAG served Defendant, Fenway Partners, Inc., E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company, Big 5 Sporting Goods - #15, Big 5 Sporting Goods, Corp., Big 5 Corp., Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("March 27, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Bike Locks sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the March 27, 2014 Notice.

1.4.3 On April 28, 2014, CAG served Defendant, Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., Bell Sports Corp., The True Value Company, Dick's True Value, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("April 28, 2014 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Brake and Gear Cable Sets sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the April 28, 2014 Notice.

1.4.4 On June 16, 2016, CAG served Defendant, Easton-Bell Sports, Inc., Vista Outdoor, Inc., KO Sports & Trading, Inc., Fenway Partners, Inc., E.I DuPont de Nemours & Company, BRG Sports, Inc., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("June 16, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to DEHP contained in Cable Locks with Polymer Coating sold by Defendant. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the June 16, 2016 Notice.

1.5 Complaint.

On February 27, 2015, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Superior Court of California County of Marin, Case No. CIV1500761, against the named Defendants. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated

corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means (1) Bike Locks, including but not limited to Cable Locks with Polymer Coating; and (2) Brake and Gear Cable Sets; that are sold, distributed, packaged, produced, and /or manufactured by Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.
 - 2.3 "DEHP" means Diethyl hexyl phthalate.
- 2.4 "Notices" means the February 18, 2014 Notice, the March 27, 2014 Notice, the April 28, 2014 Notice, and the June 16, 2016 Notice.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

- 3.1 As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell the Covered Products in California unless they are reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DEHP.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products still existing in Defendant's inventory as of the Effective Date that have not been reformulated to contain less than 0.1% (1,000 parts per million) DEHP, Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a total of one-hundred and twenty-six thousand dollars and zero cents (\$126,000.00) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices, as follows:
- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendant shall issue two separate checks totaling twelve thousand dollars (\$12,000) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendant will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of nine thousand dollars (\$9,000.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendant will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) representing 25% of the total penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendant will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amounts of \$9,000.00. Defendant will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$3,000.00 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Payments In Lieu of Civil Penalties: Defendant shall pay nine thousand dollars (\$9,000.00) in lieu of civil penalties payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such activities in order to reduce the public's exposure to

Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant action. Further, should the Court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used.

- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs: Defendant shall pay one-hundred and five thousand dollars (\$105,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.
- 4.2 All payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, vendors, suppliers, distributors, retailers, and customers and their predecessors, successors and assigns, including but not limited to Easton-Bell Sports Inc., BRG Sports Inc., Bell Sports Corp., Vista Outdoor, Inc., Fenway Partners, Inc., E.I DuPont de Nemours & Company, Big 5 Sporting Goods Corp., Big 5 Corp., Big 5 Sporting Goods #15, The True Value Company, Dick's True Value, and KO Sports & Trading, Inc. ("Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notices and Complaint. Defendant's compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to DEHP from

the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than the Releasees.

- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products.
- 5.3 In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that a consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG becomes aware in the future of Claims solely arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law only regarding any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any such

Claim against the Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, County of Marin, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90 days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendant. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: (a) the name of the product, (b) specific dates when the product was sold in California, (c) the store or other place at which the product was available for sale to consumers, and (d) any other evidence or other support for the allegations in the NOV.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendant serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendant for sale in California before the Effective Date, or

7.

- (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendant has taken corrective action by either (i) taking all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance under the terms of this Consent Judgment, or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendant, or (iii) refute the information provided in paragraph 6.2.
- 6.2.2 Contested NOV. Defendant may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendant may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendant may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendant does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and

become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7.3 CAG will file a request for a dismissal without prejudice as to Defendants Big 5 Sporting Goods Corp., Big 5 Corp., The True Value Company, and Dick's True Value, within ten days of the Effective Date.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendant outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of

any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery, overnight delivery or First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Bell Sports, Inc.:

Peg Carew Toledo PEG CAREW TOLEDO, LAW CORPORATION 3001 Douglas Blvd., Suite 340 Roseville, CA 95661

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT