

1 RICHARD DRURY (CBN 163559) DOUGLAS J. CHERMAK (CBN 233382) 2 LOZEAU | DRURY LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 3 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 836-4200 4 Fax: (510) 836-4205 Email: richard@lozeaudrury.com 5 doug@lozeaudrury.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. a non-profit California corporation, 11

Plaintiff,

CENTURY SYSTEMS, INC., a Georgia

Defendant.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Corporation,

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case No. RG14731268

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: JUDGE-GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR. DEPARTMENT 17

(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO APPROVE AND ENTER [PROPOSED] PROPOSITION 65 CONSENT JUDGMENT

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seg.

Hearing Date: August 25, 2015

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Reservation No. R-1645110

Case Filed: July 1, 2014

The Motion of Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER to Approve and Enter [Proposed] Proposition 65 Consent Judgment came on regularly for hearing before this Court on August 25, 2015 at 2:30 p.m., the Honorable George C. Hernandez, Jr. presiding. Douglas J. Chermak appeared for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center. Paul Rosenlund appeared for Defendant Century Systems, Inc. Having read and considered the application, the points and authorities, and the declarations and other evidentiary matter filed by the parties, having heard the argument of counsel, and having weighed the evidence presented by both

1	parties, and good cause appearing therefore,
2 3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: On the condition that counsel submits a copy of the proposed Consent judgment for the court's signature, The Motion to Approve and Enter [Proposed] Proposition 65 Consent Judgment is GRANTED.
4	The Court makes the following findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
5	25249.7(f) and 11 Cal. Code Regs. Section 3201 et seq.:
6	The warning required by the Stipulated Consent Judgment complies with Health
7	and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65");
8	The award of attorneys' fees and costs provided in the Stipulated Consent Judgment
9	is reasonable under California law;
10	The penalties provided by the Stipulated Consent Judgment are reasonable based on
11	the criteria set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b);
12	4. Plaintiff's continued prosecution of the action was necessary to obtain the relief in
13	the Stipulated Consent Judgment; and
14	5. The Stipulated Consent Judgment is in the public interest.
15	Therefore, for the foregoing reasons, the Stipulated Consent Judgment shall be entered as the
16	Judgment of this Court as of the date of entry this Order.
17	IT IS SO ORDERED. Proposed Consent judgment to be submitted by Plaintift
18	
19	Dated: 9 16 2015 Honoredo Google Grand Honoredo In
20	Honorable George C. Hernandez, Jr. Judge of the Superior Court
21	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
22	GEOTIGE STATE
23	

24

25

26