1 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 (ENDORSED) 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 OCT 0 6 2015 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 DAVID H. YAMASAKI
Chief Executive Officer/Clerk
Superior Court of CA County of Santa Clara 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E., Case No. 114CV270088 12 Plaintiff, AMENDED JUDGMENT PURSUANT 13 **TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65** v. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 14 **JUDGMENT BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDINGS** 15 CORPORATION, et al., 16 Defendants. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Anthony E. Held and defendant Building Materials Holdings Corporation, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10-1-15OCT 0 1 2015

JUDGE JOSEPH H. HUBER

1 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 2 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 ANTHÓNY E. HELD PH.D., P.E. 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 ANTHONY E. HELD PH.D., P.E., Case No. 114CV270088 13 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 14 (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and 15 Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6) **BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING** 16 CORPORATION.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 {1910569,DOCX;} CONSENT JUDGMENT

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held ("Held") and defendant Building Materials Holding Company ("BMC"), with Held and BMC each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Held is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Defendant

BMC employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that BMC manufactures, imports, sells and/or distributes for sale in California, tape measures with vinyl/PVC straps and grips containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without providing the health hazard warning that Held alleges is required by Proposition 65.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are tape measures with vinyl/PVC straps and grips allegedly containing DEHP, manufactured and/or supplied to BMC by Bell Link International, Inc., and sold or distributed for sale in California by BMC, identified as the BMC 25' x 1" Tape Measure, SKU # SIHF7H85X, UPC #8 47952 00006 7) (hereinafter referred to as "Covered Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On April 30, 2014, Held served BMC and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that BMC violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Products expose users to DEHP.

To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On August 29, 2014, Held commenced the instant action, naming BMC as a defendant for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

BMC denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by BMC of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by BMC of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect BMC's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over BMC as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE SETTLEMENT TERMS</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, any and all Covered Products manufactured, sold or otherwise distributed by BMC shall be only Covered Products which have been reformulated so as to contain a maximum DEHP concentration 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or other methodologies utilized by state or federal

agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance (hereinafter referred to as "Reformulated Covered Products"). As of the Effective Date, BMC represents that it is not currently offering the Covered Products or Reformulated Covered Products for sale in California, but agrees that if it recommences sales of such products, it will offer only Reformulated Covered Products. Before recommencement of sales, an officer of BMC will provide plaintiff with an original, signed written certification that all of the Covered Products it ships for sale or distributes for sale in California as of the date of its certification are Reformulated Covered Products, and that, in the future, BMC will continue to offer as Covered Products only those which are Reformulated Covered Products.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, BMC shall pay \$2,800 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. This penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Held. Held's counsel shall be responsible for remitting BMC's penalty payment(s) under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA. BMC shall make the civil penalty payment of \$2,800 and shall provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Anthony E. Held, Client Trust Account" to be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.4, below.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, BMC expressed a desire to resolve Held's fees and costs. The Parties then negotiated a resolution of the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. For all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement and

the Court's approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any, BMC shall reimburse Held and his counsel \$27,000. BMC's payment shall be due within two days of the Effective Date, and delivered to the address in Section 3.4 in the form of a check payable to "The Chanler Group." The reimbursement shall cover all fees and costs incurred by Held investigating, bringing this matter to BMC' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement of the matter in the public interest.

3.3 Payments Held In Trust

BMC shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to its counsel within one week of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties. BMC's counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Held's counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid in trust until such time as the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement contemplated by Section 5. Within two days of the Effective Date, BMC's counsel shall deliver all settlement payments it has held in trust to Held's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Held's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Held, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases BMC and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom BMC directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any and all claims of violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from

the Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by BMC prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by BMC with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by BMC after the Effective Date.

4.2 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by BMC before the Effective Date.

4.3 BMC's Release of Held

BMC, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Held and his attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Held and BMC agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Held shall draft and file and BMC shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

as such in the event of a breach.

6. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Cons

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then BMC may provide Held with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve BMC from its obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

objection to the motion is filed, Held and BMC agree to work together to file a reply and appear at

any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated

7. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

17

16

To BMC:

To Held:

18 19

Zachary Smith, Esq. Weintraub Tobin 400 Capital Mall, 11th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street

Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

2122

23

20

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

24

8. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

26

25

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original and, all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

2728

1 9. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS 2 Held and his counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in 3 California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). 4 10. **MODIFICATION** 5 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion of 6 7 any party and the entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. 8 11. **AUTHORIZATION** 9 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their 10 respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this 11 Consent Judgment. 12 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 13 14 15 **BUILDING MATERIALS HOLDING** ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E. 16 CORPORATION 17 Dated: 6/30/15 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

CONSENT JUDGMENT

{1910569.DOCX;}7

28