1/21/15

1	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484)	ORIGINAL ELECTY
2	LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
	8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069	2014 ELD 00 +
3	Telephone: (323) 337-9015	FEB 23 2015
. 4	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By K. Tollands R.
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri	By K. Tollack, Deputy
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE COUNTY	Y OF LOS ANGELES
9		
10	ISABEL RUGGERI, an individual,	CASE NO. BC558105
11	Plaintiff,	-{PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v.)	Judge: Hon. Teresa Sanchez-Gordon
13	THE MAINSTREET COLLECTION, INC., a	Dept.: 74
14	corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Compl. Filed: September 19, 2014
	Defendants.	Unlimited Jurisdiction
15	Defendants.	
16)	
17		
18	///	
19	///	
20	///	
21	///	
22	111	
23	///	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	111	

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Isabel Ruggeri ("Plaintiff") and The Mainstreet Collection, Inc. ("MSC"). Plaintiff and MSC shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."

Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products. MSC is a company which caused wallets made with leather, imitation leather, and/or vinyl materials to be sold in California, and is thus a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that MSC manufactured, distributed, supplied, and/or sold wallets made with leather, imitation leather, and/or vinyl materials, including but not limited to iPhone wallet (Style # IWSP/4406; 8-47219-04406-3; 1347750) (hereinafter, the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of Lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is potentially subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and reproductive harm. MSC expressly denies the allegations and denies any wrongdoing.

On June 11, 2014, a sixty-day notice of violation ("60-Day Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff pursuant to *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.7(d) to MSC, Tuesday Morning, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Lead in the Products.

1.3 No Admissions

MSC denies all allegations in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that MSC has not violated Proposition 65.

This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by MSC or by Tuesday Morning, Inc., its parent, subsidiaries, or its affiliated entities (collectively, "Tuesday Morning") but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 consent judgments on the Lead in the Products that were entered on behalf of the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over MSC as to the allegations of the Complaint and that venue is proper in Los Angeles County.

1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation Standard

Except as provided in Section 2.2 below, MSC shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, and/or sell for use or sale in California any of the Products that contains a material or is made of a component that exceeds the following lead limits: paint or other surface coatings – 90 parts per million ("ppm"); polyvinyl chloride – 200 ppm; all other materials or components other than cubic zirconia, crystal, glass or rhinestones – 300 ppm.

2.2 Proposition 65 Warning Obligations

If the Products do not meet the Reformulation Standard described in subsection 2.1 above, then within 60 days after the Effective Date MSC shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, and/or sell for use or sale in California any of the Products unless clear and reasonable

Proposition 65 warnings are provided with each unit with the following specific warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording:

"CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

Each unit shall carry said warning directly on each unit or its label or package in a sufficiently conspicuous manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, MSC shall pay a total civil penalty of four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,000.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% (\$1,000.00) paid to Plaintiff.

MSC shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$3,000.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Isabel Plaintiff" in the amount of \$1,000.00. MSC shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

MSC shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, MSC shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty one thousand dollars (\$21,000.00). MSC shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of MSC

Plaintiff, acting in her individual capacity, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases MSC, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, and its downstream retailers, including but not limited to Tuesday Morning and its present and former agents, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, directors, officers, and employees, and their respective predecessors, successors, parents, affiliates, and subsidiaries from the claims asserted in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice dated June 11, 2014 regarding violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by MSC.

4.2 MSC's Release Of Plaintiff

MSC, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, and attorneys, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors, and/or assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against MSC in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her Consent Judgment with the debtor."

Each of the parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under

Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one (1) year after its full execution by all Parties. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. SEVERABILITY

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

TO MSC:

Anthony J. Biller, Esq. **COATS AND BENNETT PLLC** 1400 Crescent Green, Ste 300 Cary, North Carolina 27516

TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. **INTEGRATION**

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

10. COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

11. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

111

///

///

/// 26

27

/// 28

1	AGREED TO:
2	Date:
3	1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4	By: John N-1/Car
5	Authorized Officer of The Mainstreet Collection, Inc.
6	
7	AGREED TO:
8	Date:
9	
10	By:
11	Isabel Ruggeri
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	Dated:
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	AGREED TO:
2	Date:
3	DINCH NI-IN COND
4	By: War Vi-1
5	Authorized Officer of The Mainstreet Collection. Inc.
6	
7	AGREED TO:
8	Date: 9/25/19
9	
10	By: Allel fliggle
11	Isabel Ruggeri
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	Dated:
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	v .
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	AGREED TO:
2	Date:
3	The state of the s
4	By: CAN No - 1 Control Control
5	Authorized Officer of The Mainstreet Collection. Inc.
6	
7	AGREED TO:
8	Date: 9/25/14
9	
10	By: Allel fill getter
11	Isabel Ruggeri
12	8
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	WWW AND
15	Duted: The Duted:
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	ELIZABETH R. FEFFER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	• ,
24	
2526	
27	
28	