

Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 Stephen E. Cohen, State Bar No. 284416 THE CHANLER GROUP

2560 Ninth Street

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118

Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR

JAMES M. KIMUL 2 4 2015

MARIN COUNTY SUPERING COURT By: T. Fraguero, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF MARIN

UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION

LAURENCE VINOCUR,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROSS ACQUISITION CO.; et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CIV1501550

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND [PROPOSED[CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ROSS ACQUISITION CO.

Date: 7/24/2015 9:00 AM Time:

Dept:

Judge: Hon. Paul M. Haakenson

Reservation No.:

THIS INSTRUMENT IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE

OCT 23 2015

JAMES M. KIM, Court Executive Officer



ENTERED

In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Laurence Vinocur, and defendant Ross Acquisition CO., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on ________.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6,

Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as

Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Stephen E. Cohen, State Bar No. 284416 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF MARIN			
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
11				
12	LAURENCE VINOCUR,	Case No. CIV1501550		
13	Plaintiff,			
14	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
15	ROSS ACQUISITION CO.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,			
16 17	Defendants.			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 <u>Laurence Vinocur and Ross Acquisition Co.</u>

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Laurence Vinocur, ("Vinocur") and Ross Acquisition Co. ("Ross"), with Vinocur and Ross collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each individually referred to as a "Party."

1.2 Plaintiff

Vinocur is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Vinocur alleges that Ross employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Vinocur alleges that Ross manufactures, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale in California mugs with exterior designs containing lead without the requisite Proposition 65 health hazard warning. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm if human exposure exceeds certain thresholds.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as mugs with exterior designs containing lead including, but not limited to, the *Galerie Sock Monkey Holiday Cocoa Set*, #871345170, UPC #7 68395 46639 8, which were manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California by Ross, hereinafter referred to as the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about July 11, 2014, Vinocur served Ross and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), alleging that Ross was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the

ommenced and is diligen

Products exposed users to lead. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On or about April 28, 2015, Vinocur, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Ross and Does 1 through 150, alleging, inter alia, violations of Proposition 65 based on the alleged exposures to lead contained in the Products sold by Ross in the State of California.

1.8 No Admission

Ross denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Ross of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Ross of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Ross. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Ross's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Ross as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation Standards and Commitment

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Ross shall only manufacture, distribute, ship, sell, or offer to ship for sale in California Products that are "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are Products that comply with the Lead Reformulation Standards set forth in Section 2.2.

2.2 Lead Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products shall meet or exceed the standards outlined in Sections 2.2.1, 2.2.2 or 2.2.3, subject to the following qualifications:

If the Product contains exterior decorations in the Lip and Rim Area (as used throughout this Consent Judgment, the Lip and Rim Area shall include the exterior upper 20 millimeters of a Product) or on any interior surface, it must <u>also</u> satisfy Section 2.2.4 to be considered a Reformulated Product.

2.2.1 Wipe Test-Based Standard

Reformulated Products shall yield a maximum result of 1.0 microgram ("ug") residual lead content by weight on any surface, exclusive of the Lip and Rim Area or interior surface, with exterior decorations sampled pursuant to the NIOSH 9100 sampling protocol and analyzed pursuant to EPA Analytical Methods 3050B and/or 6020A(ICP/MS).

2.2.2 Content-Based Standard

Exterior decorations, exclusive of the Lip and Rim Area, must only utilize materials that contain a maximum of 100 parts per million ("ppm") (0.01%) lead by weight as measured either before or after the material is fired onto (or otherwise affixed to) the Product, using EPA Test Method 3050B.¹

¹ If the exterior decoration is tested after it is affixed to a Product, the percentage of lead by weight must relate only to the decorating material and must not include any quantity attributable to any non-decorating material (e.g. the ceramicware substrate).

2.2.3 Total Acetic-Acid Immersion Test-Based Standard

The Reformulated Product achieves a result of 0.99 ppm (.0099%) or less lead after correcting for internal volume, when tested pursuant to American Society of Testing and Materials ("ASTM") Standard Test C927-99 test method, modified for total immersion with results corrected for internal volume.

2.2.4 Lip and Rim Area and Interior Surface Exterior Decoration

If a Product contains exterior decorations in the Lip and Rim Area:

- (a) any such exterior decorations must only utilize decorating materials that yield a result of no detectable lead when analyzed according to any test methodology authorized under Proposition 65; or
- (b) the Product must yield a maximum lead concentration of one-half microgram per milliliter (0.5 μ g/ml.) when tested according to ASTM Test Method C927-80 (2009).

2.3 Vendor Notification/Certification

On or before April 1, 2015, Ross shall provide written notice to all of its then-current vendors of the Products instructing each such vendor to provide only Reformulated Products for sale or use in California and that all Products must be tested by a third-party lab confirming that the Products are Reformulated Products prior to acceptance by Ross.

Ross shall subsequently obtain written certification, no later than the Effective Date, from such vendors, and any newly engaged vendors, that the Products manufactured and/or distributed by such vendors are Reformulated Products and will be tested by a third-party lab confirming that the Products are Reformulated Products. Certifications shall be held by Ross for at least two years after their receipt and shall be made available to Vinocur upon reasonable request in writing.

PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(b)

3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Ross shall pay \$100,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Vinocur. Vinocur's counsel shall be responsible for remitting Ross's penalty payment(s) under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

- **3.1.1** Initial Civil Penalty. Ross shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$20,000. Ross shall provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Laurence Vinocur, Client Trust Account" to be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.3, below.
- 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty; Waiver for Accelerated Reformulation. On August 1, 2015, Ross shall make a final civil penalty payment of \$80,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Vinocur agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than July 15, 2015, an officer of Ross provides Vinocur with a signed declaration certifying that all of the Products it ships for sale or distributes for sale in California as of the date of its declaration are Reformulated Products, and that Ross will continue to offer only Reformulated Products in California in the future. The option to certify early compliance with Section 2.2 in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment otherwise required by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. To obtain a waiver of the final civil penalty, Ross must deliver its declaration certifying compliance with Section 2.2 to Vinocur's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.3, below. In the event that Ross does not either timely certify its compliance or make the final civil penalty payment required by this Section, the Parties agree that Vinocur may file a motion or application seeking an order compelling Ross's compliance with this Section. If successful, the Parties further agree that Vinocur shall be entitled

1 | 3.

to his achieving reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to general contract principles and Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.

3.2 Payment Timing; Payments Held In Trust

With the exception of the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2, Ross shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to its counsel within 10 days of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties. Ross's counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Vinocur's counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid in trust until such time as the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement contemplated by Section 6. Within 10 days of the Effective Date, Ross's counsel shall deliver all settlement payments it has held in trust to Vinocur's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.3. In the event the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2 becomes due prior to the Effective Date, then Ross shall deliver the final civil penalty payment to its attorney to be held in trust until, and disbursed within 10 days after, the Effective Date.

3.3 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Vinocur and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Ross expressed a desire to resolve Vinocur's fees and costs. The Parties then negotiated a resolution of the compensation due to Vinocur and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. For all work performed

2

4 5

6

7 8

10 11

12

9

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

through the mutual execution of this agreement and the Court's approval of the same, Ross shall reimburse Vinocur and his counsel \$45,000. Ross's payment shall be delivered to the address in Section 3.3 in the form of a check payable to "The Chanler Group." This reimbursement covers all fees and costs incurred by Vinocur investigating, bringing this matter to Ross's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement of the matter in the public interest.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Vinocur's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Vinocur, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Ross, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Ross directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (collectively, "Releasees") from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Products.

5.2 Vinocur's Individual Release of Claims

Vinocur also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Vinocur of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of any violation of Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead in the Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Releasees.

5.3 Ross's Release of Vinocur

Ross on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Vinocur, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been

taken or made) by Vinocur and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Vinocur or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Ross that the one-year period has expired.

7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is foundby a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of California and apply within California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Ross may provide written notice to Vinocur of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

- 1	1			
1	To Ros	SS:	To Vinocur:	
2	Richar	d Ross, President	Proposition 65 Coordinator	
3	Ross A	Acquisition Co.	The Chanler Group	
4		Langley Drive n, KY 41048	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
5			Berkeley, CA 94710-2565	
6	XX 7° .1			
7	With a	copy to:		
8	Sandra Edwards, Esq. Farella Braun + Martell LLP			
9	Russ Building			
10	235 Montgomery Street, 18 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104			
11			·	
		Any Party may from time to time specif	y in writing to the other Party a change of	
12	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of			
13	address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
14	10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES			
15		This Consent Judgment may be executed	in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
16	document format (".pdf") signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which			
17	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or .pdf signature			
18	shall be as valid as the original.			
19	11.	COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & S	AFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)	
20		Vinocur and his attorneys agree to compl	y with the reporting form requirements	
21	referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).			
22	12.	ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION A	ACTIVITIES	
23		The Parties agree to mutually employ the	ir, and their counsel's, reasonable best efforts to	
24	support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent			
25	 Judgm	Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California		

Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this

Consent Judgment, which Vinocur shall file, and which Ross shall not oppose. If any third party

26

27

objection to the noticed motion is filed, Vinocur and Ross shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. If the Court does not approve the motion to approve this Consent Judgment, and the Parties choose not to pursue a modified Consent Judgment within 30 days of said denial, or in the event that the Court approves this Consent Judgment and any person successfully appeals that approval, all payments made pursuant to this Consent Judgment will be returned to Ross within fifteen (15) days of an order reversing or vacating the approval.

13. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

14. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

19

20

24

25

26

27

15. AUTHORIZATION The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. **AGREED TO: AGREED TO:** Date: May 15, 2015 Date: By: Richard Ross, President Ross Acquisition Co.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	Consent Judgment.		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6			
7	Date:	Date:	
8			
9	By:	By: Richard Ross, President	
10		Ross Acquisition Co.	
11			
12			
13			
14	, r		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
40			