FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

DEC 14 2016

Sherri Po Carter, Executive Officer/Cler

REC'D

Reyna Navarro

OCT 0 5 2016 FILING WINDOW

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)
Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)
Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)
YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI
An Association of Independent Law Corporations
9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone:

310.623.1926 310.623.1930

Facsimile:

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

O

5

9

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

1.

22

2324

25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,

Plaintiff,

COLOMBINA USA, INC., a Delaware Corporation; COLOMBINA SA, a Colombian Corporation; COLOMBINA DE PUERTO RICO, LLC, a Puerto Rican Limited Liability Corporation; WALDO'S DOLAR MART DE MEXICO, S DE R L DE CV, a Mexican Corporation; DOES 1-20;

Defendants.

CASE NO. BC585928

CONSENT JUDGMENT [TRO

Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.

Dept. 34

Judge: Hon. Michael P. Linfield Complaint filed: June 23, 2015

INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendants, COLOMBINA USA, INC. and COLOMBINA DE PUERTO RICO, LLC (referred to as "Defendants" collectively) with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendants and Products

CONSENT JUDGMENT

/15/201

.9

1.2.1 COLOMBINA USA, INC. is a Delaware corporation and COLOMBINA DE PUERTO RICO, LLC is a limited liability company of Puerto Rico both of which employ ten or more persons. Defendants manufacture, cause to be manufactured, sell, and/or distribute Wafer Cookies. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendants are deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and are subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Chemicals Of Concern

- 1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- 1.3.2 Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

1.4.1 On July 16, 2014, CAG served Defendants. Colombina S.A., Waldos Dolar Mart De Mexico., and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled dated July 14, 2014 "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Wafer Cookies sold by Defendants. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.5 Complaint.

On June 23, 2015, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC585928, against Defendants. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Wafer Cookies.

13

14

10

19 20

21

18

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

1.5.1 The Complaint is hereby amended to include allegations that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Cadmium and Cadmium Compounds from the Wafer Cookies as identified in the Notice.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendants deny), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or

future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means Defendant's wafer cookies, which includes, without limitation, "Sugar Wafer," "Bridge," and "Capri" brand products. "Covered Products" are limited to those products which are sold by the Defendants.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means lead and lead compounds.
 - 2.4 "Cadmium" means Cadmium and Cadmium compounds.
- 2.4 "Notice" means the July 16, 2014 60 Day Notice of Violation served on Defendants by CAG.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS.

3.1 Beginning six months from the Effective Date, Defendant shall: (a) not manufacture for sale in the State of California, distribute into the State of California, or directly sell in the State of California, any Covered Product unless each such unit of the Covered Product (1) qualifies as a "Lead Reformulated Covered Product" and/or a "Cadmium Reformulated Product" under Section 3.3, or (2) meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2; and (b) implement and ensure compliance with the Good Manufacturing Practices set forth in Section 3.5 below to ensure the lowest feasible level of Lead and Cadmium in its Covered Products.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Defendant provides a warning for a Covered Product sold in California pursuant to Section 3.1, any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of the Covered Products, or directly on the Covered Products, or point of sale/shelf warnings, internet warnings, or catalog warnings, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Such

warning shall conform to the requirements set out in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25601, or the "safe harbor" warning methods set out in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25603.2(a), or state, as applicable, the following:

WARNING: This product contains lead and cadmium, chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

For any Covered Products still existing in Defendant's inventory as of the Effective Date, Defendant shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use.

3.3 Calculation of Lead and Cadmium Levels;

3.3.1 Lead Reformulated Covered Products

A Lead Reformulated Covered Product is one that contains no more than fifty (50) parts per billion ("ppb") of Lead by weight. Such concentration shall be determined by use of a test performed in accordance with Section 3.4 below. For Covered Products that are not a Lead Reformulated Covered Products, Defendant shall provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2.

For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall be afforded a naturally occurring allowance of up to 0.4 parts per million (400 ppb) of Lead for any cocoa powder in the Covered Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the chocolate-containing Sugar Wafer brand Covered Products contain 2.2% cocoa powder; the Bridge brand Covered Products contain 5.76% cocoa powder; and the Capri brand Covered Products contain 2.9% cocoa powder; for other chocolate-containing Covered Products that currently exist or may be sold in the future, Defendants may submit cocoa powder percentages for purposes of evaluating any naturally occurring level of Lead.

3.3.2 Modification of "Naturally Occurring" Allowance for Lead

- 10

- 11

The naturally occurring allowance of up to 0.4 parts per million (400 ppb) of Lead for any cocoa powder in the Covered Products may be modified by (a) the Attorney General; or (b) Defendant, if it is determined through a legal proceeding and upon approval of the court that the naturally occurring level stated above is higher or lower than the "lowest level currently feasible" as stated in California Code of Regulations, title 27, section 25501.

Prior to seeking such modification, Defendant shall provide written notice to CAG that it intends to seek the modification. The Parties shall have ninety (90) days in which to confer concerning the modification. If the Parties are unable to agree on a modification to the Consent Judgment, Defendant may file a motion with the Court seeking a modification of the Consent Judgment. In any motion by Defendant seeking such modification, the burden of producing evidence and of proof shall be on Defendant to prove that the modification sought by the Defendant is the "lowest level currently feasible." The parties hereby agree that the Consent Judgment should be modified to reflect any agreement of the parties or any determination by the Court concerning what is the "lowest level currently feasible" for lead in any cocoa powder in the Covered Products.

3.3.3 Cadmium Reformulated Covered Products

A Cadmium Reformulated Covered Product is one that contains no more than 146 ppb for Covered Products with 28g serving size (Sugar Wafer brand Covered Products), 129 ppb for Covered Products with a 31.5g serving size (Capri brand Covered Products), or 122 ppb for Covered Products with a 33.5 gram serving size (Bridge brand Covered Products) by weight. Such concentration shall be determined by use of a test performed in accordance with Section 3.4 below. For Covered Products that are not a Cadmium Reformulated Covered Products, Defendant shall provide the warning set forth in Section 3.2.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only the parties recognize and agree that there is a level of naturally occurring Cadmium in cocoa powder. However, that level is not readily calculated or agreed. In recognition and compromise of that fact, the parties have agreed to set cadmium levels for reformulated products as described above.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed according to proper and accepted scientific and statistical analysis for the Covered Products using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, limit of qualification, accuracy, and precision and meets at least the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed upon in writing by the Parties. The methodology is intended to ensure that any resulting test reports and analysis properly account for and eliminate the possibility of false positives or sampling error.

3.4.2 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third-party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the analysis of heavy metals or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") for the analysis of heavy metals and/or that uses methods that are in compliance with FDA regulations for the analysis of heavy metals. Defendant may perform this testing itself or with a third party laboratory if it provides, in an attachment to the test results Defendant provides to CAG, proof that its laboratory meets the requirements in Section 3.4.2 and this Section 3.4.3. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

3.4.3 Within three (3) months following the Effective Date, Defendant will arrange for testing of at least three (3) randomly selected samples of each Covered Product for compliance with the standards set forth in this Consent Judgment. Covered Products shall be tested pursuant to this section quarterly in the one year period immediately after the Effective Date and at least once every six months in the following year. Covered Products shall be tested in the form intended for sale to the end-user to be distributed or sold to California. The testing



requirements discussed in Section 3.4 are not applicable to any Covered Product for which Defendant has provided the warning as specified in Section 3.2.

3.4.4 Defendant shall retain the laboratory test data and certifications (if applicable) for a period of three (3) years from the date of testing. If there is an allegation that a Covered Product is in violation of Section 3.1, CAG may make a written request to Defendant delivered to the address of Defendant as set forth in Section 16, for data generated in compliance with Section 3.4.4. In response, within thirty (30) days of CAG's written request, Defendant will provide to CAG, the date the analysis was performed, the name of the laboratory conducting the test, the test method used by the laboratory, the detection limit used by the laboratory, and the analytical results.

3.5 Use of Good Manufacturing Practices

Defendant shall implement and continue to use good manufacturing practices and quality control measures (the "Good Manufacturing Practices"), which may be adjusted from time to time, intended to reduce Lead and Cadmium in the Covered Products to the "lowest level currently feasible," under 21 C.F.R. Section 110.110(c), Defendant shall implement and continue to obligate its contract manufacturers to use ingredients with the lowest feasible levels of Lead and Cadmium by periodically, and at least once a year, reviewing alternate ingredient supplies with the intention of reducing, to the extent feasible, the contribution of Lead and Cadmium front such ingredients. The term "feasible" as used in this Consent Judgment means considering the reasonable availability and reliability of ingredient and formulation supply; cost; and performance characteristics including formulation, safety, taste, efficacy and stability. Within thirty (30) days of CAG's written request, Defendant will provide to CAG the nature and results of the annual review of alternate ingredient supplies.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 Payment and Due Date: Within 10 business days of the approval of the Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay a total of Two hundred and fifteen thousand dollars and zero

cents (\$215,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notice, as follows:

- 4.1.1 Civil Penalty: Defendants shall issue separate checks totaling tifty-eight thousand five hundred dollars (\$58,500) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendants will issue a check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of forty-three thousand eight hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$43,875) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendants will issue a check to CAG in the amount of fourteen thousand six hundred and twenty-five dollars (\$14,625) representing 25% of the total penalty; and
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendants will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$43,875. Defendants will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$14,625 c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- thousand five hundred dollars (\$43,500) in lieu of civil penalties: Defendants shall pay forty-three thousand five hundred dollars (\$43,500) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, including laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, administrative costs and fees related to such activities, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs and fees related to such activities in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade

those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Further, should the court require it, CAG will submit under seal, an accounting of these funds as described above as to how the funds were used. The check shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc."

- 4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendants shall pay one hundred and thirteen thousand dollars (\$113,000) as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The check shall be made payable to "Yeroushalmi & Associates".
- 4.2 Mailing of Payments: All payments above shall be delivered via overnight mail to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest and Defendants, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by CAG against Defendants for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice for the Covered Products, and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the date of entry of Judgment for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Products regarding exposures to Lead and Cadmium. CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Defendants and its parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensors, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all downstream entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, and all of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, members, managers,

employees, agents (collectively, "Released Parties"), from all claims up through the Effective Date for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by the Released Parties with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants or Released Parties.

5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of

California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and Cadmium from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

5.3 At Defendants' option, the parties may incorporate an agreed upon naturally occurring level of Cadmium into the calculation under Section 3.3.3 above of determining whether a Covered Product is a Cadmium Reformulated Covered Product. In which case, Covered Products requiring the use of this naturally occurring level of Cadmium shall only be released for Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Product by CAG on behalf of itself only, and not on behalf of the interest of the public. Defendants shall bear all costs associated with establishing a naturally occurring level of Cadmium should Defendants choose to incorporate one pursuant to this section 5.3.

. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and

- 6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Covered Products that were tested.
 - 6.2.1 Non-Contested NOV. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
 - (b) Since receiving the NOV Defendants have taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendants or vendor, as applicable, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Covered Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
 - (c) Provide CAG with testing information, from an independent thirdparty laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.2 and 3.4.3, demonstrating Defendant's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted.

- 1

- 6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Defendants may serve an NOV informing CAG of its relection to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain Lead and Cadmium in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3, above, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendants do not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its attorneys' fees.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG, Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any

purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT:

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold by Defendants outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attornay General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Section 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendant subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- 14.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a

result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212

If to COLUMBINA USA, INC. and COLOMBINA DE PUERTO RICO, LLC:

Jacabo Tovar COLOMBINA USA 5200 Blue Lagoon Drive Suite 220 Miami, FL 33126

With a copy to:

George Gigounas DLA Piper LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94105-2933

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE

1

2

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized

3	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf	
4	of the party represented and legally to bind that party.	
5	ACREED TO:	EED TO:
6	00/30/16	0/20/2016
7	Date: $\frac{O1/20/16}{100000000000000000000000000000000000$	9/30/2016
8	8 The Col Mann	
9	Name: Michael Marcus Nam	carlos Gil
10	Title: Director Title	General Manager
11		OMBINA USA, INC.
12		
13	3	
14		
15	5 Mary	
16	Name: Carlos Gil	
17	HIMIC. VI	
18	COLOMBINA DE PUERTO RICO, LLC	
19	9	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21	DEC 1 4 2016	
22	DEC 1 4 2016	
23		
24	JUDO	E OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
. 1	L?	CHAEL LINFIELD
25		
26	1 1	1.
27	7	×.
امذ	,	