

# SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

# **Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Feb-15-2018 4:18 pm

Case Number: CGC-15-548791

Filing Date: Feb-15-2018 4:16

Filed by: LINDA FONG

Image: 06220435

**TEXT JUDGMENT** 

AS YOU SOW VS. TRADER JOE'S, INC. ET AL

001C06220435

**Instructions:** Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 <u>Folk@smwlaw.com</u> <u>Beaton@smwlaw.com</u> DANIELLE R. FUGERE (State Bar No. 160 AS YOU SOW	CLERK OF THE COURT BY:
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF	F SAN FRANCISCO
13	AS YOU SOW, a California Non-Profit	Case No. CGC-15-548791
14	Public Benefit Corporation, Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
15	V.	MOTION TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT
16	TRADER JOE'S COMPANY, et al.,	Date: February 14, 2018 Time: 2:00 p.m. 3:00 pM
17	Defendants.	Dept.: 504 Judge: Hon. Suzanne R. Bolanos
18 19		Complaint Filed: November 3, 2015 Trial Date: April 9, 2018
20		I fial Date: April 9, 2018
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO ENTER CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	CONSENT JUDGMENT

The motion of Plaintiff As You Sow came on for hearing on February 14,2018. Having
 considered all papers filed and arguments presented by the parties and Abbott Laboratories Inc.,
 the Court finds that the Consent Judgment, which has been modified by the parties to substitute
 Exhibit D\* for its original Exhibit D, meets the requirements of Health & Safety Code Section
 25249.7 (f)(4) and is in the public interest.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion to approve and enter the Consent Judgment
as modified is GRANTED. The elerk shall therefore proceed to enter the Consent Judgment in
the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1:

DATED: 2 ,2018 Hon. Suzanne R. Bolanos Judge of the Superior Court 971633.1 PROPOSED | ORDER NTER CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

		$\sim$
	line of the second s	
1 2	ELLISON FOLK (State Bar No. 149232) LAURA D. BEATON (State Bar No. 29446 SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP	6)
3	396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102	
_	Telephone: (415) 552-7272	
4	Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 Folk@smwlaw.com	
5	Beaton@smwlaw.com	
6	DANIELLE R. FUGERE (State Bar No. 160	0873)
- 7	AS YOU SOW 1611 Telegraph Avenue, Suite 1450	
8	Oakland, California 94612	
	Telephone: (510) 735-8141 Dfugere@asyousow.org	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	AS YOU SOW	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	COUNTY O	F SAN FRANCISCO
14	AS YOU SOW, a California Non-Profit	Case No. CGC-15-548791
	Public Benefit Corporation,	
15	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT (as modified to contain Exhibit D* in
16	V.	lieu of prior Exhibit D)
17		
18	TRADER JOE'S COMPANY, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Complaint Filed: November 3 2015
19		Complaint Filed: November 3, 2015 Trial Date: April 9, 2018
	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	· .	
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	
11		

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

1

2

3

4

5

1.1 As You Sow ("AYS") is a non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, the protection of the environment, the promotion of human health, the improvement of worker and consumer rights, environmental education, and corporate accountability. AYS is based in Oakland, California and is incorporated under the laws of the State of California.

6 1.2 Between July 18, 2014 and November 10, 2017, AYS sent Proposition 65
7 (California Health & Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq.) 60-day Notices of Violation
8 ("Notices") to various companies, including all of those companies identified as "Initial Settling
9 Defendants" (as defined in Section 2) that are listed in Exhibit A. The Notices were also sent
10 by AYS to all relevant public enforcers, as required by Health & Safety Code Section
11 25249.7(d).

12 1.3 In the Notices, AYS states that that the Chocolate Products manufactured,
13 distributed, and/or sold by the noticed companies, which are offered for sale to California
14 consumers, and/or which are used in products offered for sale to consumers in California, cause
15 exposures to lead and/or cadmium and that such Chocolate Products require warnings under
16 Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6.

17 1.4 After AYS's October 24, 2017 Notice has run its course, and assuming no authorized public prosecutor has filed a superseding claim, AYS individually and on behalf of 18 the public interest, will amend a Proposition 65 enforcement action concerning lead and 19 cadmium in the Chocolate Products that it had previously filed in the Superior Court of the State 20of California for the County of San Francisco, Case No. CGC-15-548791 (the "Action") in 21 contemplation of a motion for approval and entry of this Consent Judgment. The amended 22 complaint filed in the Action asserted a cause of action against each of the Initial Settling 23 Defendants for the alleged failure to warn under Proposition 65 on the basis of the allegations 24 25 contained in the Notices.

1.5 Each Initial Settling Defendant (as defined in Section 2 and listed on Exhibit A)
employs ten or more employees and manufactures, imports, distributes, sells, and/or directly or
indirectly offers for sale in California Chocolate Products or has done so in the past. Each Initial

Settling Defendant represents that, as of the date it executes this Consent Judgment, no public
 enforcer is diligently prosecuting a Proposition 65 action against it related to lead or cadmium in
 its Chocolate Products.

For the purpose of avoiding prolonged and costly litigation concerning the claims 4 1.6 and defenses in this Action, the Parties (as defined in Section 2) enter into this Consent .5 Judgment as a full settlement of all Proposition 65 claims that were raised in the Action, or 6 7 which could have been raised in the Action, based on the facts alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants do not admit any violation of Proposition 65 or any 8 9 other law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall 10 not diminish or affect the responsibilities and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment. 11 2. DEFINITIONS 12

2.1 "Chocolate Product" means chocolate candy; chocolate bars, pieces, chips,
beverages, and chocolate-based confections with or without inclusions; cocoa nibs and cocoa
powder; chocolate and cacao-based compounds in any form; and other products derived
primarily (i.e., in excess of 50%) from cacao. Chocolate Products include the preceding as sold
on a standalone basis and/or as sold to be used as ingredients in other foods into which they are
incorporated.

19

2.2 "Compliance Date" means one year following the Effective Date.

20 2.3 "Consensus Basis" means a creative and dynamic way of reaching agreement between all members of a group. Instead of simply voting for an item and having the majority 21 22 of the group dictate the outcome, a group using consensus is committed to finding solutions that each member of the group actively supports, or at least can live with and that all opinions, ideas 23 24 and concerns are taken into account. A Consensus Basis does not reflect compromise or unanimity - it aims to go further by weaving together everyone's best ideas and key concerns. It 25 is an acceptable resolution, one that can be supported, even if not the "favorite" of each 26 individual. Multiple concerns and information shall be shared until the sense of the group is 27 clear. Ideas and solutions belong to the group; no names are recorded. The group as a whole is 28

responsible for the decision and the decision belongs to the group. The goal is unity, not
 unanimity.

3 2.4 "Covered Product" means a Chocolate Product that is manufactured, imported,
4 distributed, or sold by a Settling Defendant, including, but not limited to, the exemplar
5 Chocolate Product identified in a 60-Day Notice of Violation served on the Settling Defendant
6 by AYS.

7 2.5 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the
8 Court.

9 "Feasible" means capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a 2.6 reasonable period of time, taking into account public health, and economic, environmental, 10 social, and technological factors. In considering whether an action or performance level is 11 Feasible, consideration shall be given, among other things, to scaling as to the size and resources 12 of the potential implementing enterprise involved, the implementing enterprise's place and role 13 within the chain of commerce, the prior demonstration of the viability of the concept or 14 technology at issue at both the research and actual commercial application scale, and the nature 15 of the issue being addressed. 16

2.7 "Lot" means all units of a given Chocolate Product bearing the same lot number,
best-by, or sell-by date.

2.8 "Opt-In Settling Defendants" refers to Settling Defendants that join into this
Consent Judgment pursuant to the procedure established in Section 7.

2.9 "Opt-In Stipulation" means a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in the form
attached hereto as Exhibit B, for execution by a prospective Opt-In Settling Defendant pursuant
to the procedure established in Section 7.

24 2.10 "Parties" means AYS and Settling Defendants taken together; a "Party" means As
25 You Sow or any particular Settling Defendant taken individually.

26 2.11 "Settling Defendant" means a defendant who is a Party to this Consent Judgment
27 at the time it is entered, or who opts in to this Consent Judgment any time after its entry
28 pursuant to the procedure established in Section 7. The former are also specifically referred to

herein as "Initial Settling Defendants" (i.e., the companies specifically listed on Exhibit A) and
 the latter are also specifically referred to herein as "Opt-In Settling Defendants."

# 3. INVESTIGATION REGARDING SOURCES AND POTENTIAL FOR REDUCTION OF LEAD AND CADMIUM IN CHOCOLATE PRODUCTS

3.1 **Overview.** An expert committee ("Committee") shall be formed to investigate and report on the predominant sources of lead and cadmium in Chocolate Products, and to make findings and recommendations on Feasible measures that may be taken, if any, to meaningfully reduce levels of lead and cadmium found in Chocolate Products. The Committee's charges include:

3.1.1. Researching and identifying the sources of lead and cadmium levels in chocolate products, including both natural and anthropogenic sources ("Root Cause Phase");

3.1.2. Identifying and making recommendations regarding Feasible means to reduce lead and cadmium levels over the nearer and longer term, such as through agricultural practices, manufacturing practices, and handling practices ("Reduction Recommendations Phase");

3.1.3. Evaluating and making recommendations as to whether, and, if so, when, the lead and cadmium concentration levels in Chocolate Products that trigger Proposition 65 warnings shall be modified from the "drop down" levels described in Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 of this Consent Judgment based on Feasible means to reduce lead and cadmium levels over time ("Warning Trigger Phase");

3.1.4. Preparing and submitting a final report with all Consensus Based Committee findings and recommendations, including an appendix setting forth significant areas where consensus could not be achieved, an appendix setting forth any significant conflicting opinions on aspects of a Consensus Based finding where they exist, and an appendix containing complete citations for all source materials used ("Final Report Phase"); and

3.1.5. Providing an oral presentation to the Parties to address follow up questions or inquiries regarding the final report at a Committee member's discretion.

5

3.2 Formation and Direction of the Committee. The Committee shall consist of four
 subject matter experts ("SMEs") and be supported by a retained project manager ("Project
 Manager"). Within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the Effective Date, AYS, on the one
 hand, and the Initial Settling Defendants, on the other hand, shall each appoint a qualified SME
 to the Committee and shall jointly agree upon and appoint a third and fourth SME to the
 Committee. These four individuals shall form the Committee.

7

3.2.1. SMEs shall be selected based on the following criteria:

8 (a) One SME selected by the Chocolate Industry with a relevant
9 background and expertise.

10(b) One SME selected by As You Sow with a relevant background and11expertise.

(c) One additional SME with potential cadmium and cocoa expertise as
 mutually identified with relevant backgrounds and expertise.

(d) One additional SME with potential lead and cocoa expertise as
mutually identified with relevant background and expertise.

3.2.2. In addition to the SMEs, one Project Manager shall be mutually identified
with relevant project management experience, who will provide administrative and logistical
support to the Committee, facilitate discussion among the Committee members, and help
manage its budget and keep it organized and on schedule in addressing the requirements and
timelines set forth in this Consent Judgment.

21 3.2.3. In the event a member of the Committee can no longer perform their duties, a replacement member shall be appointed by the original nominating Party or Parties. AYS and 22 23 the Initial Settling Defendants shall jointly agree on the replacement for a member who was originally jointly appointed. The Party or Parties appointing a replacement member shall first 24 confer with the remaining members of the Committee concerning potential replacement 25 nominees and solicit their input on appropriate candidates and qualifications. In the event of a 26 resignation of the retained project manager, AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants shall jointly 27 28 agree upon and arrange for the prompt retention of a replacement.

<u>6</u>

1 3.2.4. Within one hundred fifty (150) days of the Effective Date, AYS and the 2 Initial Settling Defendants, or a representative acting on the Initial Settling Defendants' 3 collective behalves, shall hold a kickoff meeting with the Committee and the retained project manager to review the Scope of Work attached hereto as Exhibit C, which shall be provided to 4 the Committee members and the Project Manager along with a copy of this Consent Judgment 5 upon the Committee's formation. The Scope of Work shall, following clarifications or 6 modifications agreed upon by AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants made at or after the 7 kickoff meeting, guide the Committee's investigation and be binding on the Parties. 8

9

#### 3.3 Operation of Committee.

10 3.3.1. The Committee shall operate on a Consensus Basis and within the budget agreed upon by the Parties. It shall accept reasonable and relevant input from any willing source 11 available and evaluate its content based on professional standards and judgment consistent with 12 the Committee members' prior experience. The preliminary allocation of this budget to 13 different aspects of the Committee's work is set forth below, but it and the overall level of 14 expenditure will be subject to adjustment by mutual agreement of AYS and the Initial Settling 15 16 Defendants based on a request received from the Project Manager at or following the kickoff meeting referred to in Section 3.2.4. In no event shall the budget, inclusive of the cost of the 17 Project Manager, exceed the aggregate cap agreed upon by the Parties under Section 8.5 of this 18 19 Consent Judgment.

20 3.3.2. Approximately three quarters (3/4ths) of the Committee's overall budget 21 shall be devoted to its investigative and assessment activities (including as supported by the 22 Project Manager), and one quarter (1/4th) of the Committee's overall budget shall be devoted to formulating its findings and preparing the final report, unless the Committee determines that 23 24 another allocation of funds is more appropriate, and the Project Manager informs and obtains the 25 approval of AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants of such a revised allocation. The Committee shall complete the Root Cause Phase within nine (9) months from the date of the 26 kickoff meeting established pursuant to Section 3.2.4; the Committee shall complete the 27 Reduction Recommendations Phase within eighteen (18) months from the date of the kickoff 28

meeting established pursuant to Section 3.2.4. Through the Project Manager, the Committee 1 shall provide AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants with brief quarterly progress reports 2 concerning the status of the relevant investigation and the overall budget and identify any 3 significant obstacles that may have arisen relative to timely completion of the Committee's 4 work. The deadlines set forth in this Section 3.3.2 may be modified with the agreement of both 5 AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants based on a significant obstacle to timely completion that 6 has been identified in a progress report or otherwise presented by Project Manager due to 7 8 unforeseeable circumstances or other reasonable and justifiable need.

9 3.3.3. Members of the Committee and the Project Manager shall sign a confidentiality agreement concerning their work undertaken pursuant to this Consent Judgment 10 and shall not be subject to deposition concerning such work. Nor shall internal working 11 documents created by members of the Committee or the Project Manager during the course of 12 their work pursuant to this Consent Judgment, such as notes and drafts reflecting their mental 13 impressions, be discoverable or otherwise disclosed. Documents the Committee or its members 14 have obtained from public sources that members of the Committee have relied on to reach the 15 16 recommendations they provide pursuant to Section 3.3.4 are not subject to the discovery restrictions of this Section. The final report the Committee issues pursuant to Section 3.3.4 shall 17 likewise be subject to disclosure and may be made publically available by any Party, as long as 18 any information that is subject to the requirements of Section 3.4.4 have been redacted from it. 19

3.3.4. No later than one hundred eighty (180) days after the conclusion of the
Root Cause Phase and the Reduction Recommendation Phase, the Committee shall complete the
Warning Trigger Phase and Final Report Phase and issue its final report to the Parties regarding
the findings and recommendations the Committee. Subject to Sections 3.3.3 and 3.4.4, AYS
and the Initial Settling Defendants shall have access to review the materials upon which the
Committee has relied in performing its work, which the Project Manager shall arrange to
preserve for a period of five (5) years.

27 28

1

# 3.4 Means of Investigation by the Committee.

2 3.4.1. As described in the Scope of Work, the Committee's investigation should 3 be based on a number of sources (whether existing or produced at the Committee's request), including an initial literature review (including peer-reviewed published articles to the extent 4 5 possible); reviewing test data regarding cocoa beans and Chocolate Products; testing of production equipment, packaging and equipment and materials used in the drying and storage of 6 cocoa beans and testing of cocoa beans and Chocolate Products (including in relation to testing 7 of production equipment, product packaging, and drying and storage methods); travel to cocoa 8 growing areas and processing plants; and interviews with academics, government agencies, 9 10 chocolate growers, chocolate suppliers, and chocolate manufacturers or others who have relevant expertise on the subject. The Committee may also consult with outside subject matter 11 12 experts that are necessary to assist in the Committee's work.

3.4.2. To inform the investigation, each Initial Settling Defendant shall make
available to the Committee, on a rolling basis and, at most, within one hundred twenty (120)
days of the Effective Date, relevant information in its possession regarding lead and cadmium in
chocolate, including relevant test data regarding cocoa beans, cocoa butter, and/or Chocolate
Products and the results of any prior non-privileged substantive investigations into the sources
of lead and cadmium in cocoa beans and/or Chocolate Products.

3.4.3. The Committee may, at any time, reasonably request further information
(including existing test data) and/or samples of cocoa beans and Chocolate Products from one or
more Settling Defendants relevant to the Scope(s) of Work and the relevant Settling Defendants
shall make a good-faith effort to provide such requested information to the Committee within
thirty (30) days of the Committee's reasonable request.

3.4.4. Any information provided to the Committee may be provided anonymously
(i.e., on a blinded basis and through an intermediary entity such as a trade association or law
firm) in order to protect proprietary or commercially sensitive business information, and the
members of the Committee shall not disclose or disseminate to others any information they
receive from a Settling Defendant or, if it has been provided to the Committee through another

entity, the source from which the Committee obtained such Settling Defendant-originated
 information.

# **4. IMPLEMENTATION OF INVESTIGATION FINDINGS**

4 4.1 Within one hundred twenty (120) days of the issuance of the Committee's final 5 report pursuant to Section 3.3.4, AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants (or a designated representative thereof) shall begin to meet and confer to determine whether the drop down 6 warning triggers set forth under Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 of the Consent Judgment should be 7 modified based on the final report of the Committee. Such meet and confer period should 8 extend for a period of at least ninety (90) days, unless AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants 9 mutually agree to extend it, including to allow for the involvement of a mediator. Following the 10 conclusion of this meet and confer process, and no earlier than one hundred and twenty (120) 11 days after the commencement of the meet and confer process, AYS and/or the Initial Settling 12 Defendants may, as informed by the Committee's final report, jointly stipulate to or individually 13 move for a modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 10, including with respect 14 to any upward or downward adjustments of the drop down lead and cadmium warning triggers 15 set forth under Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2. Any Party may oppose such a modification before the 16 Court. If entered by the Court, such a modification shall be binding on and effective as to all 17 Settling Defendants, including Opt-In Settling Defendants, one year following written notice to 18 19 them of the Court's action approving of the modification.

4.2 If no modification is entered by the Court pursuant to Section 4.1, the "drop
down" warning triggers set forth in Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 shall automatically go into effect as
scheduled.

23

3

# 5. BEST PRACTICES AND COMPLIANCE VERIFICATION TESTING

5.1 Required Provisional Efforts to Ensure Lowest Level Currently Feasible.
During the Committee's investigation and while the resulting meet and confer and any
modification process is underway, all Settling Defendants who are cocoa, chocolate, or candy
manufacturers (as opposed to retailers or distributors) must certify to AYS annually for five (5)
years following the Compliance Date that they have complied with FDA's applicable Good

Manufacturing Practice and Preventive Controls for Human Food requirements (21 C.F.R. Part
 117) for their Covered Products distributed and sold in the United States as reviewed by a
 qualified internal or third party food safety auditor.

4 5.2 Compliance Verification Testing and Enforcement Procedures. Utilizing the funding provided by the Settling Defendants under Section 8.6, AYS will conduct compliance 5 verification testing after the requirements of Section 6 of this Consent Judgment become 6 effective. Any such testing and the provisions set forth in the remainder of this Section 5 shall 7 govern AYS's enforcement of Section 6 of this Consent Judgment. AYS's compliance 8 verification testing conducted pursuant to this Section 5 shall only utilize Covered Product 9 samples that (a) AYS obtains only from the California market or which are shipped to a 10 California address as the result of a purchase by AYS or its investigators via the internet, (b) 11 have been manufactured following the Compliance Date as identified by the Covered Product's 12 13 Lot identifier, and (c) do not bear the warning required by Section 6.3.

14 5.3 In conducting compliance verification testing, AYS shall use a laboratory that
15 employs inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry ("ICP-MS") utilizing scientifically
16 appropriate adherence to the protocols set forth in AOAC Method 2015.01 with a LOD/LOQ of
17 0.010 ppm or less.

# 5.4 **Outlier Test Results.**

18

19 5.4.1. Any single test result obtained that exceeds 0.300 ppm of lead for a product
20 with less than 95% cacao content or 0.450 ppm of lead for a product with 95% or greater cacao
21 content; or 0.900 ppm of cadmium for a product with less than 95% cacao content or 1.92 ppm
22 of cadmium for a product with 95% or greater cacao content shall be deemed a potential
23 "Outlier."

5.4.2. At a Settling Defendant's option, any single Outlier test result must be
subject to validation before it is deemed to be an effective result for purposes of this Consent
Judgment. The validation process shall consist of two steps:

27 (a) First, the laboratory from which the test result in question was
28 obtained shall be required to check its equipment, test processes, validation procedures,

laboratory contamination, operator error, and any other factors which could have produced an
 erroneous result. If the result is determined erroneous due to testing error or failure to satisfy
 quality assurance or quality control procedures, the result shall be discarded and not used for any
 purpose under this Consent Judgment. The Covered Product may then be re-tested as if such
 test were the first test.

6 (b) Second, if the steps in Section 5.4.2(a) have not invalidated the 7 result, then the Settling Defendant may, in preparation for the meet and confer process set forth 8 in Section 5.5.4 and no later than sixty (60) days after the notice of violation is sent, collect up to 9 three (3) or more randomly selected samples of the Covered Product from the same Lot as the 10 Covered Product subject to the Notice of Violation. AYS, at its option, can also test up to three 11 (3) more products from the same Lot and have those additional products tested. The arithmetic 12 mean of these test results and the original Outlier test result shall then be determined. That 13 mean result shall be deemed the final result concerning the sample in question and shall 14 constitute the applicable test result for purposes of this Consent Judgment. If, between a 15 Settling Defendant and AYS, less than two (2) additional Covered Product samples from the 16 same Lot remain in either the Settling Defendant's or AYS's control or can reasonably be 17 acquired through purchase, the Settling Defendant and/or AYS may use such randomly selected 18 samples of the Covered Product as produced in the same calendar quarter as is indicated by the 19 Lot identifier of the potential Outlier sample.

20 21 5.5 Stipulated Enforcement Process.

5.5.1. <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that AYS obtains qualified laboratory test
results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable limit or limits set forth in
Section 6.2, it may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 5.5. For the purposes of
this Consent Judgment, "Notice of Violation" shall mean violations of the Consent Judgment
and is not a sixty day notice subject to the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title
27 § 25903.

# 5.5.2. Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

(a) The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 19 to receive notices for the Settling Defendant in question, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was tested or the test result in question was acquired by AYS, provided, however, that AYS may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding AYS's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 5.4.2(a) cannot be obtained by AYS from the laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date 9 (b) the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was 10 purchased; (c) the name of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including 11 the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the 12 product packaging, which identifies the product's UPC number and lot number/best-by/sell-by 13 date; and (d) all compliance verification test data obtained by AYS regarding the Covered 14 15 Product, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports 16 associated with testing of the Covered Product.

5.5.3. Notice of Election of Response. No more than thirty (30) days after
effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, the Settling Defendant in question shall provide
written notice to AYS whether such Settling Defendant elects to contest the allegations
contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Upon notice to AYS, Settling
Defendant may have up to an additional thirty (30) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling
Defendant's good faith efforts, a Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by
AYS before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

(a) If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
include all documents upon which the Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged
violation, including any test data that it wishes to rely on, including any laboratory reports,
quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Product. (Such test data may include, but not be limited to, the test results obtained under
 Section 5.4.2(b), if applicable.)

3 5.5.4. Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, AYS and the Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) 4 days of serving a Notice of Election, a Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of 5 Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, 6 provided, however, that, in this circumstance, such Settling Defendant shall pay AYS's actual 7 documented fees and costs up to a cap of \$5,000, in addition to the payments specified in 8 Sections 5.5 and 5.6. for violations of the Consent Judgment. At any time, AYS may withdraw 9 a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of Section 5.6, the result shall be as if AYS 10 never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation 11 results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, AYS may file an enforcement 12 motion or application pursuant to Section 16. In any such proceeding, AYS may seek whatever 13 fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged 14 failure to comply with Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment. 15

16 5.5.5. <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If a Settling Defendant elects to not contest the
17 allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action and make payments as
18 set forth below.

19 A Settling Defendant electing to not contest shall include in its (a) Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective 20 action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any 21 such correction shall provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same 22 UPC number and Lot identifier as that of the Covered Product identified in AYS's Notice of 23 Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in the State of California 24 or offered for sale to California customers by the Settling Defendant without the product 25 warning language specified in Section 6.3 having been provided prior to its sale, and that the 26 Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed 27 Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California 28 14 PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 consumers unless such product warning language is provided in conjunction with its sale. 2 Settling Defendant shall also document the additional steps, including product testing and/or 3 modification, that it will take to ensure that future Lots of the same Covered Product comply 4 with the requirements of the Consent Judgment. A Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of 5 one year and provide to AYS documentation confirming its implementation regarding the 6 foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Settling Defendant and AYS shall 7 meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall AYS issue to a Settling 8 Defendant more than one Notice of Violation per Covered Product within a calendar quarter.

9 (b) If the Notice of Violation is the first Notice of Violation received by 10 the Settling Defendant for a type of Covered Product (as identified by its UPC code) under Section 5.5.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then a Settling Defendant as 11 12 defined by Sections 8.1.1 or 8.1.4 shall pay \$10,000, a Settling Defendant as defined by 13 Sections 8.1.2, 8.1.5, or 8.1.7 shall pay \$7,500, and a Settling Defendant as defined by Sections 8.1.3, 8.1.6, or 8.1.8 shall pay \$5,000, for each Notice of Violation. If the Notice of Violation is 14 15 the second Notice of Violation received by the Settling Defendant for a Covered Product under 16 Section 5.5.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then a Settling Defendant as 17 defined by Sections 8.1.1 or 8.1.4 shall pay \$15,000, a Settling Defendant as defined by Sections 8.1.2, 8.1.5, or 8.1.7 shall pay \$12,500, and a Settling Defendant as defined by Sections 8.1.3, 18 8.1.6, or 8.1.8 shall pay \$10,000, for each Notice of Violation. If the Notice of Violation is the 19 20 third or fourth Notice of Violation received by the Settling Defendant for a Covered Product 21 under Section 5.5.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then a Settling Defendant 22 as defined by Sections 8.1.1 or 8.1.4 shall pay \$25,000, a Settling Defendant as defined by 23 Sections 8.1.2, 8.1.5, or 8.1.7 shall pay \$20,000, and a Settling Defendant as defined by Sections 8.1.3, 8.1.6, or 8.1.8 shall pay \$15,000, for each Notice of Violation. 24

(c) If a Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data
for the Covered Product (as identified by its UPC code and a best-by or sell-by date falling
within the same calendar quarter) that: (i) was obtained prior to the date AYS gave Notice of
Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product (as identified by its UPC

1 (iii) demonstrates levels below the applicable warning triggers set forth in Section 6.2.1 and 6.2.2, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by one hundred percent 2 (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, except that the Settling Defendant shall reimburse AYS 3 4 for AYS's actual documented fees and costs associated with testing the Covered Product up to 5 limit of \$5,000. Pursuant to Section 5.5.5(a), the Settling Defendant shall also document the 6 measures, including product testing, warnings, and/or modification, that it will take to ensure 7 that future Lots of the same Covered Product comply with the requirements of the Consent 8 Judgment.

5.5.6. <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 5.5.5 shall be made by check
payable to "As You Sow" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of
Election triggering a payment and shall be used by AYS as reimbursement for costs for
investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse
attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities. To the extent such
reimbursement exceeds AYS's associated costs, AYS will use these funds to replenish the
Compliance Testing Fund established pursuant to Section 8.6 of this Consent Judgment.

16 5.6 **Repeat Violations.** If a Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation concerning the same Covered Product that were not successfully contested 17 or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at AYS's option, AYS may seek whatever fines, 18 costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply 19 20 with Proposition 65. Prior to seeking such relief, AYS shall meet and confer with the Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if the Settling Defendant and AYS can 21 22 instead agree on measures that the Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged 23 violations.

# 6. INJUNCTION GOVERNING COVERED PRODUCT FORMULATIONS AND WARNINGS

6.1 Applicability. Covered Products subject to this Consent Judgment are subject to the requirements for Proposition 65 warnings set forth in Sections 6.3, 6.4, and 6.5, as applicable, depending on their cacao content (by %) and lead and cadmium levels as further

26

27

specified in Section 6.2. The requirements set forth in this Section 6 shall apply only to Covered
 Products: (a) manufactured on or following the Compliance Date, and (b) which are (i) sold or
 may be offered for sale to California consumers ("consumer products") or (ii) sold or may be
 offered for sale as inputs to Covered Products ("non-consumer products") which are sold or may
 be offered for sale to California consumers.

6

7

6.2

#### Product Warning Triggers.

#### 6.2.1. Product Warning Triggers Based on Lead Concentration Levels.

8 (a) For Covered Products with up to 65% cacao content: Product 9 Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated lead concentration level exceeds 10 0.100 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of the Compliance Date, the 11 foregoing lead concentration level shall be deemed to have been reduced to 0.065 ppm unless (i) 12 the Committee has recommended that the 0.100 ppm lead concentration level be continued, a 13 lead concentration level between 0.100 and 0.065 ppm be instituted, or that a lead concentration level less than 0.065 ppm replace the 0.065 ppm level, and (ii) a modification of this Consent 14 15 Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall supersede the drop down to 0.065 16 ppm.

. 17

(b) For Covered Products with greater than 65% and up to 95% cacao content: Product Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated lead concentration 18 19 level exceeds 0.150 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of the Compliance 20 Date, the foregoing lead concentration level shall be deemed to have been reduced to 0.100 ppm 21 unless (i) the Committee has recommended that the 0.150 ppm lead concentration level be 22 continued, a lead concentration level between 0.150 and 0.100 ppm be instituted, or that a lead 23 concentration level less than 0.100 ppm replace the 0.100 ppm level, and (ii) a modification of 24 this Consent Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall supersede the drop 25 down to 0.100 ppm.

(c) For Covered Products with greater than 95% cacao content: Product
Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated lead concentration level exceeds
0.225 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of the Compliance Date, the

1 || foregoing lead concentration level shall be deemed to have been reduced to 0.200 ppm unless (i) 2 the Committee has recommended that the 0.225 ppm lead concentration level be continued, a 3 lead concentration level between 0.225 and 0.200 ppm be instituted, or that a lead concentration less than 0.200 ppm replace the 0.200 ppm level, and (ii) a modification of this Consent 4 Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall supersede the drop down to 0.200 5 6 ppm.

7 8

(a)

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

#### 6.2.2. Product Warning Triggers Based on Cadmium Concentration Levels.

For Covered Products with up to 65% cacao content: Product Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated cadmium concentration level exceeds 9 10 0.400 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of the Compliance Date, the 11 foregoing cadmium concentration level shall be deemed to have been reduced to 0.320 ppm 12 unless (i) the Committee has recommended that the 0.400 ppm cadmium concentration level be 13 continued, a cadmium concentration level between 0.400 and 0.320 ppm be instituted, or that a 14 cadmium concentration level less than 0.320 ppm replace the 0.320 ppm level, and (ii) a 15 modification of this Consent Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall 16 supersede the drop down to 0.320 ppm.

17 (b) For Covered Products with greater than 65% and up to 95% cacao 18 content: Product Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated cadmium concentration level exceeds 0.450 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of 19 the Compliance Date, the foregoing cadmium concentration level shall be deemed to have been 20 reduced to 0.400 ppm unless (i) the Committee has recommended that the 0.450 ppm lead 21 22 concentration level be continued, a cadmium concentration level between 0.450 and 0.400 ppm be instituted, or that a cadmium concentration level less than 0.400 ppm replace the 0.400 ppm 23 24 level, and (ii) a modification of this Consent Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall supersede the drop down to 0.400 ppm. 25

26 (c) For Covered Products with greater than 95% cacao content: Product 27 Warnings are required if the Covered Product's associated cadmium concentration level exceeds 0.960 ppm, provided, however, that as of the sixth anniversary of the Compliance Date, the 28 18 PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

foregoing cadmium concentration level shall be deemed to have been reduced to 0.800 ppm
 unless (i) the Committee has recommended that the 0.960 ppm cadmium concentration level be
 continued, a cadmium concentration level between 0.960 and 0.800 ppm be instituted, or that a
 cadmium concentration level less than 0.800 ppm replace the 0.800 ppm level, and (ii) a
 modification of this Consent Judgment has been entered which reflects the level that shall
 supersede the drop down to 0.800 ppm.

6.3 Product Warning Language. Following the Compliance Date, the following
Product Warning statement shall be used on any Covered Product authorized or offered for sale
in the State of California which exceeds an applicable trigger as set forth in Section 6.2:

**WARNING:** Consuming this product may expose you to chemicals including lead and cadmium, which are known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For further information go to <u>www.p65warnings.ca.gov</u>

13 The bracketed language in the preceding Product Warning statement may be deleted or included14 at a Settling Defendant's option.

15

10

11

12

# 6.4 Method of Transmission for Product Warnings.

16 6.4.1. Labeling Requirement. Where required pursuant to Sections 6.1 and 6.2, 17 the Product Warning statement set forth in Section 6.3 shall be placed on a consumer Covered Product's labeling with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, or 18 designs on the labeling or packaging as to render it likely to be read and understood by an 19 ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. The Product Warning 20 statement shall be displayed in at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety 21 22 warnings or chemical or allergen disclosures on the Covered Product's label. The Product 23 Warning statement shall be contained in the same section of the label that states other safety warnings or chemical/allergen disclosures about the Covered Product. 24

6.4.2. Warning for a Settling Defendant's Internet Sales. In addition to
complying with the labeling requirement in subsection 6.4.1, Settling Defendants who maintain
their own websites that sell Covered Products to a California consumer, must also provide
Product Warnings, where required for a Covered Product under Sections 6.1 and 6.2, by

including either the Product Warning statement set forth in Section 6.3 or a clearly marked
hyperlink using the word "WARNING" to such a Product Warning statement, either: (a) on the
product display page for the Covered Product, (b) on the same page as serves as the order form
for the Covered Product, (c) on the same page as where the price for the Covered Product is
otherwise displayed; or (d) in a dialogue box that appears and is visible when a California
address for delivery is provided by the consumer (but only if the dialogue box appears prior to
the completion of the internet sale).

8 6.5 Non-Consumer Chocolate Products. The Product Warning requirements set forth in this Section 6 do not directly apply to commercial, non-consumer Chocolate Products 9 10 that are intended to be used as ingredients in consumer products, as long as such products are not made available for sale directly to California consumers. However, Settling Defendants that 11 manufacture, distribute or sell commercial, non-consumer Chocolate Products that do exceed the 12 13 limits set forth in Section 6.2 shall advise their customers in writing at least annually that 14 consumer products made with their commercial products may not be offered for sale in the State 15 of California without the applicable warning as set forth in Section 6.3 and 6.4, unless the 16 resulting consumer products do not exceed the limits as set forth in Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2.

6.6 Any changes to the language or format of the Product Warnings required under
this Section 6 shall be made only after Court approval and following written notice to AYS and
to the California Attorney General.

20 7. OPT-IN PROGRAM

7.1 This Consent Judgment is executed with the understanding that additional persons
and entities who manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale Chocolate Products in the State of
California may wish to subscribe to the terms of this Consent Judgment. Each Opt-In Settling
Defendant that has not already received a 60-Day Notice of Violation from AYS concerning the
range of Chocolate Products it wishes to address through the Opt-In must be able to certify to
the facts enumerated in Section 7.3.

7.2 At any time before one hundred eighty (180) days following the Effective Date,
prospective Opt-In Settling Defendants who are willing to confirm the representations listed in

Section 7.3 may become Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment by executing an Opt In Stipulation as provided in Section 7.3 and tendering the applicable payment amounts, as set
 forth in Section 8. Each Opt-In Defendant that has not received a 60-day Notice of Violation
 from AYS for the Chocolate Products to be covered by this Consent Judgment shall cooperate
 with AYS in providing an evidentiary basis upon which AYS can complete a certificate of merit
 for the 60-day Notice of Violation, as required by Health and Safety Code section
 25249.7(d)(1).

8 7.3 Each Opt-In Settling Defendant shall execute and deliver to AYS an Opt-In 9 Stipulation in the general form appearing as Exhibit B hereto identifying whether the Opt-In 10 Settling Defendant has manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, or offered for use and sale in 11 the State of California Chocolate Products, and certifying to the following facts: (1) it employs 12 ten or more persons; (2) it manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, or offered for use and sale 13 in the State of California one or more specifically identified Chocolate Products without a "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warning during the preceding year; and (3) it knows or has 14 reason to believe that one or more of the identified Chocolate Products has in the past contained 15 or presently contains lead or cadmium in excess of at least one of the drop down levels set forth 16 17 in Section 6.2.1 or 6.2.2.

7.4 18 Not later than ninety (90) days after AYS receives a completed Opt-In Stipulation 19 for a prospective Opt-In Settling Defendant for which a 60-day Notice of Violation has not been 20 issued, any additional information and representations necessary to support a 60-day Notice of 21 Violation, and payment of the applicable payments required in Section 8, AYS shall serve a Notice of Violation pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d) on the 22 23 Opt-In Settling Defendant, to the California Attorney General, to every California District 24 Attorney and every California city attorney required to receive such a notice pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7. 25

7.5 No earlier than seventy (70) days from the date specified in the Notice of
Violation sent to an Opt-In Settling Defendant, and provided that no authorized public
prosecutor of Proposition 65 has filed an action against that Opt-In Settling Defendant for

1 alleged exposures to lead or cadmium in the Covered Products and is diligently prosecuting it, 2 AYS shall file in this Court an application for entry of the executed Opt-In Stipulation that AYS 3 has received pursuant to this Section 7 and shall serve notice thereof on all other Settling 4 Defendants. If the Court approves the application for entry of the Opt-In Stipulation, the Complaint shall be deemed to have been amended to specifically name the Opt-In Settling 5 Defendant that executed the Opt-In Stipulation as named defendants in this Action, and each 6 7 such Opt-In Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be a full Settling Defendant under this 8 Consent Judgment, with all applicable obligations and rights conferred by this Consent 9 Judgment.

10 7.6 In the event that a public prosecutor is diligently prosecuting an Opt-In Settling
11 Defendant's alleged Proposition 65 violation prior to the expiration of the 60-day notice period,
12 AYS shall refund the full payment made by that Opt-In Settling Defendant and have no further
13 obligation to that Opt-In Settling Defendant under this Section 7.

14 7.7 At the time AYS files the final application for entry of the Opt-In Stipulations with 15 the Court pursuant to Section 7.5, it shall prepare and file with the Court and serve on the California Attorney General, an application for approval of the Opt-In Stipulations pursuant to 16 17 Section 8.2. The application shall be supported by one or more declarations reporting the results of the opt-in program, including all expenses and attorneys' fees incurred by AYS's counsel 18 19 with respect to the lead and cadmium enforcement process and opt-in process to date. In the event that the application demonstrates that the total amount of the expenses and attorneys' fees 20 incurred by AYS is less than the total amount of reimbursement provided pursuant to Section 21 22 8.2, AYS shall within thirty (30) days disgorge any attorneys' fees and costs reimbursements in excess of its costs actually incurred in AYS's chocolate-related Proposition 65 matters to the 23 Initial Settling Defendants as set forth in Section 8.2 below. 24

7.8 Opt-In Settling Defendants are subject to the timelines as set forth in Sections 5
and 6 of this Consent Judgment, without modification.

1	8. MONETARY RELIEF	
2	8.1 For the purposes of this Section 8 regarding monetary relief, Settling Defendants	
3	shall fall into one of the following categories and provide certification of their qualifications	
4	therefore to AYS upon request:	
5 6	8.1.1. <u>Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products of \$500 Million or more	
0 7 8	8.1.2. <u>Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products in excess of \$50 Million but less than \$500 Million	
o 9	8.1.3. <u>Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products of less than \$50 Million	
10 11	8.1.4. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products of \$500 Million or more	
11	8.1.5. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products of \$50 Million but less than \$500 Million	
13 14	8.1.6. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products of less than \$50 Million	
15 16	8.1.7. <u>Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products not subject to downstream release of \$100 Million or more	
17 18	8.1.8. <u>Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u> : Total annual U.S. sales of Covered Products not subject to downstream release of less than \$100 Million.	
19	8.2 Fee Reimbursement. Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, the Initial	
20	Settling Defendants, or an entity acting on their behalves, shall collectively reimburse AYS's	
21	attorneys' fees, investigative costs, and other reasonable litigation costs and expenses in the	
22	aggregate amount of \$900,000. The individual amounts set forth in Sections 8.2.1 through 8.2.8	
23	shall apply to the calculation of fee reimbursement payments for Opt-In Settling Defendants	
24	pursuant to Section 7 and shall be reimbursed to the Initial Settling Defendants by AYS within	
25	sixty (60) days of the Court's action on the application AYS must file pursuant to Section 7.7.	
26	AYS shall make this reimbursement through a lump sum payment to Morrison and Foerster	
27	Client Trust LLP (or an alternate designee of the Initial Settling Defendants), which will	
28	distribute the funds on a pro rata basis based on each Initial Settling Defendant's total payments 23 PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	

\_\_\_\_\_

 $( \$ 

1	made under this Section 8.2 relative to all other Initial Settling Defendants' total payments made		
2	under this Section 8.2 or pursuant to such other allocation as has been agreed upon among the		
3	Initial Settling Defendants. In the event the amount provided to the Initial Settling Defendants		
4	4 under this Section 8.2 and Section 8.5 exceeds the fees and costs the Initial Settling Defendants		
5	b have incurred with respect to this Consent Judgment, excluding any payments made under		
6	5 Sections 8.3, 8.4, or 8.6, but including the legal fees they have incurred in its development and		
7	7 approval and entry by the Court ("Settlement Related Costs"), the Initial Settling Defendants		
8	8 shall disgorge any such excess amount to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard		
9	Assessment ("OEHHA").		
10	8.2.1. Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$95,000		
11	8.2.2. Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$45,000		
12	8.2.3. Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$20,000		
13	8.2.4. Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer: \$95,000		
14	8.2.5. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer</u> : \$45,000		
15	8.2.6. Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer: \$20,000		
16	8.2.7. Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release:		
17	\$12,500		
18	8.2.8. <u>Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u> : \$10,000		
19	Ψ10,000		
20	8.3 <b>Civil Penalties.</b> Within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, each Initial Settling		
21	Defendant, or an entity acting on their collective behalves, shall also make the following		
22	payments to AYS as civil penalties, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), as		
23	set forth in Sections 8.3.1 through 8.3.8. The individual amounts set forth in Sections 8.3.1		
24	through 8.3.8 shall also apply to the calculation of payments for Opt-In Settling Defendants		
25	pursuant to Section 7. AYS shall remit 75% of the amount to the State of California pursuant to		
26	Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(b). Settling Defendants shall have no liability if		
27	payments to the State of California are not made by AYS.		
28	24		
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1

 $\langle \ \rangle$ 

8.3.1. Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$22,000 1 2 8.3.2. Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$16,500 3 8.3.3. Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$11,000 8.3.4. Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer: \$22,000 4 5 8.3.5. Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer: \$16.500 6 8.3.6. Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer: \$11,000 7 8.3.7. Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release: 8 \$16,500 8.3.8. <u>Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u>: \$11,000. 9 10 11 8.4 Additional Settlement Payments In Lieu Of Penalties. Additionally, within 12 thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, each Initial Settling Defendant, or an entity acting on their 13 collective behalves, shall make the following additional payments to AYS in lieu of additional 14 civil penalties, as set forth in Sections 8.4.1 through 8.4.8. The individual amounts set forth in 15 Sections 8.4.1 through 8.4.8 shall also apply to the calculation of payments for Opt-In Settling 16 Defendants pursuant to Section 7. These additional settlement payments may be used by AYS 17 for grants to California 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations and by AYS itself for research and 18 educational purposes associated with reducing or remediating exposures to lead and cadmium 19 contained in consumer products sold in California and/or to increase consumer awareness of the 20 health hazards posed by these chemicals in consumer products sold in California and how such 21 hazards may be mitigated, but may not be used by AYS or its grantees in support of litigation, or 22 for public relations, directed at the Settling Defendants or their Covered Products. In deciding 23 among grantee proposals, the AYS Board of Directors ("Board") takes into consideration a 24 number of important factors, including: (1) the nexus between the harm posed by lead and 25 cadmium in consumer products sold in California and the grant program work; (2) the potential 26 for lead or cadmium reduction, prevention, mitigation, remediation, or education benefits to 27 California citizens from the proposal; (3) the budget requirements of the proposed grantee and 28 the alternate funding sources available to it for its project; and (4) the Board's assessment of the PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

1	grantee's chances for success in its program work. AYS shall ensure that all funds will be	
2	disbursed and used in accordance with this Section 8.4, as well as with AYS's mission	
3	statement, articles of incorporation, bylaws, and applicable state and federal laws and	
4	regulations. AYS shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that the funds are	
5	spent on the activities described in this Section 8.4, and shall provide the Attorney General,	
6	within thirty (30) days of any request, copies of all documentation demonstrating how such	
7	funds have been spent. No party to this Consent Judgment, or counsel of record, or spouse or	
8	the second	
9	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
10	The payments required under this Section 8.4 are as follows:	
11	8.4.1. Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$3,875	
12	8.4.2. Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$2,125	
13	8.4.3. Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$500	
14	8.4.4. Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer: \$3,875	
15	8.4.5. Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer: \$2,125	
16	8.4.6. Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer: \$500	
17	8.4.7. <u>Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u> : \$2,125	
18	8.4.8. Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release:	
19	\$500.	
20	8.5 <b>Payments to Fund Committee's Work.</b> Additionally, within thirty (30) days of	
21	the Effective Date, as contemplated in Section 3.3.2, the Initial Settling Defendants, or an entity	
22	acting on their behalves, shall collectively tender to AYS an aggregate amount of \$500,000 to	
23	fund the Committee investigation. The individual amounts of payments set forth in Sections	
24	8.5.1 through 8.5.8 shall apply to the calculation of payments towards the Committee's work for	
25	Opt-In Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 7. The first \$100,000 of payments received by	
26	AYS from Opt-In Settling Defendants pursuant to this Section 8.5 will be used exclusively to	
27	fund the remaining expense, if any, associated with the Committee's investigation and final	
28	report pursuant to Section 3. Any portion of the \$100,000 that is not required for the remaining $\frac{26}{26}$	
	PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT	

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

expense of the Committee shall be transferred to the Compliance Testing Fund established under 1 Section 8.6. Any funds raised from Opt-In Settling Defendants pursuant to this Section 8.5 in 2 3 excess of the \$100,000 amount shall be paid to the Initial Settling Defendants by AYS within sixty (60) days of the Court's action on the application AYS must file pursuant to Section 7.7. 4 5 AYS shall make this reimbursement through a lump sum payment to the Morrison and Foerster LLP Client Trust (or an alternate designees of the Initial Settling Defendants), which will 6 distribute the funds on a pro rata basis based on each Initial Settling Defendant's total payments 7 made under this Section 8.5 relative to all other Initial Settling Defendants' total payments made 8 under this Section 8.5 or pursuant to such other allocation as has been agreed upon by the Initial 9 Settling Defendants. If the funds raised from the Opt-In Settling Defendants under this Section 10 11 8.5 are not sufficient to generate the additional \$100,000, the Initial Settling Defendants shall collectively tender the difference to AYS within one year of the Effective Date. The payments 12 required by Opt-In Settling Defendants under this Section 8.5 shall be: 13 8.5.1. Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$77,500 14 8.5.2. Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$35,000 15 8.5.3. Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$15,000 16 8.5.4. Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer: \$77,500 17 18 8.5.5. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer</u>: \$35,000 19 8.5.6. Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer: \$15,000 20 8.5.7. <u>Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u>: \$7,500 21 22 8.5.8. <u>Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u>: \$2,500. 23 24 8.6 Payments to Fund Compliance Verification Testing and Oversight Program. Additionally, within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, each Initial Settling Defendant, or an 25 entity acting on their collective behalves, shall make the following additional payments to AYS 26 to provide seed funding for the compliance verification testing and oversight program set forth 27 in Sections 5.2 to 5.5 ("Compliance Testing Fund"). The individual amounts of payments set 28 PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

1	forth in Sections 8.6.1 through 8.6.8 shall also apply to the calculation of payments towards the	
2	compliance verification testing and oversight program for Opt-In Settling Defendants pursuant	
3	to Section 7. The payments received by AYS pursuant to this Section 8.6 shall be used	
4	exclusively to fund the compliance verification testing and oversight program described in	
5	Sections 5.2 through 5.5. The payments required under this Section 8.6 shall be:	
6	8.6.1. Large Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$12,500	
7	8.6.2. Medium Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$10,000	
8	8.6.3. Small Grinders/Wholesalers/Chocolate Ingredient Suppliers: \$7,500	
9	8.6.4. Not Otherwise Completely Released Large Candy Manufacturer: \$12,500	
10	8.6.5. <u>Not Otherwise Completely Released Medium Candy Manufacturer</u> : \$10,000	
11	8.6.6. Not Otherwise Completely Released Small Candy Manufacturer: \$7,500	
12 13	8.6.7. Large Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release: \$10,000	
14	8.6.8. <u>Small Retailer With Exposure Not Covered By a Downstream Release</u> : \$7,500.	
15		
16	8.7 Initial Settling Defendants, or an entity acting on their collective behalves, shall	
17	issue all payments as required under this Section 8 with a check made payable to Shute, Mihaly	
18	& Weinberger LLP Client Trust Account and delivered to Ellison Folk, Shute, Mihaly &	
19	Weinberger LLP, 396 Hayes Street, San Francisco, CA 94102 or via wire or ACH electronic	
20	funds transfer if mutually arranged with Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP in advance. Funds	
21	tendered by the Opt-In Defendants for purposes of Section 8.2 shall be provided along with the	
22	other documentation and payments required under Section 7.4 and maintained in the Shute,	
23	Mihaly & Weinberger LLP Client Trust Account until disbursed for fee and cost reimbursement	
24	approved by the Court or, if there is a surplus, until disbursed to the Initial Settling Defendants.	
25	Funds tendered for purposes of Section 8.5 shall be maintained in the Shute, Mihaly &	
26	Weinberger LLP Client Trust Account until disbursed for the Committee's expenses or, if there	
27	is a surplus, until disbursed to the Initial Settling Defendants.	

8.8 Except as provided in Sections 5.5, 5.6, and 16.1, the payments made pursuant to
 this Section 8 shall be the only monetary obligation of Settling Defendants with respect to this
 Consent Judgment, including as to any fees, costs, or expenses AYS has incurred in relation to
 this Action.

# 9. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

6 AYS agrees to comply with the reporting requirements referenced in California Health 7 and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). Pursuant to the regulations promulgated under that section, 8 AYS shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receipt of all necessary Initial Settling Defendant signatures. The Parties 9 acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, a noticed motion must 10 11 be filed to obtain judicial approval of the Consent Judgment. Accordingly, a motion for approval of the Consent Judgment shall be prepared and filed by AYS within a reasonable 12 13 period of time after the date this Consent Judgment is signed by all Parties.

# 14 || 10. MODIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT

5

This Consent Judgment may be modified by: (1) written agreement among the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of AYS or a Settling Defendant as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon. All Parties and the California Attorney General's Office shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment in advance of its consideration by the Court.

# 21 || 11. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon AYS, acting in the public
interest, and the Settling Defendants and the predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them. **12. CLAIMS COVERED/RELEASES**

12.1 Release of Settling Defendants. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and
binding resolution between AYS, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and the Settling
Defendants, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 for failure to provide Proposition 65
warnings of exposure to lead and/or cadmium in the Covered Products. AYS, on behalf of

1 itself, its affiliates, agents, officers, directors, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or 2 assignees, and on behalf of the general public in the public interest, hereby releases and 3 discharges: (a) Settling Defendants and their parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and divisions; (b) their respective joint venturers and partners; and (c) each of the respective officers, 4 5 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, and representatives of the persons and entities described in (a) and (b) (including the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them, are 6 collectively referred to as the "Released Parties") from any and all claims, actions, causes of 7 8 action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees (including but not limited to 9 investigation fees, attorneys' fees, and expert fees), costs, and expenses (collectively, "Claims") 10 as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 11 warnings regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the Chocolate Products 12 manufactured, imported distributed, or sold before the Effective Date.

13 12.2 Settling Defendants' Waiver and Release of Plaintiff. Settling Defendants, on
14 behalf of themselves and their affiliates, agents, officers, directors, representatives, attorneys,
15 successors and/or assignees, hereby release AYS and its affiliates, agents, officers, directors,
16 representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees from and waive any claims against AYS
17 for injunctive relief or damages, penalties, fines, sanctions, mitigation, fees (including fees of
18 attorneys, experts, and others), costs, expenses, or any other sum incurred or claimed or which
19 could have been claimed for matters related to the Notices or Complaint[s].

12.3 Effect of Judgment. From the Effective Date forward, compliance with the terms
 of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Settling Defendant or
 Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to lead and/or cadmium in the
 Covered Products.

12.4 Downstream Release. A distributor, wholesaler, retailer, customer, user, or other
entity that is downstream in the chain of commerce from a Settling Defendant or Released Party
("Downstream Entity") shall be released from any liability under Proposition 65 as to the lead or
cadmium contribution from the cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate in a Covered Product
provided that the cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate in the Covered Product contains

concentrations of lead and cadmium at or below the applicable warning trigger levels set forth in
 Section 6.2.1 and 6.6.2. This release to Downstream Entities does not extend to the cocoa-based
 ingredients or chocolate used in the protein bar and nutritional drink products whose names
 appear on Exhibit D.

5 12.5 Nothing in this Section 12 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce
6 the terms of this Consent Judgment.

# **13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement this Consent Judgment.

# 14. COURT APPROVAL

7

8

9

If this Consent Judgment is not approved by this Court, it shall be of no force or effect
and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

# 12 15. DURATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

13 15.1 At any time after the seventh anniversary of the Effective Date, any Party may
14 move for the Court to terminate the Consent Judgment for good cause shown and any Party may
15 oppose such motion.

16 15.2 At least six (6) months prior to bringing a motion to terminate pursuant to Section 15.1, a Party shall first offer to meet and confer with all other Parties concerning the basis on 17 18 which they intend to seek termination and set forth its position as to: (a) how Section 6 of this Consent Judgment could be modified to address their position, and (b) why such modifications 19 and the means to achieve them have become Feasible or why Feasible technologies or methods 20 21 have not become available or proven Feasible. To the extent that the meet and confer does not 22 result in a stipulated modification of the Consent Judgment being submitted to the Court for 23 approval pursuant to Section 10 within three (3) months after a Party gives notice of its intention to bring a motion for termination, the Party or Parties which elect to oppose the proposed motion 24 for termination may seek to have the matter referred to a mutually acceptable mediator, to be 25 26 paid for at its or their sole expense, who shall be given another three (3) months to attempt to 27 resolve the matter in a manner that allows the Consent Judgment to remain in effect by mutual

28 agreement.

115.3The Committee's findings concerning Feasible measures or limits shall be2admissible but not be dispositive for purposes of this Section 15.

15.4 The meet and confer and potential mediation deadlines specified under Section 15.2 may be modified in writing by the Parties pursuant to Section 10.

# **16. ENFORCEMENT**

3

4

5

6 16.1 In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provisions of this Consent 7 Judgment, the respective Parties shall meet and confer within thirty (30) days of receiving 8 written notice of the alleged violation. In the event that the respective Parties are unable to 9 resolve their dispute through the meet and confer process, this Consent Judgment may be 10 enforced using any available provision of law. If AYS is the prevailing Party in any dispute 11 regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, it may seek any fines, costs, 12 penalties, or remedies provided by law for failure to comply with California Health and Safety 13 Code sections 25249.5, et seq. A prevailing Party in such a dispute regarding compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment is entitled to seek recovery of its reasonable attorneys' fees 14 and costs incurred in any such motion or proceeding pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil 15 Procedure section 1021.5. Notwithstanding any language to the contrary in Sections 3, 5, or 16 otherwise herein, AYS may disclose test results received from a Settling Defendant in a court 17 filing in support of any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment provided that AYS first 18 19 provides the Settling Defendant an opportunity to make a motion for leave to seal such data 20 pursuant to a protective order.

16.2 In the event that a Settling Defendant misses any deadline required under this
Consent Judgment for the submission of reports, testing, or of any other notifications to AYS,
the Settling Defendant shall nonetheless be deemed to be in compliance with such a deadline if
it submits the required information or notification to AYS within fourteen days of discovering
the missed deadline. If AYS brings a missed deadline to the attention of a Settling Defendant,
such Settling Defendant shall pay penalties to AYS in the amount of up to \$5,000 per incident as
may be reasonably requested by AYS given the nature of the deadline and materiality of the

timeliness of the information involved. All missed deadlines within a calendar quarter shall be
 deemed to constitute a single "incident."

# 17. GOVERNING LAW

3

11

18

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
California.

#### 6 || 18. EXCHANGE IN COUNTERPARTS

Stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts (and, without
limitation, by facsimile or by electronic mail in "portable document format" (".pdf"), each of
which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall be deemed to
constitute one and the same instrument.

#### 19. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided by a Party to the other Parties
pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (a)
first-class, registered mail, certified return receipt requested, or (b) by overnight or Two Day
courier at the addresses set forth below. AYS or the Settling Defendants may specify in writing
to the other Parties a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be
sent.

Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to AYS, it shall be sent to:

19 Danielle Fugere President 20 As You Sow 1611 Telegraph Ave, Suite 1450 Oakland, ČA<sup>94612</sup> 21 22 and 23 Ellison Folk Shute, Mihaley & Weinberger LLP 24 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94104 25 26 Whenever notice or a document is required to be sent to a Settling Defendant, it shall be 27 sent to the contact name(s) and address(es) identified in **Exhibit E**, which shall also be completed and appended to each Opt-In Stipulation submitted pursuant to Section 7.3. 28 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791
#### 1 20. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this
Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable or preempted, the validity of the
enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 5 || 21. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

6 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the
7 Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hercof, and any and all prior discussions,
8 negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or
9 otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party
10 hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be
11 deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

#### 12 || 22. AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENT

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party.

16	· · · · ·	
17		
i8	DATED: <u>12/4/17</u>	AS YOU SOW
19	* 1	161
20		
21		Andrew Behar, CEO
22		
23	DATED:	BARRY CALLEBAUT U.S.A., LLC
24		
25		NT
26		Name: Title:
27		
28		34
	TROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT	<u> </u>
	CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	

#### 20. SEVERABILITY

1

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this
 Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable or preempted, the validity of the
 enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.
 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the 6 Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 7 negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or 8 otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party 9 hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be 10 deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. 11 22. AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENT 12 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the 13 Party that he or she represents to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the 14 Party represented and legally bind that Party. 15 16 17 DATED: AS YOU SOW 18 19 20 Andrew Behar, CEO 21 22 12/6/2017 BARRY CALLEBAUT U.S.A., LLC DATED: 23 24 25 Name har.L

Name: <u>UTAMES (F. HAGEDOR)</u> Title: <u>EVP</u> //

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

26

27

- 1	DATED: 12/8/17	BLOMMER CHOCOLATE CO.
2		Osta Blance
3		Jelon Sharing
4		Name: <u>PETER W. BLOMMER</u> Title: <u>CEO</u>
5		
6		
7	DATED:	GUITTARD CHOCOLATE CO.
8		
9		
10		Name: Title:
11		
12	DATED:	THE HERSHEY COMPANY
13		
14		
15		Name: Title:
16		
17		
18	DATED:	LINDT & SPRUNGLI (NORTH AMERICA), INC.
19		
20		Name:
21		Name:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		35
	<del>{PROPOSED}</del> CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	

T		BLOMMER CHOCOLATE CO.
1	DATED:	BLOMMER CHOCOLATE CO.
2		
3		
4		Title:
· 6		
	DATED IN LA LAS	GUITTARD CHOCOLATE CO.
	DA1ED:6 ///	GUII TARD CHOCOLATE CO.
9		Your a that
10		Name: 15ARY U. GuittARD
11		Title:
	DATED:	THE HERSHEY COMPANY
13		
14	·	
15		Name: Title:
16		
17		
18	DATED:	LINDT & SPRUNGLI (NORTH AMERICA), INC.
19		
20		Name:
21		Title:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		35

1	DATED:	BLOMMER CHOCOLATE CO.
2		BEOMMER CHOCOEATE CO.
2		
		Name:
4		Title:
6		
7		
8	DATED:	GUITTARD CHOCOLATE CO.
9		
10		Name:
11		Title:
12	DATED: 11-30-2017	THE HERSHEY COMPANY
13		
14		Alexa D. Meber
15	• •	Name: <u>Adrian D. Mebane</u> Title: <u>Assistant Secretary</u>
16		The <u>Fisistant Scorolary</u>
17		
18	DATED:	LINDT & SPRUNGLI (NORTH AMERICA), INC.
19		
20		Newson
21		Name: Title:
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		35
	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	

	11		
1	DATED: _		BLOMMER CHOCOLATE CO.
2			
3			Manage
4			Name: Title:
5			
6			
7	DATED:		GUITTARD CHOCOLATE CO.
. 8	- · · ·		a second a s
9			
10			Name:
11			Title:
12	DATED:		THE HERSHEY COMPANY
13	_		
14			
15			Name:
16			Title:
10			
10	DATED /	1/30/2017	LINDT & SPRUNGLI (NORTH AMERICA) INC.
		<u>,, == , = , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	EINDI & SI KUNGLI (NOKTH AMERICA) INC.
19			
20			Name: Andreas Pfluger
21			Name: <u>Andreas</u> Pfluger Title: <u>President</u>
22			
23			
24			
25	•		
26			
27			
28			25
	(PROPOSED) C	ONSENT JUDGMENT	35
	CASE NO. CGC	-15-548791	

 $\left( \right)$ 

1 DATED: 12-7-17	_ CARGILL, INC., on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and subsidiaries
2	
3	Harran Sill
5	Name: FLORIDAI GIATIOFER Title: Brasidant Angenance Dealars of Camille Grade
6	Title: Aresident, Managing, Prector of Cargill Groa and chocolute
7 DATED:	MARS, INC.
	Name:
	Title:
DATED:	MONDELEZ GLOBAL LLC, as the United States
	operating company for MONDELEZ
	operating company for MONDELEZ
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC.
DATED:	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC.
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC. Name:
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC.
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC. Name:
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC. Name:
	operating company for MONDELEZ INTERNATIONAL, INC. Name:

÷

	11		
1	DATED:		CARGILL, INC., on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and
2			subsidiaries
3			
4			Name
5			Name: Title:
6		,	х -
7	DATED:	12=08=17	MARS, INC.
8	•		
9			Name:
0			Title: UP LESEARCH AND DEDELOPMENT
2			·
13 14	DATED:		MONDELEZ GLOBAL LLC, as the United States operating company for MONDELEZ
15			INTERNATIONAL, INC.
16			· · ·
7			Name:
8			Title:
9			
20    2	DATED: _		NESTLÉ USA, INC.
1			
2			Name
3			Name:
4			
6			
7			
8			
	DROBOGEDU	CONSENT JUDGMENT	36

•

DATED: 1 CARGILL, INC., on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and subsidiaries 2 3 4 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: 5 6 7 DATED: MARS, INC. 8 9 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ 10 Title: 11 12 13 DATED: MONDELEZ GLOBAL LLC, as the United States operating company for MONDELEZ 14 INTERNATIONAL, INC. 15 16 alerie 17 Name: Valerie OSWalt Title: President netections 18 19 \_\_\_\_\_ 20 DATED: NESTLÉ USA, INC. 21 22 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ 23 Title: 24 25 26 27 28 36 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

DATED: 1 CARGILL, INC., on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and subsidiaries 2 3 4 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_ 5 6 DATED: 7 MARS, INC. 8 9 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ 10 Title: 11 12 13 DATED: MONDELEZ GLOBAL LLC, as the United States operating company for MONDELEZ 14 INTERNATIONAL, INC. 15 16 Name: 17 Title: \_\_\_\_\_ 18 19 DATED: 12 - 5-2017 20 NESTLÉ USA, INC. 21 22 Name Janiel U Nugent 23 Title: Chief Legal Officer + General Counsel 24 JL-25 26 27 28 36 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

1	EXHIBIT A
2	
3	Initial Settling Defendants
4	Barry Callebaut USA, LLC
5	Blommer Chocolate Co.
6	Cargill, Inc.
7	(on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and subsidiaries)
8	Guittard Chocolate Co.
9	The Hershey Company
10	Lindt & Sprüngli (North America) Inc.
11	(on behalf of itself, its affiliates, and its subsidiaries, including Lindt & Sprüngli (USA) Inc., the Ghirardelli Chocolate Company, and Russell Stover Chocolates, LLC)
12	Mars Incorporated
13	Mondelez Global LLC
14	(as the United States operating company for Mondelez International, Inc.)
15	
16	Nestlé USA, Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

		í.
1	EVI	IIDIT D
1	EXHIBIT B	
2	Opt-In	Stipulation
3		
4	ELLISON FOLK (State Bar No. 149232) LAURA D. BEATON (State Bar No. 294466) SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP	
5 6	SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, California 94102	
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 552-7272 Facsimile: (415) 552-5816	
8	Facsimile: (415) 552-5816 Folk@smwlaw.com Beaton@smwlaw.com	
9	Attorneys for AS YOU SOW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10		
11		
12		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF S	SAN FRANCISCO
15	UNLIMITED	JURISDICTION
16		
17	AS YOU SOW,	Case No. CGC-15-548791
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF
19	v.	JUDGMENT
20	TRADER JOES COMPANY; and DOES 1	
21	through 150,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25	· · ·	
26		
27		
28		38

1 1. The following constitutes the knowing and voluntary election and stipulation of
 2 the entity named below ("Company" or "Opt-In Defendant") to join as a Settling Defendant
 3 under the Consent Judgment previously entered by the Court in *As You Sow v. Trader Joe's* 4 *Company, et al.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-15-548791 ("Action") and to
 5 be bound by the terms of that "Consent Judgment."

At any time during the three (3)-year period prior to the filing of this Stipulation
("Relevant Period"), the Company has employed ten or more persons on a full or part time
basis and manufactured, distributed, or offered for use or sale in California, or sold in
California, one or more Covered Products, as defined in the Consent Judgment Section 2.4.

3. Based on information and good faith belief, the Company knows or has
 reason to believe that one or more of its Covered Products has in the past contained or
 presently may contain lead or cadmium in excess of an applicable drop down level set forth in
 Section 6.2.1 or 6.2.2 of the Consent Judgment.

4. The Company has not provided Proposition 65 warnings in conjunction with the
sale or use of its Covered Products in California at all times during the Relevant Period.

16 5. The Company agrees to be bound by all terms of the Consent Judgment,
17 including, but not limited to, the injunctive relief provisions set forth in Section 6 of the
18 Consent Judgment.

19 6. In conjunction with the execution of this Stipulation, the Company had provided
20 the payments required of it under the Consent Judgment and shall make all future payments
21 that may apply to the Company.

7. At least 70 days prior to the submissions of this Stipulation to the Court for
entry, provided that it has been mailed to the address for notice shown in Exhibit E of the
Consent Judgment, a completed copy of which is attached to this Stipulation, the Company
agrees to be deemed to have accepted service of a 60-day notice letter from As You Sow
("AYS") alleging certain violations of Proposition 65 with respect to sales of the Covered
Products.

8. The Company hereby stipulates to be deemed to have voluntarily accepted
 service of the summons and complaint in this Action upon the filing of this Stipulation and,
 without waiving its rights to contest the issue in any other action, agrees to be subject to the
 jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of the Consent Judgment.

9. The undersigned have read, and the person and/or entity named below
knowingly and voluntarily agree to be bound by, all terms and conditions of this Stipulation
and the Consent Judgment as it was previously approved and entered by the San Francisco
County Superior Court in this Action.

9 10. The undersigned has full authority to make the written representations above
10 and to enter into this Stipulation for the person/entity on behalf of which he/she is signing.

40

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED TO:

13	On Behalf of Opt-In Defendant:
14	Date:
15	Dru
16	By: (signature)
17	
18	Name (printed/typed)
19	
20	Title (printed/typed)
21	
22	Company Name (printed/typed)
23	
24	
25	On Behalf of Plaintiff, As You Sow:
26	Date:
27	
28	By: (signature and title)
	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

11

12

# EXHIBIT C

### Scope of Work – Chocolate Consent Judgment<sup>1</sup>

#### Phases of Investigation and Committee Work

#### A. Phase 1: Scope of Root Cause

The Root Cause Phase of the investigation is intended to identify any potential sources of lead and cadmium in chocolate across all phases of the cacao and Chocolate Products process and supply chains. For each source that the Committee identifies, the Committee should also identify the likelihood that each source would contribute lead or cadmium to chocolate (e.g., "common source," "infrequent source," "rare source," etc.). The Committee's conclusions may be based on literature review; consultation with subject matter experts and chocolate industry personnel; testing for lead/cadmium in soil, water, beans, ingredients, equipment, packaging, tools, etc.; and any information the Committee deems relevant and reliable. The Committee should evaluate all of the following as potential sources of lead/cadmium contamination in chocolate:

1. Soil;

1

2

3

4

5

6

.7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I.

- 2. Water;
- 3. Potential lead or cadmium pollution sources proximate to significant cocoa growing or processing locations (such as smelters, incinerators, diesel traffic), or other historic or less proximate sources (such as prior use of leaded gasoline, or deposition patterns from other sources of pollution);
- 4. Cacao plant fertilization, pest, disease and weed control practice and materials; and irrigation sources and practices;
- 5. Cacao bean harvesting, fermentation, drying, and transportation practices and materials, including but not limited to:
  - a. harvesting tools;
  - b. bean transportation vessels, such as sacks, tarps, baskets, and buckets;
  - c. open-air drying and open-air transport of cocoa beans; and
  - d. fermentation tarps, racks, cloths, or other materials that come into contact with beans.
- 6. Cocoa bean processing and chocolate manufacturing practices that may introduce lead or cadmium, including but not limited to:

 $\binom{27}{28}$  All defined terms as used herein shall have the meaning assigned to them in the Consent Judgment. A glossary of such terms is provided in Attachment 1.

1	a. processing water;
2	b. sugar or other inclusions;
3	c. factory conveyance implements;
4 5	d. other factory equipment, such as roasters, winnowers, crushers, melangers, grinders, blenders, melters, molds, etc.;
6	e. product packaging.
7	The Committee's investigation is not limited to these potential sources. If the Committee
.8	identifies other potential sources of lead/cadmium in cocoa beans or Chocolate Products, it shall evaluate whether each additional potential source is a contributor of lead or cadmium, and what that source's likelihood and significance of contribution is.
9 10	B. <u>Phase 2: Scope of Reduction Recommendations</u>
	After identifying the likely sources of lead/cadmium in cocoa beans and Chocolate Products,
11	the Committee will identify Feasible methods for reducing lead/cadmium in the Chocolate Products. As with the Root Cause Phase of the investigation, the Committee's
12	recommendations will be Consensus Based and result from the Root Cause Phase as well as further literature review, consultation with outside subject matter experts, review of test
13	results, and any other information the Committee deems relevant and reliable.
14	The Committee should evaluate lead/cadmium reduction options for all of the following
15 16	phases of the cocoa bean and Chocolate Product production and supply chain. Then, it should identify which reduction measures are Feasible at each phase of the production process.
	1. Growing cacao, harvesting and fermentation of cocoa beans Some options for potential
17	evaluation may include soil remediation, testing irrigation water and avoiding
18 19	contaminated water, soil amendments to reduce heavy metal uptake, growing different varieties of cacao that uptake less heavy metals, changing fertilizers and pesticides/herbicides, etc.;
20	
21	2. Storage and transport of fermented cocoa beans Some options for potential evaluation may include changing storage and transportation implements; avoiding open-air drying
22	near roads and other sources of pollution, etc.;
23	3. Cacao bean processing and chocolate manufacturing Some options for potential
24	evaluation may include comprehensive testing of a manufacturer's equipment, replacing outdated equipment, substituting non-cacao ingredients, blending beans to lower
25	lead/cadmium levels, implementing processes that extract lead/cadmium from the cacao
26	beans or chocolate, and/or modifying product packaging.
27	If the Committee believes other options may prove Feasible, it should evaluate those options as well.
28	
	42 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

.

1 C. Phase 3: Evaluation of Future Proposition 65 Warning Triggers

2 The Committee shall evaluate whether the levels to trigger warnings shall be modified from the "drop down" levels described in Sections 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 of the Consent Judgement. The
3 Committee shall make recommendations in this regard based on:

- 1. The identification of Feasible reduction methods (Phase 2) that could reasonably lower levels of lead and cadmium in Chocolate Products below the drop down levels to trigger warnings.
- 2. The inability to identify Feasible reduction methods (Phase 2) that could reasonably lower levels of lead and cadmium in Chocolate Products below the drop down levels to trigger warnings.
- 3. The conclusion that the drop down levels to trigger warnings are not Feasible to achieve and an identification of the levels between the drop down levels and the initial levels which are Feasible.

In the event the Committee determines that levels other than the specified drop down levels are appropriate and can be achieved through Feasible measures, the Committee shall specify what those levels are, when the levels of lead and/or cadmium in Chocolate Products can be expected to be reduced, and by whom.

As with the first two phases of the Scope of Work, the Committee's recommendations shall be Consensus Based and be based on literature review, consultation with outside subject matter experts, review of test results, and any other information the Committee deems relevant and reliable.

### 16 D. Final Report Phase

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Committee shall prepare a final, written report to describe and explain its findings, conclusions, and recommendations for all three phases of its investigation. The final report shall include supporting references with complete citations for the Committee's conclusions and identify any SMEs who advised the Committee during its deliberations. The final report shall not be edited by any persons other than the members of the Committee. The final report shall present all Consensus Based Committee findings and recommendations and may include an appendix setting forth significant areas where consensus could not be achieved and an appendix setting forth any significant conflicting opinions on aspects of a Consensus Based finding where they exist.

### 22 II. Deliverables and Schedule

- Based on a schedule developed by the Project Manager, the Committee members will commit to standing meetings (by phone conference) on a periodic basis or on an as needed basis to ensure coordination and the efficient sharing of information. The Project Manager shall develop a working agenda for each meeting and provide the Committee members with a brief written summary highlighting the discussion points and action items.
- 2. After the investigative portion of each of the first three phases of the Scope of Work is complete (as agreed upon by the Committee members), an outline of the findings,

	11	
1		conclusions, and recommendations shall be produced by the Committee and Project
2		Manager for each investigative phase. These interim documents will be used to assist in internal discussions of the Committee and will form the basis of the final report.
3	3.	The Committee and the Project Manager, in providing quarterly reports as required under
4		the Consent Judgment, will produce a list of all outside SMEs consulted with and a brief description of the information gathered.
5	11	The Committee will produce an alphabetized list of all information sources with complete
6 7		citations, including Internet URLs when available, to be included in the final report appendix.
8	5.	Following submission of the final report, the Committee may be asked to present an oral
9		summary of its main findings, conclusions, and recommendations (including any
10		alternative conclusions and recommendations by any Committee members) as deemed desirable by the Initial Settling Defendants and/or As You Sow.
11	6.	The Committee, with the approval of the Initial Settling Defendants and As You Sow,
12 13		may elect to prepare the final report for submission to an appropriate trade journal for public access. The Committee shall agree not to pursue this publication until all of its work as outlined in the Scope of Work and the final report has been submitted.
13		
14 15	be deli kickof	s otherwise agreed upon by the Initial Settling Defendants and AYS, the final report shall ivered by the Committee through the Project Manager within 18 to 24 months of the final meeting that will be held pursuant to Section 3.2.2 of the Consent Judgment.
16		Cost/Budget
17	Unless	revisited by AYS and the Initial Settling Defendants, all work and deliverables set forth Scope of Work shall be completed at a cost of \$200,000 for the set of \$200,000 for \$200,
18	some f	Scope of Work shall be completed at a cost of \$90,000 for each Committee member plus unds to set aside for a part time Project Manager and possible outside expert consultation, n aggregate cap of \$500,000.
19 20	IV.	<b>Confidentiality</b>
20		
21 22		All work by the Committee members and the Project Manager shall be subject to the confidentiality provisions set forth in Sections 3.3.3 and 3.4.4 of the Consent Judgment.
23	!	
24		
25		
25		
20		
27		
		44
	CASE NC	SED} CONSENT JUDGMENT D. CGC-15-548791
11		

#### ATTACHMENT 1 to EXHIBIT C-GLOSSARY OF DEFINED TERMS

"Chocolate Product" means chocolate candy; chocolate bars, pieces, chips, beverages, and confections with or without inclusions; cocoa nibs and cocoa powder; chocolate and cacao-based compounds in any form; and other products derived primarily (i.e., in excess of 50%) from cacao. Chocolate Products include the preceding as sold on a standalone basis and/or as sold to be used as ingredients in other foods into which they are incorporated.

"Consensus Basis" means a creative and dynamic way of reaching agreement between all members of a group. Instead of simply voting for an item and having the majority of the group dictate the outcome, a group using consensus is committed to finding solutions that each member of the group actively supports, or at least can live with and that all opinions, ideas and concerns are taken into account. A Consensus Basis does not reflect compromise or unanimity - it aims to go further by weaving together everyone's best ideas and key concerns. It is an acceptable resolution, one that can be supported, even if not the "favorite" of each individual. Multiple concerns and information shall be shared until the sense of the group is clear. Ideas and solutions belong to the group; no names are recorded. The group as a whole is responsible for the decision and the decision belongs to the group. The goal is unity, not unanimity.

"Feasible" means capable of being accomplished in a successful manner within a reasonable period of time, taking into account public health, and economic, environmental, social, and technological factors. In considering whether an action or performance level is Feasible, consideration shall be given, among other things, to scaling as to the size and resources of the potential implementing enterprise involved, the implementing enterprise's place and role within the chain of commerce, the prior demonstration of the viability of the concept or technology at issue at both the research and actual commercial application scale, and the nature of the issue being addressed.

"Settling Defendant" means a defendant who is a party to the Consent Judgment at the time it is entered, or who opts in to the Consent Judgment any time after its entry. The former are also specifically referred to herein as "Initial Settling Defendants" and the latter are also specifically referred to herein as "Opt-In Settling Defendants."

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

#### EXHIBIT D\*

(\* = as revised by the Parties on January 31, 2018 to address a clarification request received from the Office of the California Attorney General concerning the preservation of both plaintiffs' and defendants' potential rights with regard to future litigation about certain prior claims and court-approved settlements/judgments)

### Products Not Subject to Section 12.4 Downstream Release:

- 1. All nutritional, protein, diet or meal replacement/energy bars (hereinafter "Protein Bars") containing cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate which were the subject of a 60-day notice of violation issued or complaint filed by AYS pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) on or before to December 4, 2017 alleging violations of Proposition 65 with respect to a failure to warn about lead or cadmium;
- All nutritional, protein, diet or meal replacement drinks/shakes/drink mixes (prepared or dried) (hereinafter "Nutritional Drinks") containing cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate which were the subject of a 60-day notice of violation issued or complaint filed by AYS pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) on or before December 4, 2017 alleging violations of Proposition 65 with respect to a failure to warn about lead or cadmium;
- 3. All Protein Bars containing cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate which are the subject of a settlement or consent judgment resolving alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to a failure to warn about lead or cadmium as approved by a court pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f) prior to the Effective Date;
- 4. All Nutritional Drinks containing cocoa-based ingredients or chocolate which are the subject of a settlement or consent judgment resolving alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to a failure to warn about lead or cadmium as approved by a court pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(f) prior to the Effective Date.

967340.2

sf-3863605

2 3	Designees to Rece	ive Notices Under Consent Judgment
4 5	Company Name:	Barry Callebaut U.S.A. LLC
6 7 8	1	James G. Hagedorn 600 West Chicago Avenue Suite 860
9 10	Telephone <sup>.</sup>	Chicago, IL 60654 312.496.7312
11	Email:	
12 13		
14	(Optional) with a CC to Name:	
15 16	Firm/Company:	(legal counsel or other designee) Barry Callebaut U.S.A. LLC
17 18	Address:	600 West Chicago Avenue Suite 860
19	Telephone:	Chicago, IL 60654 312.496.7435
20 21		philipp_muheim@barry- callebaut.com
22 23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28	FROPOSEDI CONSENT JUDGMENT	47

	2	
	3 Designees to Reco	eive Notices Under Consent Judgment
	Company Name:	Blommer Chocolate Company
	Attention of: Address:	Peter Blommer 1101 Blommer Drive P.O. Box 45
9 10		East Greenville PA 18041-0045
11	Telephone:	(215) 679-4472
12 13	·	pblommer@eg.blommer.com_
14	(Optional) with a CC to	
15	Firm/Company:	Allen C. Schlinsog, Jr.
16 17	Address:	Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c. 1000 North Water Street
18		P.O. Box. 2965 Milwaukee, WI 53201-2965
19 20	Telephone:	(414)298-8214
21	Email:	aschlinsog@reinhartlaw.com
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20		47
	{PROPOSED} CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791	

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

2		
2 3	Designees to Recei	ive Notices Under Consent Judgment
4		
4	Company Name:	Cargill, Incorporated
6	Attention of	Managing Director Congill Coope
7	Attention of:	Managing Director, Cargill Cocoa and Chocolate North America
8	Address:	15407 McGinty Road West, MS 36
9.		Wayzata, MN 55391
10	Telephone:	(952) 984-5206
11	Email:	Florian_Girthofer@Cargill.com
12		
13	(Optional) with a CC to	
14	Name:	Cargill, Incorporated
15		
16	Firm/Company:	Attn: Law Department, Cocoa and
		Chocolate North America Lawyer
17	Address:	•
18		Wayzata, MN 55391
19	Telephone:	(952) 742-0316
20	-	Kelly McLain@Cargill.com
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	IDDODOSED CONSENT INDOMENT	47

2		
3	Designees to Receiv	e Notices Under Consent Judgment
4		GUITTARA CHOCOLATE
5	Company Name:	
6	Attention of:	GARY GUITTARA.
7	Address:	10 GUTTARO RO.
8		BURLINGAME CA. 94010
9		
10	Telephone:	650-697-4427
11	Email:	GARY QGUITTARDICOM
12		
13	(Optional) with a CC to:	Dehent Falk
14	Name:	(legal counsel or other designee)
15	Firm/Company:	MORRISON+ FORSTERUP
16	Address:	425 KIARKet ST
17		57 Ca 94105
18		
19	Telephone:	415-268-6294
20	Email:	Rfalp @ Moto. COM
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		47
	PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT	

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

•

1		EXHIBIT E
2	Decismon to Dece	wa Nationa Under Consent Indoment
3	Designees to Recei	ve Notices Under Consent Judgment
4	Compony Nomo	The Henchey Company
5	Company Name:	The Hershey Company
6	Attention of:	Hershey Legal Department
7		<u>100 Crystal A Drive</u>
8		Hershey, PA 17033
9		
10	<b>x</b>	717-534-4200
11	Email:	legalnotices@hersheys.com
12		
13	(Optional) with a CC to:	
14	Name:	<u>Robert Falk</u>
15	Einm /Commonstru	(legal counsel or other designee)
16	Firm/Company: Address:	Morrison & Foerster, LLP
17	Audiess.	<u>425 Market Street</u> San Francisco, CA 94105
18		San Trancisco, Cri 94105
19	Telephone:	415-268-6294
20	Email:	<u>RFalk@mofo.com</u>
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
23 26		
20 27		
27		
20	· · ·	47
	<b>IPROPOSEDI</b> CONSENT IUDGMENT	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

2 3	Designees to Recei	ve Notices Under Consent Judgment
4	Company Name	Lindt & Sprüngli (North America)
5		Inc
6		· · ·
7		Simon Kehl
8	Address:	4900 Oak Street
9		Kansas City, MO 64112, USA
10	Telephone:	+1.816.855.2224
11	-	sikehl@lindt.com
12		
13	(Optional) with a CC to	:
14	Name:	Chris Locke
15		
16	-	Farella Braun + Martel LLP _
17	Address:	Russ Building 235 Montgomery Street
18		San Francisco, CA 94104
19	Telephone:	
20		clocke@fbm.com
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		
28		47

(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

2	Designees to Recei	ve Notices Under Consent Judgment
3	Designees to Recei	
4 5	Company Name:	Mars Wrigley Confectionery US,
6		LLC
. 7	Attention of:	Shaughan Kennedy
8	Address:	1132 W. Blackhawk St.
9		Chicago, IL 60642
10		
11	Telephone:	$\frac{(312)\ 241-5102}{\text{Showshere berg barredy}}$
12	Email:	Shaughan.kennedy@effem.com
13	···	
14	(Optional) with a CC to Name:	: Katherine Fitzpatrick
15	Inallic.	(legal counsel or other designee)
16	Firm/Company:	Mars Wrigley Confectionery US,
17		LLC
18	Address:	600 W. Chicago
19		Suite 500
20	Talanhanay	<u>Chicago, IL 60654</u> (312) 794-6245
21	Telephone: Email:	Katherine.Fitzpatrick@effem.com
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		47

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO. CGC-15-548791

2	Designees to Recei	ve Notices Under Consent Judgment
3	Designees to recei	
4	Company Name:	Mondelēz Global LLC, as the United States
5		operating company for Mondelēz
6		International, Inc.
7		
8	Attention of:	Ellen M. Smith, VP & Chief Legal Counsel–North America
9	Address:	Mondelēz Global LLC
10		100 DeForest Avenue
11	Talanhana	East Hanover, NJ 07936
12	Telephone: Email:	(973) 503-2023 ellen.smith@mdlz.com
13		erren.smrtn@mutz.com
14	a second the CC to	•
15	(Optional) with a CC to Name:	
16	Inallic.	Trenton H. Norris; Sarah Esmaili (legal counsel or other designee)
17	Firm/Company:	Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
18	Address:	3 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1000
19	Talaabaaa	San Francisco, CA 94111
20	Telephone: Email:	(415) 471-3100
21	Eillail.	trent.norris@aporter.com sarah.esmaili@aporter.com
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-0	 	47
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	

-		,
2 3	Designees to Recei	ve Notices Under Consent Judgment
4 5	Company Name:	Nestle USA, Inc.
6 7 8	Attention of: Address:	<u>Chief Legal Officer + General Counsel</u> 1812 N. Moore Street
9 10	Telephone:	<u>Arlington, VA 22209</u> <u>818-331-6397</u>
11 12	Email:	Daniel. Nugent@ US. nestle. com
12	(Optional) with a CC to:	
14 15	Name:	Doug Besman (legal counsel or other designee)
16	Firm/Company: Address:	Nestlé USA IncLegal Dept. 30003 Bainbridge Rd.
17 18		Solon, OH 44139
19	Telephone: Email:	440/264-519/ Douglas. besman Q us. nestle.com
20 21	4	
22 23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28	FROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT	47

CASE NO. CGC-15-548791