1	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389	EN DORSED FILED
2	Joseph Mann, State Bar No. 207968 503 Divisadero Street	ALAMEDA COUNTY
3	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800	SEP - 1 2015
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
5	jmann@lexlawgroup.com	By A. Th Monny Deputy
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11		
12	Center for Environmental	Case No. RG-13707315
13	HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,	INDOBACEDI CONCENT
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: SINGER
15	V.	SAFETY COMPANY
16	ACOUSTICAL SOLUTIONS, INC., et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	*****	
19	1. Introduction	
20	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
21	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Industrial Noise Control, Inc.	
22	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative	
23	Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Acoustical Solutions, Inc., et al.,	
24	Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-13707315 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant are	
25	referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
26	1.2. On August 8, 2014, CEH served a "Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") relating to	
27	the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") on	
28	_	` '
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-1-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SINGER SAFETY COMPANY – CASE NO. RG-13707315

Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") in acoustic and soundproofing foam manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.

- 1.3. Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California. Defendant asserts that it no longer plans to distribute, sell, or offer for sale such Covered Products in California unless or until Proposition 65 is amended with respect to TDCPP in acoustic and soundproofing foam.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense either Party may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of

DOCUMENT PREPARED

negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1. "Chemical Flame Retardant" means any halogenated or phosphorous-based chemical compound used for the purpose of resisting or retarding the spread of fire. "Chemical Flame Retardant" does not include (a) any chemical that has been rated as a Benchmark 4 chemical pursuant to Clean Production Action's GreenScreen for Safer Chemicals (http://www.greenscreenchemicals.org/); or (b) ammonium polyphosphate.
- 2.2. "Covered Products" means acoustic and/or soundproofing foam manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant in California which contain any Chemical Flame Retardant.
- 2.3. "Direct Customers" means those customers or entities who order directly from Singer Safety with delivery directly to said customer or entity.
 - 2.4. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- 2.5. "Listed Chemical Flame Retardants" means Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP"), Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate ("TCEP"), and Tris(2,3-dibromopropyl)phosphate ("TDBPP").
- 2.6. "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.
- 2.7. "Treated" means the addition or application of any Chemical Flame Retardant to any polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product.
- 2.8. "Untreated Foam" means polyurethane foam that has not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant.

3. Injunctive Relief

- 3.1. Defendant shall comply with the following requirements to satisfy Proposition 65 with respect to TDCPP in the Covered Products:
- 3.1.1. **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date,

 Defendant shall not distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that has
 been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant and which has a Manufacture Date that is on or

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER later than the Effective Date. The Parties agree that Defendant may satisfy this Section 3.1.1 by not distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California any Covered Products after the Effective Date.

3.1.1.1. To ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of Section 3.1.1, should Defendant seek to distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product after the Effective Date, Defendant shall directly or through its supply chain issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products and/or polyurethane foam used in any Covered Product requiring that such products and/or foam has not been any Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant in accordance with the requirements of Section 3.1.1. Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers confirming that all such Covered Products and/or foam received by Defendant for distribution in California have not been Treated with any Chemical Flame Retardant. Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product is made with only Untreated Foam, and/or, if such certification is not relied on or has previously been demonstrated to be invalid, (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party certified laboratory reporting that the Covered Product has been made with no Chemical Flame Retardants.

3.1.1.2. To ensure compliance with the reformulation provisions of Section 3.1.1, should Defendant not seek to distribute, sell, or offer for sale in California any Covered Product after the Effective Date, Defendant shall notify by certified mail, registered mail, or any other mailing which demonstrates proof of receipt and delivery and the date and address of delivery all of its Direct Customers of Covered Products that such Covered Products may not be sold or offered for sale in California.

3.1.2. Interim Compliance – All Covered Products. Any Covered Product with a Manufacture Date that is earlier than the Effective Date that has not yet been sold or distributed by Defendant, that Defendant knows or has reason to know has been Treated with any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant, and that is distributed, sold, or offered for sale by Defendant in California after the Effective Date shall be accompanied by a Clear and Reasonable Warning that

complies with Section 3.1.4. The Parties agree that Defendant may satisfy this Section 3.1.2 by not distributing, selling, or offering for sale in California any Covered Product containing any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant after the Effective Date.

3.1.3. Warnings for Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been reformulated pursuant to Section 3.1.1 or labeled in accordance with Section 3.1.2, within 30 days following the Effective Date, Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail, registered mail, or any other mailing which demonstrates proof of receipt and delivery and the date and address of delivery to each of its Direct Customers that may sell into California to whom Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Products that contained or may have contained any Listed Chemical Flame Retardant on or after October 31, 2011. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers and/or labels in order to permit the retailer or distributor to place a warning sticker or label on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Defendant. The stickers and/or labels shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1.4. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers and/or labels, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

3.1.4. **Proposition 65 Warnings.** A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING: This product contains tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") [and/or TCEP and/or TDBPP], a chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot

see a warning displayed on the Covered Product or the packaging of the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. PENALTIES AND PAYMENT

- 4.1. Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000). This total shall be paid in the manner set forth below, with each separate check delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8, and shall be made payable and allocated as follows:
- 4.1.1. Defendant shall pay a total of \$2,200 as a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group within 10 days following the Effective Date.
- 4.1.2. Defendant shall pay a total of \$3,000 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and 11 C.C.R. § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work of educating and protecting the public from exposures to toxic chemicals, including chemical flame retardants. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Defendant's products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group within 10 days following the Effective Date.
- 4.1.3. Defendant shall pay a total of \$14,800 to reimburse CEH for its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This payment shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group in two (2) installments of \$7,400 each. The first installment of \$7,400 shall be delivered to the

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Lexington Law Group on or before October 15, 2015. The second installment of \$7,400 shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group on or before December 31, 2015.

5. Enforcement of Consent Judgment

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Defendant as provided by law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Defendant and Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to TDCPP in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

- 7.2. CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to TDCPP in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about any Listed Chemical Flame Retardants in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. Provision of Notice

- 8.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:
- 8.1.1. **Notices to Defendant.** The persons for Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

Tracy E. Stevenson Robbins, Salomon & Patt, Ltd. 180 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60601 tstevenson@rsplaw.com

8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The person for CEH to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

> Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- 11.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

1	Dated: June 8 2015	
2	Dated: 3 400 6 3 , 2015	SINGER SAFETY COMPANY
3		ano Mary James
4		ANNA MARIE JOHNSON
5		Printed Name
6		PRESIDENT
7		Title
8		•
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
10	Detail Contains 1 and	GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.
11	Dated: Leptember 1, 2015	
12		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California. County of Alameda
13		
14		•
15		
16		
17		,
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		,
25		
26		
27		
28		·
DOCUMENT PREFARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-
H	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SINGE	R SAFETY COMPANY - CASE NO. RG-13707315