

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ENDORSED
FILED
ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 20 2015

K. McCoy, Exec. Off./Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

ROCKET DOG BRANDS LLC, et al.,)

Defendants.)

Case No. RG 14-749378

KM
[PROPOSED] CONSENT
JUDGMENT AS TO
CONSOLIDATED SHOE
COMPANY, INC.

1. DEFINITIONS

1.1 “Covered Products” means footwear Manufactured, distributed, sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant.

1.2 “Effective Date” means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

1.3 “Lead Limits” means the maximum concentrations of lead and lead compounds (“Lead”) by weight specified in Section 3.2.

1.4 “Manufactured” and “Manufactures” means to manufacture, produce, or assemble.

1 1.5 “Paint or other Surface Coatings” means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material,
2 with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film
3 when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface.
4 This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the
5 substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to
6 the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.

7 1.6 “Vendor” means a person or entity that Manufactures, imports, distributes, or
8 supplies a Covered Product to Settling Defendant.

9 **2. INTRODUCTION**

10 2.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment (“Parties”) are the Center for
11 Environmental Health (“CEH”) and defendant Consolidated Shoe Company, Inc. (“Settling
12 Defendant”).

13 2.2 On August 8, 2014, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under
14 Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health
15 & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) (the “Notice”) to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney
16 General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys
17 for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice
18 alleges that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to Lead contained in
19 footwear without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

20 2.3 On November 24, 2014, CEH filed the action entitled *CEH v. Rocket Dog*
21 *Brands LLC, et al.*, Case No. RG 14-749378, in the Superior Court of California for Alameda
22 County, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant.

23 2.4 Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes and/or offers for sale Covered
24 Products in the State of California or has done so in the past.

25 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this
26 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint
27 applicable to Settling Defendant (the “Complaint”) and personal jurisdiction over Settling
28 Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda,

1 and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.

2 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by
3 the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance
4 with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
5 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
6 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any
7 other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and
8 is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in
9 this action.

10 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

11 3.1 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no
12 more than 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide the Lead Limits to its
13 Vendors of Covered Products and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide
14 Covered Products that comply with the Lead Limits on a nationwide basis.

15 3.2 **Lead Limits.** Commencing on the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall
16 not purchase, import, Manufacture, supply to an unaffiliated third party, or sell or offer for sale
17 any Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that contain a
18 material or is made of a component that exceeds the following Lead Limits:

19 3.2.1 Paint or other Surface Coatings: 90 parts per million (“ppm”).

20 3.2.2 Polyvinyl chloride (“PVC”): 200 ppm.

21 3.2.3 All other materials or components other than cubic zirconia (sometimes
22 called cubic zirconium, CZ), crystal, glass or rhinestones: 300 ppm.

23 For purposes of this Section 3.2, when Settling Defendant’s direct customer sells
24 or offers for sale to California consumers a Covered Product after the Effective Date, Settling
25 Defendant is deemed to have “offered for sale to California consumers” that Covered Product.

26 3.3 **Action Regarding Specific Product.**

27 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling in
28 California the Nicole Wide Mini Flower Sandals in Red, Ross SKU No. 400104589911

1 (the “Section 3.3 Products”). On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall
2 also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its stores and/or customers that
3 resell the Section 3.3 Products in California, and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or
4 customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a)
5 return all of the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction; or (b) directly
6 destroy the Section 3.3 Products.

7 3.3.2 Any destruction of the Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all
8 applicable laws.

9 3.3.3 Within sixty days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide
10 CEH with written certification from Settling Defendants confirming compliance with the
11 requirements of this Section 3.3.

12 **4. ENFORCEMENT**

13 4.1 Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an
14 order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
15 Judgment. Enforcement of the terms and conditions of Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment
16 shall be brought exclusively pursuant to Sections 4.2 through 4.3.

17 4.2 **Notice of Violation.** CEH may seek to enforce the requirements of Section
18 3.2 by issuing a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.2.

19 4.2.1 **Service of Notice.** CEH shall serve the Notice of Violation on Settling
20 Defendant within 45 days of the date the alleged violation(s) was or were observed,
21 provided, however, that: (i) CEH may have up to an additional 45 days to provide Settling
22 Defendant with the test data required by Section 4.2.2(d) below if it has not yet obtained it
23 from its laboratory; and (ii) CEH may serve a Notice of Violation to a supplier of a
24 Covered Product so long as: (a) the identity of the supplier cannot be discerned from the
25 labeling of the Covered Product; and (b) the Notice of Violation to the supplier is served
26 within 45 days of the date the supplier is identified by CEH.

27 4.2.2 **Supporting Documentation.** The Notice of Violation shall, at a
28 minimum, set forth for each Covered Product: (a) the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was

1 observed, (b) the location at which the Covered Product was offered for sale, (c) a
2 description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, and of each
3 material or component that is alleged not to comply with the Lead Limits, including a
4 picture of the Covered Product and all identifying information on tags and labels, and (d)
5 all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and related supporting
6 documentation, including all laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality
7 control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products. Such Notice of Violation
8 shall be based at least in part upon total acid digest testing performed by an independent
9 accredited laboratory. Wipe, swipe, x-ray fluorescence, and swab testing are not by
10 themselves sufficient to support a Notice of Violation, although any such testing may be
11 used as additional support for a Notice. The Parties agree that the sample Notice of
12 Violation attached hereto as Exhibit A is sufficient in form to satisfy the requirements of
13 subsections (c) and (d) of this Section 4.2.2.

14 **4.2.3 Additional Documentation.** CEH shall promptly make available for
15 inspection and/or copying upon request by and at the expense of Settling Defendant, all
16 supporting documentation related to the testing of the Covered Products and associated
17 quality control samples, including chain of custody records, all laboratory logbook entries
18 for laboratory receiving, sample preparation, and instrumental analysis, and all printouts
19 from all analytical instruments relating to the testing of Covered Product samples and any
20 and all calibration, quality assurance, and quality control tests performed or relied upon in
21 conjunction with the testing of the Covered Products, obtained by or available to CEH that
22 pertains to the Covered Product's alleged noncompliance with Section 3 and, if available,
23 any exemplars of Covered Products tested.

24 **4.2.4 Multiple Notices.** If Settling Defendant has received more than four
25 Notices of Violation in any 12-month period, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever
26 fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the
27 Consent Judgment. For purposes of determining the number of Notices of Violation
28 pursuant to this Section 4.2.4, the following shall be excluded:

1 (a) Multiple notices identifying Covered Products Manufactured for or
2 sold to Settling Defendant from the same Vendor; and

3 (b) A Notice of Violation that meets one or more of the conditions of
4 Section 4.3.3(c).

5 4.3 **Notice of Election.** Within 30 days of receiving a Notice of Violation
6 pursuant to Section 4.2, including the test data required pursuant to 4.2.2(d), Settling Defendant
7 shall provide written notice to CEH stating whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in
8 the Notice of Violation (“Notice of Election”). Failure to provide a Notice of Election shall be
9 deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Any contributions to the Fashion
10 Accessory Testing Fund required under this Section 4.3 shall be made payable to The Center for
11 Environmental Health and included with Settling Defendant’s Notice of Election.

12 4.3.1 **Contested Notices.** If the Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of
13 Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged
14 violation, including any test data. Within 30 days the parties shall meet and confer to
15 attempt to resolve their dispute. Should such attempts at meeting and conferring fail,
16 CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. If Settling
17 Defendant withdraws its Notice of Election to contest the Notice of Violation before any
18 motion concerning the violations alleged in the Notice of Violation is filed pursuant to
19 Section 4.1, Settling Defendant shall make a contribution to the Proposition 65 Fashion
20 Accessory Testing Fund in the amount of \$12,500 and shall comply with all of the non-
21 monetary provisions of Section 4.3.2. If, at any time prior to reaching an agreement or
22 obtaining a decision from the Court, CEH or Settling Defendant acquires additional test or
23 other data regarding the alleged violation, they shall promptly provide all such data or
24 information to the other Party.

25 4.3.2 **Non-Contested Notices.** If the Notice of Violation is not contested,
26 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description of
27 corrective action that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged
28 violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the

1 Covered Product will no longer be offered by Settling Defendant or its customers for sale
2 in California. If there is a dispute over the sufficiency of the proposed corrective action or
3 its implementation, CEH shall promptly notify Settling Defendant and the Parties shall
4 meet and confer before seeking the intervention of the Court to resolve the dispute. In
5 addition to the corrective action, Settling Defendant shall make a contribution to the
6 Fashion Accessory Testing Fund in the amount of \$10,000, unless one of the provisions of
7 Section 4.3.3 applies.

8 **4.3.3 Limitations in Non-Contested Matters.**

9 (a) If Settling Defendant elects to not contest a Notice of Violation
10 before any motion concerning the violation(s) at issue has been filed, the monetary
11 liability of Settling Defendant shall be limited to the contributions required by Section
12 4.3.2 and this Section 4.3.3, if any.

13 (b) If more than one Settling Defendant has manufactured, sold, offered
14 for sale or distributed a Covered Product identified in a non-contested Notice of Violation,
15 only one required contribution may be assessed against all Settling Defendants as to the
16 noticed Covered Product.

17 (c) The contribution to the Fashion Accessory Testing Fund shall be:

18 (i) One thousand seven hundred fifty dollars (\$1,750) if Settling
19 Defendant, prior to receiving and accepting for distribution or sale the
20 Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation, obtained test results
21 demonstrating that all of the materials or components in the Covered
22 Product identified in the Notice of Violation complied with the applicable
23 Lead Limits, and further provided that such test results meet the same
24 quality criteria to support a Notice of Violation as set forth in Section 4.2.2
25 and that the testing was performed within two years prior to the date of the
26 sales transaction on which the Notice of Violation is based. Settling
27 Defendant shall provide copies of such test results and supporting
28 documentation to CEH with its Notice of Election; or

1 (ii) One thousand five hundred dollars (\$1,500) if Settling
2 Defendant is in violation of Section 3.2 only insofar as that Section deems
3 Settling Defendant to have “offered for sale to California consumers” a
4 product sold at retail by Settling Defendant’s customer, provided however,
5 that no contribution is required or payable if Settling Defendant has already
6 been required to pay a total of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) pursuant to
7 this subsection. This subsection shall apply only to Covered Products that
8 Settling Defendant demonstrates were shipped prior to the Effective Date;
9 or

10 (iii) Not required or payable, if the Notice of Violation identifies
11 the same Covered Product or Covered Products, differing only in size or
12 color, that have been the subject of another Notice of Violation within the
13 preceding 12 months.

14 **5. PAYMENTS**

15 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within five (5) business days of the Effective
16 Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$42,500 as a settlement payment. The total
17 settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks and delivered to
18 the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Eric Somers), 503 Divisadero Street, San
19 Francisco, California 94117-2212, and made payable and allocated as follows:

20 5.1.1 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$5,600 as a civil penalty pursuant
21 to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). CEH shall apportion this payment in accordance with
22 Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California’s Office of
23 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The civil penalty check shall be made payable to the
24 Center For Environmental Health.

25 5.1.2 Settling Defendant shall pay the sum of \$8,400 as a payment in lieu of civil
26 penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of
27 Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall use such funds to continue its work educating and
28 protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. In addition, as part

1 of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use at least four percent of
2 such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and
3 protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be
4 found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section
5 shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health.

6 5.1.3 Settling Defendant shall also separately pay the sum of \$28,500 to the
7 Lexington Law Group as reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and
8 costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington
9 Law Group.

10 **6. MODIFICATION**

11 6.1 **Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to
12 time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of
13 this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.

14 6.2 **Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall
15 attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to
16 modify the Consent Judgment.

17 **7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED**

18 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on
19 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries,
20 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys
21 ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sell
22 Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers,
23 franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees")
24 of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against
25 Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure
26 to warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling
27 Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

28

1 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
2 constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to Lead in Covered Products sold by
3 Settling Defendant.

4 **8. NOTICE**

5 8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
6 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

7 Eric S. Somers
8 Lexington Law Group
9 503 Divisadero Street
10 San Francisco, CA 94117
11 esomers@lexlawgroup.com

12 8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
13 Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

14 Frederick Kosmo
15 Wilson Turner Kosmo LLP
16 550 West C Street, Suite 1050
17 San Diego, CA 92101
18 fkosmo@wilsonturnerkosmo.com

19 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent
20 by sending each other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

21 **9. COURT APPROVAL**

22 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH
23 shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant
24 shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.

25 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
26 effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
27 purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

28 **10. ATTORNEYS' FEES**

 10.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or
other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its
reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should

1 Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other
2 proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result
3 of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion
4 or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term
5 substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986,
6 Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq.*

7 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear
8 its own attorneys' fees and costs.

9 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
10 sanctions pursuant to law.

11 **11. TERMINATION**

12 11.1 This Consent Judgment shall be terminable by CEH or by Settling Defendant
13 at any time after January 1, 2019, upon the provision of 30 days advanced written notice; such
14 termination shall be effective upon the subsequent filing of a notice of termination with Superior
15 Court of Alameda County.

16 11.2 Should this Consent Judgment be terminated pursuant to this Section, it shall
17 be of no further force or effect as to the terminated parties; provided, however that if CEH is the
18 terminating Party, the provisions of Sections 5 and 7 shall survive any termination and provided
19 further that if Settling Defendant is the terminating Party, the provisions of Sections 5 and 7.1
20 shall survive any termination.

21 **12. OTHER TERMS**

22 12.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State
23 of California.

24 12.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CEH and Settling
25 Defendant, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

26 12.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
27 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior
28 discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby

1 merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between
2 the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or
3 implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any
4 Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or
5 otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation,
6 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in
7 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent
8 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof
9 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

10 12.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights
11 that Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling
12 Defendant.

13 12.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
14 Consent Judgment.

15 12.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
16 and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be
17 deemed to constitute one document.

18 12.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
19 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into
20 and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
21 Party.

22 12.8 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of
23 this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties.
24 This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been
25 accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any
26 uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any
27 Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
28 Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to

1 be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
2 Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

4
5 Dated: _____ Judge of the Superior Court
6

7 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**

8
9 **CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

10
11 
12 _____
Signature

13
14 *COYANIZ PIZARRO*
15 _____
Printed Name

16
17 *ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR*
18 _____
Title

18 **CONSOLIDATED SHOE COMPANY, INC.**

19
20 _____
21 Signature

22
23 _____
Printed Name

24
25 _____
26 Title

27
28

1 be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent
2 Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED:**

4
5 Dated: MAY 20 2015

Wynna Carville
Judge of the Superior Court

6
7 **IT IS SO STIPULATED:**


8
9 **CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH**

10
11
12 _____
Signature

13
14 _____
Printed Name

15
16
17 _____
Title


18 **CONSOLIDATED SHOE COMPANY, INC.**

19
20 
21 _____
Signature

22 ROBERT W. BLEVINS
23 Printed Name

24
25 CFO
26 Title

Exhibit A



H
N
D
R
D
S
T
H
O
U
S
A
N
D
S
O
F
T
W
A
R
E
S
E
R
V
E
R
S

U
N
I
T
E
D
S
T
A
T
E
S
O
F
A
M
E
R
I
C
A
O
F
F
I
C
E
O
F
I
N
T
E
L
L
I
G
E
N
C
E

OFFICE

OF

INTELLIGENCE

AND

SECURITY

365 North Canyons Parkway, Suite 201
Tech Center: 2441 Constitution Drive
Livermore CA 94551



925-828-1440
www.TheNFL.com

Analytical Report

August 03, 2011

Lexington Law Group
503 Divisadero Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

Analytical Report No.: CL3573-33
Analysis Dates: 07/26/11 - 08/03/11

Listed below are the results of our analyses for sample(s) received on July 26, 2011.

CEH ID#AB789L, [REDACTED] Wallet (Orange Surface Material On Main Part Of W
NFL ID AF02363

Analyte	Result	Units	Method Ref.
Lead	67500	ppm	NIOSH 7082

A portion of the sample was digested in a microwave oven with concentrated nitric acid and analyzed by ICP-MS.

Sample(s) were received in good condition unless and results are reported based on the sample(s) as received, unless otherwise noted. Please note that these results apply only to the sample(s) submitted for this report. Samples from a different portion of the same lot may produce different results.

The National Food Lab services are provided subject to our standard terms and conditions, which can be found on our website, www.TheNFL.com. Should you have any questions concerning these results, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you for using the services of the National Food Lab.

Sincerely,

Grace Bandong, Division Manager, Food Contaminants -Chemistry

cc: The NFL's Accounts Receivable