State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

| Please | print or type required information | Original Filing | Supplemental F | iling Corrected Filing | |
|-----------------------|--|--|----------------|--|-----------------------|
| PARTIES TO THE ACTION | PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Ecolab, Inc. | Original Filing | Supplemental F | iling Corrected Filing | |
| PARTIES | COURT DOCKET NUMBER | | co | URTNAME | |
| CASE | | | | lameda Superior C | ourt |
| | NJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion and or warning label | | | | |
| REPORT INFO | PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$33,000.00 DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 9 /30 /2015 | PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS \$27,000.00 ISJUDGMENT PURSUAI TO SETTLEMENT? | S FEES F | PAYMENT: OTHER \$6,000.00 IFYES, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL TTACHED | For Internal Use Only |
| FILER INFO | NAME OF CONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. | | | | |
| | ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199 | | | | |
| | ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 FAXNUMBER (424) 243-7689 | | | | |
| | Van Nuys | STATE 2IP CA 9140 | 6 d | -MAIL ADDRESS Igreenbaum@greenba | aumlawfirm.com |

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.



| 3000 | LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUN Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) | OCT 2 8 2015 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | The Hathaway Building | B Glichteny | | | |
| 3 | 7120 Hayvenhurst Ävenue Suite 320 | By Deputy | | | |
| 3 | Van Nuys, CA 91406 | | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (818) 809-2199 | | | | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 | | | | |
| ,1 | Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com | | | | |
| 6 | Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC | | | | |
| η | Anomey for Flammi Sills A Livey, LLC | | | | |
| | MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP | | | | |
| 8 | Rebecca L. Woodson, Esq. | | | | |
| 9 | One Market Plaza | | | | |
| | Spear Tower, 24th Floor | | | | |
| 10 | San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 267-4137 | | | | |
| 11 | Facsimile: (415) 267-4198 | | | | |
| | Email: rwoodson@mckennalong.com | | | | |
| 12 | . C. D. P. J. ADCOVAD INC. | | | | |
| 13 | Attorneys for Defendant ECOLAB INC. | | | | |
| 14 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA | | | | |
| 4 74 | | | | | |
| 17 | Coordination Proceeding |) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION | | | |
| 18 | Special Title (Rule 3.350) |) PROCEEDING NO: 4765 | | | |
| 19 | |) | | | |
| 1/ | |) Shefa LMV, LLC v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et | | | |
| 20 | PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA |) al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. | | | |
| 21 | CASES |) BC520411] | | | |
| | |) | | | |
| 22 | |) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS) TO ECOLAB INC. | | | |
| 23 | |) TO BEOLING INC. | | | |
| 24 | |) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. | | | |
| 24 | |) Audio State Ontober 17, 2014 | | | |
| 25 | |) Action filed: October 17, 2014 | | | |
| 26 | | / | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | Page 1 IPROPOSEDI CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ECOLAB INC JCCP NO. 4765 | | | | |
| | B FERGEONEDI CONSENT JULIQUEE | とも ひし チスチまし しんびん おいちょうさいしょ さいん きょりこ | | | |

Ĭ.

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and defendant Ecolab Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on **Exhibit A** that contain the chemical coconut oil diethanolamine condensate (cocamide diethanolamine) (hereinafter, "Cocamide DEA") in the State of California, or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) (the "Notice") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of Cocamide DEA in the types of products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California, as identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.4 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the above-entitled Proposition 65 Action (also identified in Exhibit A) in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,

T.

conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products containing Cocamide DEA, as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 <u>Reformulation of Covered Products</u>. As of the Effective Date, subject to Sections 3.2 and 3.3 below, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains Cocamide DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains Cocamide DEA" if Cocamide DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or intentionally added part of the product formulation.

3.2 Action Regarding Specific Products.

3.2.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling "Scrub Stat 2%; UPC: 025469627513" ("Section 3.2 Product") in California unless such product includes a Proposition 65 warning, as specified in Section 3.3 below. Further, on or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.2 Product to any of its customers that resell the Section 3.2 Product in California unless such product includes a Proposition 65 warning, as specified in Section 3.3 below; and (ii) send instructions to its customers that resell the Section 3.2 Product in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.2 Products that do not include a Proposition 65 warning, as specified in Section 3.3 below to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.2 Products that

do not include a Proposition 65 warning, as specified in Section 3.3 below. The requirements of this Section apply only to those Section 3.2 Products that contain Cocamide DEA.

- 3.2.2 Any destruction of Section 3.2 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- 3.2.3 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide Shefa with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.2.

3.3 Warning for Covered Products.

- 3.3.1 <u>Warning Option.</u> A Covered Product purchased, imported or manufactured by Settling Defendant may, as an alternative to meeting the reformulation requirements of Section 3.1, be sold or offered for retail sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provision of Section 3.3.2.
- 3.3.2 <u>Proposition 65 Warning</u>. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Consent Judgment shall state:

WARNING! This product contains a chemical known in the State of California to cause cancer.

A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be provided for a Covered Product if such Covered Product contains Cocamide DEA. This statement shall be prominently displayed on the label or the packaging of the Covered Product in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. If applicable, for internet sales, the warning statement shall: (a) be displayed before a California consumer commits to purchasing the Covered Product and without the need for the California consumer to follow any additional hyperlinks beyond those required as part of the ordinary purchasing process; (b) be set out in a text, box on a separate line or in a separate paragraph; (c) be displayed in a font size in which the smallest character is no less than the equivalent of the height of the equivalent characters in 12 point Arial font; and (d) be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual.

The warning statement shall not be preceded, followed, or surrounded by words, symbols, or other matter that reduces its conspicuousness to an ordinary individual, or that qualifies or interprets the required text, such as "legal notice required by law."

4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent

5. PAYMENTS

Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

- Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement payment identified for it on Exhibit A. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.1.2 A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 <u>Written Consent.</u> This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to Cocamide DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Cocamide DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Nothing in this Section 7 affects Shefa's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, or Downstream Defendant Releasees.

8. NOTICE

8.1 When Shefa is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406 dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

- 8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to the person identified on the Exhibit A for Settling Defendant.
- 8.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. Shefa shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

10.1 Should Shefa prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Shefa shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Shefa's

prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.

constitute one document.

11.5 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.11.6 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by

means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to

- 11.7 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

AGREED TO:

Dated: 8/28/2015 SHEFA LMV, LLC

By: Alas

Page 9

ECOLAB INC.

By: A Golfand

Page 10

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and defendant Ecolab Inc., the settlement is hereby approved, and the Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated: 0(1 2 8 2015

GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.

Judge of the Superior Court

Page 11

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ECOLAB INC. - JCCP NO. 4765

1 2

4 5

EXHIBIT A

1. Name of Settling Defendant:

Ecolab Inc.

2. Name of Plaintiff:

Shefa LMV, LLC

3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

Stanley W. Landfair, Esq.

Rebecca L. Woodson, Esq.

One Market Plaza

Spear Tower, 24th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94105

Telephone: (415) 267-4137 Facsimile: (415) 267-4198

Email: slandfair@mkennalong.com; rwoodson@mckennalong.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): August 25, 2014
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Second C.C.P. §474

 Amendment to Complaint Shefa LMV, LLC v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County
 Superior Court No. BC 520411, filed in and for the Superior Court of the State of California, in
 and for the County of Alameda in Judicial Coordination Proceeding No. 4765 [Coordination
 Proceeding Special Title "PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA CASES"] dated April 8, 2015
 - a. Date Original Complaint Filed in LACSC: September 04, 2013
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to §§ 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and 7.2):
 - Shampoos
 - x Soaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.2 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.2.1, 3.2.2, and 3.2.3):

Scrub Stat 2%; UPC: 025469627513

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment:

\$33,000.00

Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC):

\$6,000.00

Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa):

\$ N/A

Shefa Fees and Costs

(payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum):

\$27,000,00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.