State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing	Supplemental	Filing Corrected Filing		
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	print or type required information PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Dermalogica, Inc.	Original Filing	Supplemental	Filing Corrected Filing		
PARTIE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765		C	OURTNAME	Court	
CASE	JCCP004765 Alameda Superior Court SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamide DEA Cases					
REPORT INFO	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$5,000.00	PAYMENT: ATTORNE \$25,000.00	0	PAYMENT: OTHER \$0.00	- AluO	
	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 06 /08 /2015	IS JUDGMENT PURSU TO SETTLEMENT? Yes	JANT No	REPORTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO	For Internal Use Only	
	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED					
FILER INFO	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.					
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie	l Greenbau	m		TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199	
	7120 hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320				FAXNUMBER (424) 243-7689	
	CITY Van Nuys	CA 914	06	E-MAIL ADDRESS dgreenbaum@green	baumlawfirm.com	

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL M. CREENDALIS		
LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUN Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)	VI	
The Hathaway Building		
7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue		
Suite 320		
Van Nuys, CA 91406	ENDORSED	
Telephone: (818) 809-2199	FILED	
Facsimile: (424) 243-7689	ALAMEDA COUNTY	
Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com		
man. dgreenbaum@greenbaumawmm.com	JUL 2 1 2015	
Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC		
2	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
Robert Sorensen	BYOLANDA ESTRADO Pouty	
Dermalogica, Inc.		
1535 Beachey Place		
Carson, CA 90746		
Telephone: (310)900-4000		
Facsimile: (310)900-4011		
Email: RSorensen@dermalogica.com		
Attorney for Defendant DERMALOGICA, INC	2.4	
stioney for Defendant DERMALOGICA, INC	<i>y</i> ,	
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
EOD THE COLD	TY OF ALAMEDA	
FOR THE COUN	TTY OF ALAMEDA	
Coordination Proceeding) JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION	
Special Title (Rule 3.350)) PROCEEDING NO: 4765	
,)	
) [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et	
PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA) al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No.	
CASES) BC566941]	
)-[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS	
) TO DERMALOGICA, INC.	
) Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr.	
)	
) Action filed: December 16, 2014	
	()	
	Ś	
	•	
p_a	ige 1	
	TO DERMALOGICA, INC JCCP No. 4765	

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Dermalogica, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 The Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.4 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation

and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means the types of products identified on the Exhibit A for each Settling Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains DEA and that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a product "contains DEA" if DEA is an intentionally added ingredient in the product and/or intentionally added part of the product formulation.
- 3.2 Specification to Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its supplier(s) of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain any DEA, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing DEA on a nationwide basis.
 - 3.3 Action Regarding Specific Products.
- 3.3.1 On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall cease selling the specific products (if any) identified as Section 3.3 Products on the Exhibit A for such Settling Defendant ("Section 3.3 Products") in California unless such products have been reformulated such that they do not contain DEA. On or before the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall also: (i) cease shipping the Section 3.3 Products to any of its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California; and (ii) send instructions to its stores and/or customers that resell the Section 3.3 Products in California instructing them either to: (a) return all the Section 3.3 Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or (b) directly destroy the Section 3.3 Products. The requirements of this Section apply only to those Section 3.3 Products that contain DEA.

28

- Any destruction of Section 3.3 Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws.
- Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide Shefa with written certification from Settling Defendant confirming compliance with the requirements of this Section 3.3.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 Shefa may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, Shefa shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and proof of purchase and a copy of any test results which purportedly support the Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for the anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant(s) with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Shefa may file an enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement payment identified for it on Exhibit A. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid pursuant to the instructions outlined in Exhibit A. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated, as identified in Exhibit A, between the following categories:
- 5.1.1 A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), with such money to be apportioned by Shefa as identified on the Exhibit A for the Settling Defendant in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to Shefa and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 5.1.2 A reimbursement of a portion of Shefa's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

20 21

18

19

22 23

24 25

2627

28

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendants after the Effective Date.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DERMALOGICA, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

cause or other proceeding, that Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs against Shefa as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that Shefa's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

- 10.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

4

11

26

27

28

	11.4	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any
rights Settli	ng Defen	dant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a
Settling Del	fendant.	

- This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify
- The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together
- Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

1	AGREED TO:	
2	Dated: 5/21/15	SHEEVIWALLC
3	Dated: 5/21/15	SHEFA LMV, LLC By:
4		By:
5		
6		
7		
8	Dated: 5/21/15	DERMALOGICA, INC.
9		Ву:
0		6).
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
5		
7		
3		
		Page 9 F JUDGMENT AS TO DERMALOGICA, INC JCCP No. 4765

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

. 3	
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and
3	Dermalogica, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in
4	accordance with the terms herein.
5	II II O o o O O APP

Dated: _____JUL 2 1 2015

GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.

Judge of the Superior Court

Page 10

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Dermalogica, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.3):

Robert Sorensen Dermalogica, Inc. 1535 Beachey Place Carson, CA 90746

Telephone: (310)900-4000 Facsimile: (310)900-4011

Email: RSorensen@dermalogica.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): August 28, 2014
- 5. Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Big Lots Stores, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC566941
 - a. Date Complaint Filed: December 16, 2014
- Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, and 7.2):
 - x Shampoos
 - x Soaps
- 7. Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s) (Pursuant to Sections 3.3.1, 3.3.2, and 3.3.3):

Dermalogica Shampoo, UPC 666151140011

8. Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$ 30,000.00

Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$ 5,000.00

Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa): \$ N/A

Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$25,000.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.