Anne M. Barker SBN 253824 Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108 Michael Freund SBN 99687 Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297 Michael Freund & Associates 5 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 JUL 1 4 2015 Berkeley, CA 94704 6 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER 9 J. Jeffrey Morris SBN 128192 Law Office J. Jeffrey Morris 22601 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 270 10 Malibu, CA 90265 11 Telephone: (310) 430-1461 Facsimile: (310) 921-5695 12 Attorney for Defendant 13 SABRE SCIENCES, INC. 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH 17 CASE NO. CGC-15-543826 CENTER, INC., a California non-profit 18 corporation, STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER 19 Plaintiff. Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 20 21 SABRE SCIENCES, INC. and DOES 1-100 Action Filed: January 26, 2015 Trial Date: None set 22 Defendants. 23 24 25 1. INTRODUCTION 26 On January 26, 2015, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a 27 non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") 28

pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against Sabre Sciences, Inc. and Does 1-100 (collectively "Sabre Sciences"). In this action, ERC alleges that the product, Sabre Sciences Inc. UltraD, ("Covered Product") manufactured, distributed or sold by Sabre Sciences contains lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and exposes consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning.

- 1.2 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties agree that Sabre Sciences is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualified as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Sabre Sciences manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Product.
- 1.4 ERC and Sabre Sciences are referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated September 26, 2014, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Sabre Sciences ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Sabre Sciences with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Product exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Sabre Sciences denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Sabre Sciences as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in San Francisco County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, Sabre Sciences shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product which exposes a person to a "Daily Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms per day when the maximum

 suggested dose is taken as directed on the Covered Product's label, unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

- 3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Sabre Sciences knows will sell the Covered Product in California.
- 3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Sabre Sciences is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Sabre Sciences shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.

Sabre Sciences' checkout page for California consumers identifying the Covered Product. A second warning shall appear prior to completing checkout on the website when a California delivery address is indicated. The purchaser shall be required to accept the warning prior to completing checkout for the Covered Product being sold; and 2) for non-website purchases, on the label or container of Sabre Sciences' product packaging for each Covered Product distributed into the State of California as defined in Section 3.1.1.

The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety

warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Sabre Sciences' product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No other statements about Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

Sabre Sciences must display the above warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Sabre Sciences shall make a total payment of \$35,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC. An initial payment of \$7,000.00 shall be due within 5 days of the Effective Date, followed by eleven (11) equal consecutive monthly payments of \$2,000.00 due and owing by the first of each month and one final payment of \$6,000.00 that shall be due and owing on the first of the 13th month from the Effective Date. Sabre Sciences shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Sabre Sciences the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$9,000.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$6,750.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$2,250.00) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$768.94 shall be distributed to Environmental Research Center as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action; and \$17,332.23 shall be distributed to Environmental Research Center in lieu of further civil penalties, for the day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes work, analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are

the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) giving a donation of \$866.00 to the Center for Environmental Health to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.

4.4 \$950.00 shall be distributed to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of ERC's outside counsel's attorney's fees, while \$6,948.83 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- Sabre Sciences must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Sabre Sciences within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Sabre Sciences in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Sabre Sciences a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.
- 5.3 In the event that Sabre Sciences initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Sabre Sciences shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If at any time ERC alleges that a Covered Product exceeds the 0.5 μg/day threshold for lead exposure, and that no Proposition 65 warning has been provided, then ERC shall inform Sabre Sciences in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Sabre Sciences to identify the Covered Product at issue. The Parties shall attempt to resolve the matter out of court prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to a Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Sabre Sciences, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of

exposure to lead from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Product and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action up to and including the Effective Date for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Product. ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby discharges Sabre Sciences and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Sabre Sciences), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of the Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Product regarding lead.

- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, on one hand, and Sabre Sciences on its own behalf only, on the other, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice or Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Product will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and Sabre Sciences, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and Sabre Sciences acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Sabre Sciences, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- 8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint.
- 8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Sabre Sciences' products other than the Covered Product.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, or certified mail; (b) overnight courier; or (c) personal delivery. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER:

- Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400
- 25 | San Diego, CA 92108
- 26 Tel: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com

With a copy to:

Michael Freund 1 Ryan Hoffman 2 Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105 3 Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 540-1992 4 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543 5 SABRE SCIENCES, INC. 6 Victor Salemo 7 Sabre Sciences, Inc. 2233 Faraday Avenue, Suite K 8 Carlsbad, CA 92008 9 With a copy to: 10 J. Jeffrey Morris Law Office J. Jeffrey Morris 11 22601 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 270 Malibu, CA 90265 12 Telephone: (310) 430-1461 Facsimile: (310) 921-5695 13 **COURT APPROVAL** 14 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a 15 12.1 Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this 16 17 Consent Judgment. If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent 18 12.2 Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and 19 if possible prior to the hearing on the motion. 20 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be 21 12.3 void and have no force or effect. 22 **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** 23 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be 24 deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as 25

14. DRAFTING

the original signature.

26

27

28

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. CGC-15-543826

re

Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms with counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment entered thereon, the terms and provisions shall not be construed against any Party.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

- 16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.
- Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

1	(1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and		
2	equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has		
3	been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and		
4	(2) Make the findings pursuant to	California Health and Safety Code section	
5	25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.		
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	
7	Dated: 3/12/, 2015	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER	
8		By: / Paper Mark (1997)	
9		Chris Hepunstall Executive Director	
10	Dated: 3 - /3 , 2015	SABRE SCIENCES, INC.	
11		11 L-2	
12		By: Victor Salerno Its:	
13	ADDROVED AS TO FORM.		
14	APPROVED AS TO FORM: Dated: 3 / 12 ,2015	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	
15		CENTER	
16		By: 1/2 7/2	
17		Anne M. Barker In-House Counsel	
18	Dated:, 2015	LAW OFFICE OF J. JEFFREY MORRIS	
19			
20		By: 3 8.15 J.Jeffrey Morris	
21		Attorney for Defendant Sabre Sciences, lnc.	
22	ODTAIN AND THE CHARME		
23	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
27	Dated: JUL 1 4, 2015 Judge of the Superior Quirt		
28	ERNEST H. GOLDSMAN		
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. CGC-15-543826		