San Francisco County Superior Court 1 Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Laurence D. Haveson State Bar No. 152631 DEC 29 2015 2 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 CLERK OF THE COURT

KAREN LIU 3 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 4 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 10 Case No. CGC-15-543815 JOHN MOORE, 11 Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT 12 TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 v. SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT 13 **JUDGMENT** VICTORY INTERNATIONAL GROUP, LLC; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and 14 DOES 1-100, inclusive, December 22, 2015 Date: 9:30 a.m. Time: 15 302 Dept: Defendants. Hon. Ernest H. Goldsmith Judge: 16 Reservation No.: 10301222-01 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No.: CGC-15-543815

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff John Moore and Defendant Victory International Group, LLC, having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment on December 22, 2015, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. IT IS SO ORDERED. DEC 2 9 ZUK ERNEST H. GOLDSMITH Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Case No.: CGC-15-543815

1 2	Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Laurence D. Haveson State Bar No. 152631 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100	
3 4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN MOORE	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
9	JOHN MOORE,	Case No. CGC-15-543815
10	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
11	v.	-
12	VICTORY INTERNATIOAL GROUP,	
14	LLC; WAL-MART STORES, INC.; and DOES 1 -100, inclusive,	
15	Defendants.	,
16		
17		
18		
19	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
20	1.1 Parties	
21	The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") are Plaintiff John Moore	
22	("Moore") on the one hand, and Defendant Victory International Group, LLC ("Victory") on the	
23	other hand (each a "Party" and collectively "Parties").	
24	1.2 <u>Plaintiff</u>	
25	Moore is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote	
26	awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or	
27	eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.	
28	111	

1

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.3 **Defendant**

Victory employs ten or more persons, and each is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Moore alleges that Victory manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offer for sale in California headphones with vinyl/PVC components containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are headphoneswith vinyl/PVC components containing DEHP that are distributed, sold, or offered or for sale in California by Victory, including, but not limited to, the Accellorize Headband Headphones, #00102, UPC #8 90968 00102 3, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 **Notice of Violation**

On September 30, 2014, Moore served Victory and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. ("Walmart"), and certain public prosecutors with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Victory and Walmart (collectively, "Defendants") were in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 23, 2015, Moore filed the instant action against Victory and Wal-Mart for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice. On January 27, 2015, Moore filed a First Amended Complaint in this action.

27 || / / /

28 11///

1.8 No Admission

Victory and Walmart each deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice, Complaint, and First Amended Complaint, and maintain that all of the products that they each have sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Victory's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in San Francisco County and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means and is limited to Products containing DEHP sold or distributed for sale in California by Victory.
 - 2.2 "Effective Date" means date this Consent Judgment is approved by the court.
 - 2.3 "Reformulation Standard" means the level of DEHP required under Section 3.1.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

3.1 Reformulation Standard

Commencing no later than the Effective Date, Victory shall not manufacture, distribute, purchase or import for sale, sell, and/or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that contains DEHP in concentrations exceeding 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million ("ppm")) when analyzed pursuant to any methodology utilized by federal or California state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

27 |

///

28 11/

4. PAYMENTS

4.1 Payments Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), except as provided in Section 4.1.2, Victory shall pay \$12,000 in civil penalties. Each penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of each penalty payment remitted to Moore.

4.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Victory shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$3,000 within 10 days of the Effective Date.

4.1.2 Final Civil Penalty; Waiver on Certification of Compliance with

Section 3.1

Within 45 days of the Effective Date, Victory shall pay a final civil penalty of \$9,000 (the "Final Civil Penalty"), except that, the Final Civil Penalty will be waived, in its entirety, if no later than 30 days after the Effective Date, an officer or other authorized representative of Victory provides Moore's counsel with written certification that as of the date of its certification:

i) Victory no longer sells, nor intends to sell, Covered Products for distribution into California; or ii) all Covered Products manufactured, distributed, imported, sold, or offered for sale in California after the Effective Date meet the Reformulation Standard, and that they will continue to only manufacture, distribute, import, sell, or offer for sale in California Covered Products meeting the Reformulation Standard in the future. The option to provide a written certification in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment constitutes a material term of this Consent Judgment, and with regard to such term, time is of the essence.

4.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Moore and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after all other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to

1	resolve the fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the		
2	compensation due Moore and his counsel under general contract principles and the private		
3	attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work		
4	performed in this matter exclusive of fees and costs incurred on appeal, if any. Under these legal		
5	principles, within 10 days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall pay \$42,000 for the fees and		
6	costs incurred investigating, litigating, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs		
7	incurred (and to be incurred) drafting, negotiating, and obtaining the Court's approval of this		
8	Consent Judgment in the public interest.		
9	4.3 Payment Procedures.		
10	4.3.1 Payments		
11	All payments required by Sections 4.1 and 4.2 shall be delivered within 10 days of the		
12	Effective Date.		
13	4.3.1 Payment Addresses		
14	(a) All payments to Moore and Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP shall		
15	be delivered to the following address:		
16	Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator		
17	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94104		
18	Bail Francisco, Cri 54101		
19	(b) All payments to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered		
20	directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following address:		
21	Mike Gyurics		
22	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
23	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
24	Sacramento, CA 93812-4010		
25	With a copy of the check(s) payable to OEHHA mailed to Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP at the		
26	payment address provided in section 4.3.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.		
27			

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

In consideration of the promises and commitments herein contained, Moore, on his own behalf and on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby waives and releases Victory, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees"); and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to Walmart and its affiliates and subsidiaries, and any distributors, wholesalers, customers, other retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products through the Effective Date. This waiver and release is limited to those claims arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured by Victory before the Effective Date. Defendants' and Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute compliance with Proposition 65.

5.2 Private Release in Plaintiff's Individual, Non-representative Capacity

Moore, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Victory, Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Moore of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products. Moore acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Moore, and on behalf of himself and his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on himt by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he/it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters.

5.3 Victory's Release of Moore

Victory waives any and all claims against Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Moore and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

6. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by: (a) first class, registered or certified mail; (b) personal delivery; or (c) a recognized overnight courier on any one Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

For Moore:

Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94104

For Defendants:

Dawson Fan, President Victory International Group, LLC 9800 Irvine Center Drive Irvine, CA 92618

With a copy to:

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Matthew S. Kenefick Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

7. POST SETTLEMENT ACTIVITIES AND COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 Moore agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).
- 7.2 The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. Moore and Victory agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moore shall draft and file, and Victory shall join. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Moore and Victory shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.
- 7.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties as to the Notices or Complaint referenced herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. ENFORCEMENT

Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

9. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then Victory shall provide written notice to Moore of any asserted change in law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

11. DRAFTING

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated and cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section 1654.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to agree to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that Party, and that he or she has read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: <u>SEPTEMBER</u> 10, 2015	Date:8/26/2015
By: Ahadan Plaintiff John Moore	By: Dawson Fan Defendant Victory International Group, LLC

CONSENT JUDGMENT