State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1502 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing 🔲 S	Supplemental Filing	Corrected Filing	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN JUDGMENT Zotos International	, Inc.			
CASE	COURT DOCKET NUMBER JCCP004765		COURTN Alam	AME eda Superior Co	ourt
	SHORT CASE NAME Proposition 65 Cocamise DEA Cases				
ဝ	NJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformultion				
	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$3,000.00	\$14,500.00	PAYME \$0.	ENT: OTHER	VinC
	DATE SUBMITTED TO COURT 11 /25 /2015	IS JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT? Yes Yes	REPO	S, DATE SETTLEMENT WAS PRIEDTO ATTORNEY GENERAL	For Internal Use Only
	COPY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE ATTACHED				For Inter
FILER	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.				
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum			TE (818) 809-2199
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320			(XNUMBER 424) 243-7689
	Van Nuys	CA 91406	dgro	ADDRESS eenbaum@greenba	umlawfirm.com

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the judgment to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.





LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM 1 Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 2 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 3 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 JAN 2 7 2016/0 4 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 CLERKOR THE SHIKE Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com 5 Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC 6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 7 Kathryn Edwards, Esq. 8 The Orrick Building 405 Howard Street 9 San Francisco, CA 94105-2669 Telephone: (415) 773-5962 10 Facsimile: (415) 773-5759 Email: kedwards@orrick.com .11 12 Attorneys for Defendant ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC. 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA. 16 JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION Coordination Proceeding 17 PROCEEDING NO: 4765 Special Title (Rule 3.350) 18 19 [Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk, Inc., et al., Los PROPOSITION 65 COCAMIDE DEA Angeles County Superior Court No. 20 CASES BC579191] 21 PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC. 22 23 Judge: Hon, George C. Hernandez, Jr. 24 Action filed: April 17, 2015 25 **BY FAX** 26 27 28

Page 1

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Parties") are Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa") and Zotos Internatinal, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). Shefa and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 Shefa alleges that the Settling Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells types of products identified on Exhibit A that contain diethanolamine ("DEA") in the State of California or has done so in the past,
- 1.3 On the date identified on Exhibit A, Shefa served a 60-Day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notices") to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000.
- 1.4 The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEA in the types of products identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.5 On the date(s) identified on Exhibit A, Shefa filed the Complaint applicable to the Settling Defendant ("Complaint") for the Proposition 65 Action identified in Exhibit A.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint applicable to Settling Defendant and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding.

Page 3
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

contain DEA.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOTOS INTERNATIONAL, INC. - JCCP No. 4765

6. MODIFICATION

- 6.1 Written Consent. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between (i) Shefa on behalf of itself and the public interest; and (ii) Settling Defendant and its affiliates, its former affiliates ("affiliate" means a person or entity who directly or indirectly owns or controls, is owned or controlled by, or is under common ownership or control with, Settling Defendant), and their current and past directors, officers, employees and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom any of them directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, contractors, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"); of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEA contained in Covered Products that were sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about DEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Shefa, acting on its behalf and in the public interest, releases and discharges
 Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and
 all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs
 and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted, as to any alleged violation of

sanctions pursuant to law.

28

11. OTHER TERMS

- 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Shefa, Settling Defendant, its affiliates, and successors or assigns of any of them.
- 11.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.4 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein.
- 11.5 No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.6 No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto.
- 11.7 No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.8 No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 11.9 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights
 Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling
 Defendant.
- 11.10 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.
- 11.11 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Zotos International, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with the terms herein.

Dated: 1/27/20/6

Judge of the Superior Court

GEORGE C. HERNANDEZ, JR.

EXHIBIT A

- 1. Name of Settling Defendant: Zotos International, Inc.
- 2. Name of Plaintiff: Shefa LMV, LLC
- 3. Person(s) to Receive Notices (Pursuant to Section 8.2):

Kathryn Edwards
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
Email: kedwards@orrick.com

- 4. Date of 60-Day Notice of Violation (Pursuant to Section 1.3): October 16, 2014
- Complaint Naming Settling Defendant (Pursuant to Section 1.4): Shefa LMV, LLC v. Farouk
 Systems, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court No. BC579191
 - a. Date Complaint Filed: April 17, 2015
- 6. Covered Products Applicable to Defendant (Pursuant to Sections 1.2, 1.3, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4):
 - x Shampoos
 - Soaps
- 7. Settling Defendant's Section 3.3 Product(s):

Pro Beaute Aura Rosemary Mint-

8. Settling Defendant's Settlement Payment and Allocation (Pursuant to Section 5.1):

Total Settlement Payment: \$17,500.00 Civil Penalty (payable to Shefa LMV, LLC): \$3,000.00 Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty (PILP): \$ N/A Shefa Fees and Costs (payable to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum): \$14,500.00

Checks payable to "Shefa LMV, LLC" or the "Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum" shall be delivered to counsel for Shefa as set forth in Section 8.1.