1 2 3 4 5	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069 Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles JUL 30 2015 JUL 30 2015 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By K. Tollack, Deputy
6		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7		Y OF LOS ANGELES
8	TOX TILL COOK	TOL BOOTH (OBBBS)
9	ISABEL RUGGERI, an individual,) CASE NO. BC580271
11	Plaintiff,)) [P ROPOSE D] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	v.)) Judge: Hon. Elizabeth Feffer
13	HD SUPPLY REPAIR AND REMODEL, LLC DBA HD SUPPLY HOME) Dept.: 39 Compl. Filed: April 30, 2015
14	IMPROVEMENT SOLUTIONS, a limited liability company, and DOES 1 through 100,) Unlimited Jurisdiction
15	inclusive,)
16	Defendants.))
17		,)
18	1///	
19	///	
20 21	111	
22	///	
23	///	
24	111	
25	111	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	///	Concept Indoment Regarding Ruggeri v. HD Sunniv

1. <u>RECITALS</u>

1.1 The Parties

- 1.1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Isabel Ruggeri ("Plaintiff"), on the one hand, and HD Supply Repair And Remodel, LLC doing business as HD Supply Home Improvement Solutions ("Defendant"), on the other hand. Plaintiff and Defendant shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Parties."
- 1.1.2 Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.
- 1.1.3 Defendant employs ten (10) or more employees and is a person in the course of doing business as the term is defined in California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

- 1.2.1 Plaintiff alleges that Defendant manufactured, distributed, supplied, and/or sold tape measures, including HD Supply tape measure 1" x 25' (4-00007-75882-7) (hereinafter, the "Products") in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to hazardous levels of lead without providing "clear and reasonable warnings", in violation of Proposition 65. Lead is subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements because it is listed as known to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.
- Notice"), along with a Certificate of Merit, was provided by Plaintiff to Defendant, HD Supply, Inc., HD Supply Holdings, Inc. and various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged violation of Proposition 65 with respect to lead in the Products. Defendant asserts that HD Supply, Inc. and HD Supply Holdings, Inc. are only holding companies and therefore are not subject to the claims asserted in the 60-Day Notice. Plaintiff subsequently filed the instant action in the public interest in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging violation of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's 60-Day Notice and maintains that the Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Consent Judgment shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant, HD Supply, Inc. and/or HD Supply Holdings, Inc. but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Consent Judgment.

1.4 Compromise

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to resolve the controversy described above in a manner consistent with prior Proposition 65 settlements and consent judgments that were entered on behalf of the public interest and to avoid prolonged and costly litigation between them.

1.5 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations of the Complaint and that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.6 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulation Standard

As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not sell or offer for sale in California the Products if they contain more than 100 parts per million of lead in their accessible surfaces when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B or equivalent and/or by other methodologies to confirm lead content levels. As of the Effective Date, if the Products do not meet this Reformulation Standard, then clear and reasonable

28 | / / /

Proposition 65 warnings must accompany each unit, as described below in paragraphs 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

2.2 Proposition 65 Warnings

2.2.1 If the Products do not meet the Reformulation Standard described above in paragraph 2.1, then Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, supply, and/or sell for use or sale in California any Products containing the lead in their accessible surfaces unless clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warnings are provided with each unit with the following specific warning with the capitalized and emboldened wording:

"WARNING: This product contains a chemical [chemicals] [including lead,] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. [Wash hands after handling.]"

The bracketed text may, but is not required to, be used. Defendant may use the bracketed "[chemicals]" in place of "a chemical" if it knows or has reason to believe that more than one listed chemicals are present in the Products. A comma may be placed after the word "cancer." The warning shall be affixed to each unit or its label or package in a sufficiently conspicuous manner reasonably calculated to be seen by the ordinary consumer. Alternatively, the warning statement may appear on a shelf tag or sign at the retail store, provided that the statement is displayed in close proximity to the point of display of the Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary consumer of the Product prior to sale.

2.2.2 The warning text and method of transmission set forth above are clear and reasonable within the meaning of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6. In the event that the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment adopts by regulation different Proposition 65 warning text and/or methods of transmission applicable to the Products than are set forth herein, Defendant shall be entitled to implement such other text and/or method of transmission without being deemed in breach of this Consent Judgment.

Consent Judgment Regarding Ruggeri v. HD Supply Repair And Remodel, LLC dba HD Supply Home Improvement Solutions

3. PAYMENTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health and Safety Code* section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,750.00) paid to State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, and the remaining 25% (\$1,250.00) paid to Ruggeri.

Defendant shall issue two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment" in the amount of \$3,750.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak in Trust for Isabel Ruggeri" in the amount of \$1,250.00. Defendant shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of Ruggeri's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Ruggeri's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Defendant shall issue a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of twenty two thousand five hundred dollars (\$22,500.00). Defendant shall remit the payment within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

26 ///

28 ///

4. <u>RELEASES</u>

4.1 Plaintiff's Release Of Defendant

Plaintiff, acting in her individual capacity, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, and in the public interest (the "Releasors"), in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates under common ownership and control (including HD Supply, Inc. and HD Supply Holdings, Inc.), shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, as well as its downstream distributors, retailers, and franchisees (collectively "Released Parties"), from any violation(s) or claimed violation(s) of Proposition 65 against the Released Parties regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead in the Products sold or distributed by Defendant prior to the Effective Date, even if sold by Defendant's downstream distributors, retailers, and franchisees after the Effective Date (the "Claim"). This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Releasors and the Released Parties of the Claim.

4.2 Defendant's Release Of Ruggeri

Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates under common ownership and control (including HD Supply, Inc. and HD Supply Holdings, Inc.), shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, and on behalf of its downstream distributors, retailers, and franchisees, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Ruggeri, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS

OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. Each of the Parties acknowledges that it may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein. Each of the Parties agrees that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. COURT APPROVAL

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved by the Court within one (1) year after its full execution by all Parties. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Consent Judgment in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. SEVERABILITY

Should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment for any reason be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. NOTICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class, certified mail or courier addressed as follows:

			_
TO	DEFE	NDANT	•

Celia Peressini Vice President, Legal HD Supply, Inc. 3100 Cumberland Blvd. Suite 1480 Atlanta, GA 30339

With a copy to:

Ann G. Grimaldi, Esq. Grimaldi Law Offices 50 California St., Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94111

TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

9. COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document. Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

10. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and is not subject to any conflicting obligation which will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said Party.

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	Date:
4	
5	Ву:
6	Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri
7	
8	
9	AGREED TO:
10	Date:
11	
12	By:
13	Authorized Officer of Defendant, HD Supply Repair And Remodel, LLC doing business
14	as HD Supply Home Improvement Solutions
15	
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	
19	Dated:
20	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ŀ	

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	Date: Julia / Rugger
4	0/10
5	
6	Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggen
7	
8	
9	AGREED TO:
10	Date:
11	
12	By:
13	Authorized Officer of Defendant, HD Supply Repair And Remodel, LLC doing business
14	as HD Supply Home Improvement Solutions
15	
16	
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.
18	
19	Dated: 7.30-2015 ELIZABETH R. FEFFER
20	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	