Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Laurence D. Haveson, State Bar No. 152631 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP

2 | 3

4

6

5

7

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

) 19

2021

2223

24

2526

27

28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

San Francisco County Superior Court

NOV 16 2015

CLERN OF THE COURT

BY: Deputy Clerk

Attorneys for Plaintiff LAURENCE VINOCUR

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 362-3599

Facsimile: (415) 362-2006

220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LAURENCE VINOCUR,

Plaintiff,

V

PD LIQUIDATION, INC.; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-15-544030

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PD LIQUIDATION, INC.

(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)

Date:

November 16, 2015

Time:

9:30 a.m. 302

Dept:

Judge: Hon. Ernest H. Goldsmith

Reservation No.: 09211116-06

1	In the above-entitled action, plaintiff Laurence Vincocur and defendants PD Liquidation,		
2	Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the		
3	terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a [Proposed] Consent Judgment ("Consent		
4	Judgment"), and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65		
5	settlement and Consent Judgment on Nov. 10, 2015.		
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to California		
7	Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment		
8	is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
9	By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under		
0	Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.		
1			
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13			
4	NOV 16 2015 EMO THE COLD MILLS		
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
16	ERNEST H./GOLDSMITH		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case No CGC-15-544030

Exhibit A

1 2 3 4	Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Laurence D. Haveson, State Bar No. 152631 MOSCONE EMBLIDGE & OTIS LLP 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
6	LAURÈNCE VINOCUR			
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
10	LAURENCE VINOCUR. Case No. CGC-15-544030			
11	Plaintiff, [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
12	v.			
13	PD LIQUIDATION, INC., and DOES 1 - 100, inclusive,			
14	Defendants.			
15				
16	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>			
17	1.1 Parties			
18	The parties to this Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") are Plaintiff Laurence			
19	Vinocur ("Vinocur") on the one hand, and Defendant PD Liquidation, Inc. ("PD Liquidation" or			
20	"Defendant") on the other hand (each a "Party" and collectively "Parties").			
21	1.2 Plaintiff			
22	Vinocur is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote			
23	awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or			
24	eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.			
25	1.3 <u>Defendant</u>			
26	PD Liquidation employed ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing			
27	business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health			
28	and Safety Code section 25249 6 et sea ("Proposition 65")			

Case No.: CGC-15-544030

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

1.4 **General Allegations**

Vinocur alleges that PD Liquidation manufactures, distributes, imports, sells and/or offer for sale in California vinyl/PVC tape products containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC tape products containing DEHP that are sold or offered for sale in California by PD Liquidation, including, but not limited to, PD Neon Tape, #02684488, UPC #6 03912 31697 1, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On October 24, 2014, Vinocur served PD Liquidation and certain public prosecutors with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On February 9, 2015, Vinocur filed the instant action against PD Liquidation for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

PD Liquidation denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of

45

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

. 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect PD Liquidation's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in San Francisco County and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means and is limited to Products containing DEHP sold or distributed for sale in California by Defendant.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the court.
 - 2.3 "Reformulation Standard" means the level of DEHP required under Section 3.1.

3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

3.1 Reformulation Standard

Commencing on the Effective Date, PD Liquidation shall not manufacture, distribute, purchase or import for sale, sell, and/or offer for sale in California any Covered Product that contains DEHP in concentrations exceeding 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million ("ppm")) when analyzed pursuant to any methodology utilized by federal or California state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

4. PAYMENTS

4.1 Payments Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), except as provided in Section 4.1.2, PD Liquidation shall pay \$9,000 in civil penalties. Each penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of each penalty payment remitted to Vinocur.

Section 3.1

California in the future.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

Consent Judgment in the public interest.

incurred (and to be incurred) drafting, negotiating, and obtaining the Court's approval of this

The Parties acknowledge that Vinocur and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after all other settlement terms had been finalized, PD Liquidation expressed a desire to resolve the fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due Vinocur and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter exclusive of fees and costs incurred on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay \$29,250 for the fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating, and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs

4.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

business days of the Effective Date.

PD Liquidation shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$3,000 within five (5)

4.1.2 Final Civil Penalty; Waiver on Certification of Compliance with

On or before July 31, 2015, PD Liquidation shall pay a final civil penalty of \$6,000,

except that, the final civil penalty will be waived, in its entirety, if no later than July 31, 2015,

PD Liquidation provides Vinocur's counsel with written certification that as of the date of its

certification, all Covered Products manufactured, distributed, purchased or imported for sale in

California meet the Reformulation Standard, and that they will continue to only manufacture,

distribute, purchase or import Covered Products meeting the Reformulation Standard for sale in

4.3	Payment Procedures.
T.J	Layment Flocedules.

4.3.1 Payment Addresses

(a) All payments to Vinocur and Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP shall be delivered to the following address:

Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94104

(b) All payments to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486) shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following address:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

With a copy of the check(s) payable to OEHHA mailed to Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP at the payment address provided in section 4.3.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

In consideration of the promises and commitments herein contained, Vinocur, on his own behalf and on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby waives and releases PD Liquidation, its successor PD Products, LLC, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership or control, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys (collectively "Releasees"); and each entity to whom it or PD Products, LLC directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, and any distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (collectively "Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products through the Effective Date. This waiver and release is limited to those claims arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Covered Products sold by Defendant before the Effective

Case No.: CGC-15-544030

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

Date. Defendant's and Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute compliance with Proposition 65.

5.2 Private Release in Plaintiff's Individual, Non-representative Capacity

Vinocur, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, also provides a release to PD Liquidation, Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Vinocur of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products.

5.3 PD Liquidation's Release of Vinocur

PD Liquidation waives any and all claims against Vinocur and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Vinocur and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

6. <u>NOTICES</u>

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by: (a) first class, registered or certified mail; (b) personal delivery; or (c) a recognized overnight courier on any one Party by the other Party at the following addresses: For Vinocur:

Moscone Emblidge & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 220 San Francisco, CA 94104

For PD Liquidation:

Robert Feldman, President PD Liquidation, Inc. 21350 Lassen Street Chatsworth, CA 91311 With a copy to:

Peg Carew Toledo Toledo Don LLP 3001 Douglas Blvd., Suite 340 Roseville, CA 95661

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

7. POST SETTLEMENT ACTIVITIES AND COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 Vinocur agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).
- 7.2 The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Vinocur shall draft and file, and PD Liquidation shall join. Vinocur and PD Liquidation agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Vinocur and PD Liquidation shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.
- 7.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties as to the Notice or Complaint referenced herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. ENFORCEMENT

Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

9. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer require as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then PD Liquidation shall provide written notice to Vinocur of any asserted change in law, and, if Vinocur agrees, PD Liquidation shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

11. DRAFTING

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated and cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code section 1654.

12. MODIFICATION

2.3

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. AUTHORIZATION

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to agree to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment, to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented, and to legally bind that Party, and that he or she has read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

15	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
16		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
17	Date: 6/25/15	Date: 6/23/15
18	Land	D. I. + Aldrew
19	By: (\(\sum_{\text{Oursey}}\) Plaintiff Laurence Vinocur	By Coly t Feldrus Defendant PD Liquidation, Inc.
20		Robert Fellman, President