1		
2	پاهندان منام کا کامند انتخاب کامند ک	
3	ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY	
4	JUL 1 5 2015	
5	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
6	YOLANDA ESTRALA Beputy	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-756764 a non-profit corporation,)	
13	Plaintiff, Proposed consent judgment	
14) AS TO SHOCK DOCTOR, INC.	
15	SHOCK DOCTOR, INC., et al.,	
16) Defendants.)	
17 18		
19		
20	1. INTRODUCTION	
21	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
22	Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Defendant Shock Doctor, Inc.	
23	("Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as set forth in the operative	
24	Complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Shock Doctor, Inc., et al.,	
25	Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 15-756764 (the "Action"). CEH and Defendant	
26	are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
27	1.2 On October 31, 2014, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation of Proposition 65"	
28	to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SHOCK DOCTOR, INC. – Case No. RG 15-756764	

Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") in football gloves made with leather, vinyl, or imitation leather materials ("Covered Products").

- 1.3 On January 30, 2015, CEH filed its Complaint in the Action to name Defendant as a party.
- 1.4 Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons, and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law, or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is

22.

accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product unless such Covered Product complies with the following Lead Limits:
- 2.1.1 "Paint or other Surface Coatings" as that term is defined in 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b): no more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 parts per million ("ppm")).
 - 2.1.2 Polyvinyl Chloride: no more than .02 percent Lead by weight (200 ppm).
 - 2.1.3 All other materials: no more than .03 percent Lead by weight (300 ppm).
- Defendant shall cease shipping the Cutters Rev Pro Glove in Orange, Model No. S450, UPC No. 8-44018-03242-0, as identified in CEH's pre-suit Notice of Violation to Defendant (the "Recall Covered Products"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendant shall withdraw the Recall Covered Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 2 above, CEH shall provide Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a

copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments From Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$32,500 as a settlement payment.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in three separate checks delivered to the offices of the Lexington Law Group (Attn: Howard Hirsch), 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, California 94117, and made payable and allocated as follows:
- 4.2.1 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$4,300 as a penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12. The penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- 4.2.2 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$6,400 as payment to CEH in lieu of penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3202(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its *Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund*, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic

chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment in lieu of penalty check shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.

4.2.3 Defendant shall pay the sum of \$21,800 as reimbursement of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead

8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

12. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that

1	Party.	
2	13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
3	13.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any	
4	claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent	
5	Judgment.	
6	14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
7	14.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts	
8	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
9		
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
11	Dated: My 71, 2015 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
12		
13	Ca	
14	Signature	
16	Cumiz Pizmas	
17	Printed Name	
18		
19	Associate Director	
20	Title	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-8- CONSENT JUDGMENT – SHOCK DOCTOR, INC. – Case No. RG 15-756764	

1 2 3 4 5	Dated: Mary 20, 2015	SHOCK DOCTOR, INC.
6 7 8		DENNIS J. GOET2 Printed Name
9 10 11		C Fo
12 13	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
14 15	JUL 1 5 2015 Dated:	GEORGE GE HERMANDADELRJR.
16		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE
17		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21 22		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		STATE OF CALIFORNIA
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		STATE OF CALIFORNIA